

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6521/2026

Mahipal S/o Champalal, Aged About 22 Years, Resident Of Sheo,
Barmer, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary
Department Of Home, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur,
Rajasthan.
2. Reserve Bank Of India, Through Its Regional Director,
Rambagh Circle Tonk Road, Jaipur.
3. The Inspector Of Police, (Law Enforcement Agency),
Cyber Crime Police Station, Raebareli, Utter Pradesh -
229001. Email Dspcrimerb-Up@nic.in
4. The Branch Manager, Bank Of India- Sheo, Barmer
344701

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Anita Rajpurohit for
Mr. Ramkaran Mali

For Respondent(s) : --

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

27/03/2026

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution
of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following
reliefs:-

*"It is therefore, humbly and respectfully submitted that
the instant writ petition may kindly be allowed with costs
and;*

*(i) By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the
respondent no. 4- Branch Manager, Bank of India Sheo,
Barmer may kindly be directed to forthwith de-freeze the
petitioner's bank Account No.785418210000010 and
allow the petitioner to operate and transact freely from
the said account.*

(ii) By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondent may kindly be directed not to freeze the bank account of the petitioner in future without prior affording opportunity of hearing/serving notice.

(iii) Any other order or direction which this Hon'ble Court deems just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case may kindly be passed in favour of the petitioner."

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the Bank of India (Respondent No.4) to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating

officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J

24-himanshu/-