



2026:RJ-JD:14297-DB

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
JODHPUR
सत्यमेव जयते

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9319/2024

Balaji Enterprises
-----Petitioner

Versus

Union Of India
-----Respondent

Connected With

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4239/2024

Swastik Motors
-----Petitioner

Versus

Union Of India
-----Respondent

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5700/2024

M/s Shree Chamunda Steels
-----Petitioner

Versus

Union Of India
-----Respondent

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5722/2024

M/s Chandrakanta Enterprises
-----Petitioner

Versus

Union Of India
-----Respondent

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9353/2024

Balaji Enterprises
-----Petitioner

Versus

Union Of India
-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Sharad Kothari
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Mahaveer Bishnoi, AAG
		Mr. Harshwardhan Singh
		Mr. Kuldeep Vaishnav
		Mr. Rajat Arora with
		Mr. Lucky Rajpurohit
		Mr. Rishabh Dadhich for
		Mr. Rajendra Saraswat



HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. MANINDRA MOHAN SHRIVASTAVA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR SHARMA
Order

26/05/2025

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9319/2024

1. Heard on application for vacation of the interim order.
2. Though number of submissions have been made by learned counsel for the respondent, we have particularly taken into consideration that the amount which has been directed to be paid and subject to which the petitioner has been protected against the recovery of the balance amount is substantial one and is in line with the statutory requirement that there being an appeal filed by the petitioner.
3. The issue raised in this petition requires consideration as it has not been finally decided by the Hon'ble Apex Court and it cannot be said that the issue raised in this petition is no longer res-integra.
4. Therefore, we are not inclined to vacate the interim order. The application (I.A.No.1/24) is, thus rejected.
5. List this matter for hearing after summer vacation.

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4239/2024

1. Heard on application for vacation of the interim order.
2. Though number of submissions have been made by learned counsel for the respondent, we have particularly taken into consideration that the amount which has been directed to be paid and subject to which the petitioner has been protected against the recovery of the balance amount is substantial one and is in line



with the statutory requirement that there being an appeal filed by the petitioner.

3. The issue raised in this petition requires consideration as it has not been finally decided by the Hon'ble Apex Court and it cannot be said that the issue raised in this petition is no longer res-integra.

4. Therefore, we are not inclined to vacate the interim order. The application (I.A.No.1/24) is, thus rejected.

5. List this matter for hearing after summer vacation.

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9353/2024

1. Heard on application for vacation of the interim order.

2. Though number of submissions have been made by learned counsel for the respondent, we have particularly taken into consideration that the amount which has been directed to be paid and subject to which the petitioner has been protected against the recovery of the balance amount is substantial one and is in line with the statutory requirement that there being an appeal filed by the petitioner.

3. The issue raised in this petition requires consideration as it has not been finally decided by the Hon'ble Apex Court and it cannot be said that the issue raised in this petition is no longer res-integra.

4. Therefore, we are not inclined to vacate the interim order. The application (I.A.No.1/24) is, thus rejected.

5. List this matter for hearing after summer vacation.



[CW-9319/



2026:RJ-JD:14297-DB

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No.5700/2024 and 5722/2024

List these matters after summer vacation along with S.B.

Civil Writ Petition No.9319/2024.

(CHANDRA SHEKHAR SHARMA),J

(MANINDRA MOHAN SHRIVASTAVA),CJ

21-25 Bharti/-