

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 3634/2026

Manish @ Manohar S/o Bagduram Vishnoi, Aged About 37 Years,
R/o Mandla Kala P.S., Phalodi, District Phalodi.
(Presently In Judicial Custody Sub Jail Gangapur, District
Bhilwara)

----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. B.R. Godara
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Prem Singh Panwar, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEER BHATNAGAR

Order

28/03/2026

1. The instant bail application has been filed under Section 483 BNSS on behalf of accused-petitioner. The petitioner has been arrested in connection with FIR No.172/2024 registered at Police Station Karoi, District Bhilwara for the offence(s) under Sections 8/15 & 8/25 of NDPS Act, Sections 341(4), 132, 109(1) of BNS, 2023 and Sections 3/25 of Arms Act.
2. Learned counsel for the accused-petitioner submits that the accused-petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case under Sections. It is further contended that the alleged quantity of recovered contraband article poppy husk is 392 kg 51 grams is effected from other co-accused and there is no connectivity of the present petitioner with the other co-accused. Apart from the disclosure statement of the petitioner and the other co-accused, there is no other substantive evidence available on record to

connect the present petitioner with the co-accused. The petitioner is behind the bars since long and the charge-sheet has already been submitted. The trial of the case may take considerable time to conclude, therefore, the bail application of the petitioner may kindly be allowed.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail application.

4. Heard and perused the material available on record.

5. Considering the arguments advanced by the learned counsel for the petitioner and looking to the fact that apart from the disclosure statement of the other co-accused, there is no other substantive evidence available on record connecting the petitioner with the alleged crime, therefore, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, I deem it just and proper to enlarge the accused-petitioner on bail.

6. Accordingly, the bail application under Section 483 BNSS is allowed and it is ordered that the accused-petitioner **Manish @ Manohar S/o Bagduram Vishnoi**, in connection with FIR No.172/2024 registered at Police Station Karoi, District Bhilwara shall be enlarged on bail provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Judge for his appearance before the court concerned on all the dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so. The learned trial Judge shall also verify the address and the contact details of the surety through concerned SHO before releasing the accused-petitioner on bail.

7. The accused-petitioner is also directed to mark his presence on 25th of every month till conclusion of trial before the concerned

police station. The SHO of the concerned police station is directed to maintain a regular register marking the presence of the accused-petitioner and shall send the presence report of the accused-petitioner on the same day to the concerned Trial Court without any delay. In case of any breach to the aforementioned conditions, the learned Public Prosecutor shall be free to move the application against the accused-petitioner for cancellation of the bail before the concerned Court.

8. The accused-petitioner is also directed to submit his present address along with the mobile number to the concerned SHO within a period of 7 days from his release and the concerned SHO shall verify the said address and the mobile number. In case if the petitioner changes his address or mobile number, he shall submit the same before the concerned SHO so also before the concerned learned Trial Court.

9. A copy of this order shall be sent to the concerned SHO for its strict compliance.

(PRAVEER BHATNAGAR),J