

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6421/2026

Murad Khan S/o Shri Neku Khan, Aged About 49 Years,
Residence Of Village Teja Ki Beri, Tehsil Sayla, District Jalore,
Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Board Of Revenue, Rajasthan, Ajmer.
3. The Tehsildar, Sayla, District Jalore.
4. The Assistant Land Settlement Officer, Jodhpur.
5. Pire Khan D/o Rimu Khan, Residence Of Teja Ki Beri, Tehsil Sayla, District Jalore.
6. Inayat Khan S/o Subhan Khan, Residence Of Teja Ki Beri, Tehsil Sayla, District Jalore.
7. Vali Mohammad S/o Late Gafur Khan, Residence Of Teja Ki Beri, Tehsil Sayla, District Jalore.
8. Safi Mohammad S/o Late Gafur Khan, Residence Of Teja Ki Beri, Tehsil Sayla, District Jalore.
9. Maluki W/o Neku, Residence Of Teja Ki Beri, Tehsil Sayla, District Jalore.
10. Hanif Khan S/o Neku, Residence Of Teja Ki Beri, Tehsil Sayla, District Jalore.
11. Noore Khan S/o Neku, Residence Of Teja Ki Beri, Tehsil Sayla, District Jalore.
12. Ismile Khan S/o Neku, Residence Of Teja Ki Beri, Tehsil Sayla, District Jalore.
13. Akbar Khan S/o Neku, Residence Of Teja Ki Beri, Tehsil Sayla, District Jalore.
14. Aasi D/o Neku, Residence Of Teja Ki Beri, Tehsil Sayla, District Jalore.
15. Imati D/o Neku, Residence Of Teja Ki Beri, Tehsil Sayla, District Jalore.
16. Mimi D/o Neku, Residence Of Teja Ki Beri, Tehsil Sayla, District Jalore.
17. Dhalli D/o Neku, Residence Of Teja Ki Beri, Tehsil Sayla,

District Jalore.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Uttam Khan

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FARJAND ALI**Order****28/03/2026**

1. The petitioner confines his prayer to the extent of issuance of certain directions to learned Revenue Board to decide the revision petition pending before it, expeditiously.
2. Considering the submission and observing that the revision petition came to be submitted before the learned Board in the year 2012 and it is also observed that on some occasions the arguments were concluded and the matter had been kept reserved for judgment, however, later it was released, it is felt appropriate to issue direction.
3. The learned Additional Divisional Commissioner vide its judgment dated 30.08.2012 passed an order in favor of the petitioner which came to challenge by the private respondent before the Revenue Board.
4. In view of the above, it is deemed appropriate to direct the respondent-Board to decide the revision petition No.7825/2012 as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of 60 days after receiving a certified copy of this order.
5. With the aforesaid directions, the writ petition is disposed of.
6. Stay petition and all pending applications, if any, stands disposed of.

(FARJAND ALI),J