

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 3591/2026

Sohan Ram S/o Dana Ram, Aged About 66 Years, resident Of
Nagaur Road Chauraha, Rajiv Gandhi Colony, Police Station
Phalodi, District Phalodi.
(At Present Lodged In Sub Jail, Pokaran)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 2615/2026

Manoj Kumar S/o Lichman Ram, Aged About 30 Years, R/o P.S.
Rajiyasar, Tehsil Suratgarh, District Sri Ganganagar.
(Presently Lodged At Jaisalmer Jail)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Hitendra Singh Mr. Mayank Choudhary
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Hanuman Prajapati, PP Mr. Rameshwar Chhangani and Mr. Himanshu Sharma, for complainant

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEER BHATNAGAR

Order

25/03/2026

1. These instant bail applications have been filed under Section 483 BNSS on behalf of accused-petitioners. The petitioners have been arrested in connection with FIR No.60/2024 registered at Police Station Bhaniyana, District Jaisalmer for the offence(s) under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC.

2. Learned counsels for the accused-petitioners submit that the accused-petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case. It is contended that the offences alleged against the accused-petitioners are exclusively triable by Magistrate and charge-sheet has been submitted. The accused-petitioners are behind the bars since long and the trial of the case may take considerable time, therefore, these bail applications of the accused-petitioners may be allowed.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor and counsel for the complainant have vehemently opposed these bail applications and contended that the petitioners have forged the documents, therefore, considering the aforesaid facts, the bail application filed by the petitioners deserves dismissal.

4. Heard and perused the material available on record.

5. Considering the fact that the offences alleged against the accused-petitioners are exclusively triable by Magistrate, the accused-petitioners are in custody since long and the trial of the case may take considerable time, therefore, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, I deem it just and proper to enlarge the accused-petitioners on bail.

6. Accordingly, these bail applications under Section 483 BNSS are allowed and it is ordered that the accused-petitioners namely:

1. Sohan Ram S/o Dana Ram & 2. Manoj Kumar S/o Lichman Ram in connection with FIR No.60/2024 registered at Police Station Bhaniyana, District Jaisalmer, shall be enlarged on bail provided both of them furnish a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Judge for their appearance before

the court concerned on all the dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so. The learned trial Judge shall also verify the address and the contact details of the surety through concerned SHO before releasing the accused-petitioners on bail.

7. The accused-petitioners are also directed to mark their presence on 25th of every month till conclusion of trial before the concerned police station. The SHO of the concerned police station is directed to maintain a regular register marking the presence of the accused-petitioners and shall send the presence report of the accused-petitioners on the same day to the concerned Trial Court without any delay. In case of any breach to the aforementioned conditions, the learned Public Prosecutor shall be free to move the application against the accused-petitioners for cancellation of the bail before the concerned Court.

8. The accused-petitioners are also directed to submit their present address along with the mobile number to the concerned SHO within a period of 7 days from their release and the concerned SHO shall verify the said address and the mobile number. In case if the petitioners change their address or mobile number, they shall submit the same before the concerned SHO so also before the concerned learned Trial Court.

9. A copy of this order shall be sent to the concerned SHO for its strict compliance.

(PRAVEER BHATNAGAR),J