

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6519/2026

Shahruk Khan S/o Shri Babu Khan, Aged About 33 Years,
Resident Of Ward No 32, Gujaro Ki Dhani, Ratangarh, District
Churu, Rajasthan 331022.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Rajasthan The Principal Secretary, Department Of Home, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. Branch Manager, Bank Of Baroda, Oswalo Ka Mohalla, Rajtangrh, District Churu, Rajasthan-331022
3. Station House Officer, Police Station Crime Branch, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh.
4. The Superintendent Of Police, District Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Manvendra Singh Charan Mr. Virinch Charan
For Respondent(s)	:	--

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

27/03/2026

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following reliefs:-

" In view of the facts and circumstances stated above, it is most respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Court may pleased to: be
a) Issue an appropriate writ, order direction quashing the action or of freezing the petitioner's bank account maintained with Respondent No. 2; and,
b) Direct the respondents to immediately defreeze the petitioner's bank account and restore full banking operations; and,
to restrict c) Direct the respondents action only to the specific amount, if any, alleged to be under investigation, after furnishing complete particulars in writing; and,

*d) Restrain the respondents from taking any coercive action against the petitioner without following due process of law; And,
e) Pass any other order or direction as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit in the interest of justice."*

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the Bank of Baroda (Respondent No.2) to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.



6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J

23-himanshu/-