

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6227/2026

Baby Sangeeta W/o Ganga Ram @ Sonu, D/o. Shri Laxmi Narayan, Aged About 33 Years, Resident Of Karni Singh Marg, Opposite Surendra Dental College, Sri Ganganagar (Rajasthan).

-----Petitioner

Versus

Ganga Ram @ Sonu S/o Phoolchand, Aged About 33 Years, Resident Of Gali No.01, Shyam Nagar, Near Tower, Purani Abadi, Sri Ganganagar (Rajasthan).

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Devendra Singh Thind
For Respondent(s)	:	-

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

27/03/2026

1. By way of filing the present writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for the following reliefs:-

"In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, it is most humbly prayed that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to allow the present writ petition and following reliefs may kindly be granted in favour of the petitioner:-

I. Set aside the impugned order dated 19.02.2026 passed by the Family Court No.1, Sri Ganganagar;

II. Be Allow the application for consolidation and may kindly be directed that the divorce petition No.111/2023 under Section 13(1) and the petition No. 102/2026 under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act be heard and decided together;

III. Pass any other appropriate writ, order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem just, proper and fit in the facts and circumstances of the case."

2. Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court finds that the learned Judge, Family Court No. 1, Sri Ganganagar, vide order dated 19.02.2026, has declined the request of the petitioner-wife

to hear and decide her application filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act along with the petition filed by the respondent-husband under Section 13 of the said Act.

3. The record of the case and the impugned order dated 19.02.2026 indicate that the petition filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act has been pending before the learned Family Court No. 1, Sri Ganganagar for more than two years, and the evidence on behalf of the husband has already been completed. In contrast, in the application filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, even notices have not yet been issued and the matter is still pending at the stage of the clerk's report. Moreover, the application under Section 9 has been filed after a lapse of about two years from the filing of the petition under Section 13 of the Act.

4. This Court finds no illegality, infirmity, or jurisdictional error in the order dated 19.02.2026 passed by the learned Family Court No. 1, Sri Ganganagar, as the possibility that the petitioner has filed the application under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act after two years of the filing of the petition under Section 13, with a view to delay the proceedings, cannot be ruled out. It is also noteworthy that mediation proceedings between the parties have already failed, and the High Court has issued directions to decide the petition filed under Section 13 by the respondent-husband on a priority basis.

5. In view of the aforesaid discussion, this Court finds no merit in the present writ petition, and the same is accordingly dismissed.

6. Stay petition and all pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J

17-divya/-