

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

(1) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5346/2025

Jugal Kishor S/o Shri Hasti Mal, Aged About 67 Years, R/o Rohat, Tehsil Rohat, District Pali And Presently Residing At Sadar Bazar, Bhopalgarh, District Jodhpur (Raj.).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Raj.
2. District Collector, Pali.
3. Sub Division Officer, Rohat, District Pali.
4. Tehsildar, Rohat, District Pali.

-----Respondents

Connected With

(2) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 638/2025

Kan Singh S/o Achal Singh, Aged About 66 Years, Resident Of Village Bithu, Tehsil Rohat, District Pali (Raj.)

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur Raj.
2. District Collector, Pali.
3. Sub Division Officer, Rohat, District Pali.
4. Tehsildar, Rohat, District Pali.

-----Respondents

(3) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 639/2025

Mangu Singh S/o Bhopal Singh, Aged About 70 Years, Resident Of Village Bithu, Tehsil Rohat, District Pali (Raj.).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur Raj.
2. District Collector, Pali.
3. Sub Division Officer, Rohat,district Pali.
4. Tehsildar, Rohat,district Pali.

-----Respondents

(4) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 640/2025

1. Kera Ram S/o Pukha Ram Meghwal, Aged About 52 Years, Resident Of Village Bithu, Tehsil Rohat, District Pali (Raj).
2. Leela W/o Mishrilal Meghwal, Aged About 55 Years,

Resident Of Village Bithu, Tehsil Rohat, District Pali (Raj).

3. Narayan Ram S/o Prabhu Ram Meghwal, Aged About 61 Years, Resident Of Village Bithu, Tehsil Rohat, District Pali (Raj).
4. Mohanlal S/o Prabhu Ram Meghwal, Aged About 59 Years, Resident Of Village Bithu, Tehsil Rohat, District Pali (Raj).
5. Bhanwar Lal S/o Pema Ram Meghwal, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of Village Bithu, Tehsil Rohat, District Pali (Raj).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur Raj.
2. District Collector, Pali.
3. Sub Division Officer, Rohat, District Pali.
4. Tehsildar, Rohat, District Pali.

-----Respondents

(5) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 641/2025

1. Devpuri S/o Hari Puri Goswami, Aged About 54 Years, Resident Of Village Bithu, Tehsil Rohat , District Pali (Raj).
2. Oma Ram S/o Kera Ram Patel, Aged About 32 Years, Resident Of Village Bithu, Tehsil Rohat, District Pali (Raj).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur Raj.
2. District Collector, Pali.
3. Sub Division Officer, Rohat,district Pali.
4. Tehsildar, Rohat,district Pali.

-----Respondents

(6) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3841/2025

Teja Ram S/o Moda Ram Patel, Aged About 46 Years, Patel Ka Bas, Gajangarh, Tehsil Rohat, District Pali (Raj.).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Raj.
2. District Collector, Pali.
3. Sub Division Officer, Rohat, District Pali.
4. Tehsildar, Rohat, District Pali.

-----Respondents

(7) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3865/2025

Bhera Ram S/o Teja Ram Patel, Aged About 62 Years, Barla Bas,
Gajangarh, Tehsil Rohat, District Pali (Raj).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur Raj.
2. District Collector, Pali.
3. Sub Division Officer, Rohat, District Pali
4. Tehsildar, Rohat, District Pali.

-----Respondents

(8) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3867/2025

Heera Ram S/o Ramaram, Aged About 45 Years, Bavriyo Ka Bas,
Mandli Darjiyan, Doodiya, Tehsil Rohat, District Pali (Raj).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur Raj.
2. District Collector, Pali.
3. Sub Division Officer, Rohat, District Pali
4. Tehsildar, Rohat, District Pali.

-----Respondents

(9) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3918/2025

Jiva Ram S/o Teja Ram Patel, Aged About 59 Years, R/o Village
Gajangarh, Tehsil Rohat, District Pali (Raj.).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur Raj.
2. District Collector, Pali.
3. Sub Division Officer, Rohat, District Pali.
4. Tehsildar, Rohat, District Pali.

-----Respondents

(10) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4060/2025

Mangi Devi W/o Late Shri Hem Raj Ji Paliwal, Aged About 78
Years, R/o Village Dudiya, Tehsil Rohat , Present Residing At
Village Gajangarh, Thehsil Rohat, District Pali Raj.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary , Department



Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat,
Jaipur, Rajasthan.

2. District Collector, Pali.
3. Sub Division Officer, Rohat, District Pali.
4. Tehsildar, Rohat, District Pali.

----Respondents

(11) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4062/2025

Girdhari S/o Shri Khagar Ji, Aged About 73 Years, R/o 54, Santo
Ka Bas, Gajangarh, Tehsil Rohat, District Pali Raj.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary , Department
Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat,
Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. District Collector, Pali.
3. Sub Division Officer, Rohat, District Pali.
4. Tehsildar, Rohat, District Pali.

----Respondents

(12) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4064/2025

Khuma Ram S/o Shri Kesa Ram Meghwal, Aged About 59 Years,
R/o Village, Gajangarh, Tehsil Rohat, District Pali Raj.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary , Department
Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat,
Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. District Collector, Pali.
3. Sub Division Officer, Rohat, District Pali.
4. Tehsildar, Rohat, District Pali.

----Respondents

(13) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4091/2025

Sayari Devi W/o Gheesa Ram, Aged About 81 Years, Village
Gajangarh, Tehsil Rohat, District Pali (Raj).

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department Of
Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur,
Raj.
2. District Collector, Pali.
3. Sub Division Officer, Rohat, District Pali
4. Tehsildar, Rohat, District Pali.

----Respondents

(14) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4093/2025

Chatara Ram S/o Prabhu Ram, Aged About 74 Years, Village 38 Patelo Ka Bas, Gajangarh, Tehsil Rohat, District Pali (Raj).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Raj.
2. District Collector, Pali.
3. Sub Division Officer, Rohat, District Pali
4. Tehsildar, Rohat, District Pali.

-----Respondents

(15) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4272/2025

Hari Ram S/o Teja Ram Patel, Aged About 58 Years, R/o Village Gajangarh, Tehsil Rohat, District Pali (Raj.)

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary , Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. District Collector, Pali.
3. Sub Division Officer, Rohat, District Pali.
4. Tehsildar, Rohat, District Pali.

-----Respondents

(16) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4273/2025

Kuparam S/o Pema Ram, Aged About 51 Years, R/o Patelo Ka Bas, Gajangarh, Tehsil Rohat, District Pali (Raj.)

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary , Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. District Collector, Pali.
3. Sub Division Officer, Rohat, District Pali.
4. Tehsildar, Rohat, District Pali.

-----Respondents


(17) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4290/2025

Shiva Ram S/o Pema Ram, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Patelo Ka Bas, Gajangarh, Tehsil Rohat, District Pali (Raj).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur Raj.



2. District Collector, Pali.
3. Sub Division Officer, Rohat, District Pali
4. Tehsildar, Rohat, District Pali.

-----Respondents

(18) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5394/2025

Hanuman Singh S/o Sukh Dev, Aged About 49 Years, R/o Rohat, Tehsil Rohat, District Pali And Presently Residing At Bera Wala Bas, Bhadwasiya, Jodhpur, District Jodhpur (Raj.)

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary , Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. District Collector, Pali.
3. Sub Division Officer, Rohat, District Pali
4. Tehsildar, Rohat, District, Pali.

-----Respondents

(19) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5401/2025

Omprakash S/o Shri Pukhraj, Aged About 48 Years, R/o 342, Jatiyon Ka Bas, Rohat, Tehsil Rohat, District Pali (Raj.).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur Raj.
2. District Collector, Pali.
3. Sub Division Officer, Rohat, District Pali.
4. Tehsildar, Rohat, District Pali.

-----Respondents

(20) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5403/2025

Javari Lal S/o Shri Mansa Ram, Aged About 67 Years, R/o 839, Jatiyo Ka Bas, Rohat, Tehsil Rohat, District Pali (Raj).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur Raj.
2. District Collector, Pali.
3. Sub Division Officer, Rohat, District Pali
4. Tehsildar, Rohat, District Pali.

-----Respondents

(21) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5405/2025

Suresh Kumar S/o Hajari Ram, Aged About 47 Years, R/o Rohat,

Tehsil Rohat, District Pali And Presently Residing At Mandir Wala Mohalla, Bhadwasiya, Jodhpur, District Jodhpur (Raj).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur Raj.
2. District Collector, Pali.
3. Sub Division Officer, Rohat, District Pali
4. Tehsildar, Rohat, District Pali.

-----Respondents

(22) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5515/2025

Shanker Lal S/o Shri Narulal, Aged About 62 Years, R/o Rohat, Tehsil Tohat, District Pali , At Present Residing At Balabas, Bhadwasiya, Jodhpur, District Jodhpur Raj.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary , Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. District Collector, Pali
3. Sub Division Officer, Rohat District Pali.
4. Tehsildar, Rohat District Pali.

-----Respondents

(23) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5523/2025

Roopa Ram S/o Banshi Lal, Aged About 76 Years, R/o Jatiyon Ka Bas, Rohat, Tehsil Rohat, District Pali (Raj.).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Raj.
2. District Collector, Pali.
3. Sub Division Officer, Rohat, District Pali.
4. Tehsildar, Rohat, District Pali.

-----Respondents

(24) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5726/2025

Nema Ram S/o Dhala Ram, Aged About 35 Years, R/o, Jatiyon Ka Bas, Rohat, Tehsil Rohat, District Pali (Raj.).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur

Raj.

2. District Collector, Pali.
3. Sub Division Officer, Rohat, District Pali.
4. Tehsildar, Rohat, District Pali.

----Respondents

(25) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5727/2025

Naresh Kumar S/o Om Prakash, Aged About 37 Years, R/o 865, Jatiyon Ka Bas, Rohat, Tehsil Rohat, District Pali (Raj.)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary , Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur Raj.
2. District Collector, Pali.
3. Sub Division Officer, Rohat, District Pali.
4. Tehsildar, Rohat, District Pali.

----Respondents

(26) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5805/2025

1. Kera Ram S/o Pukha Ram Meghwal, Aged About 52 Years, Resident Of Village Bithu, Tehsil Rohat, District Pali (Raj.).
2. Narayan Ram S/o Prabhu Ram Meghwal, Aged About 57 Years, Resident Of Village Bithu, Tehsil Rohat, District Pali (Raj.).
3. Mohanlal S/o Prabhu Ram Meghwal, Aged About 53 Years, Resident Of Village Bithu, Tehsil Rohat, District Pali (Raj.).
4. Bhanwar Lal S/o Pema Ram Meghwal, Aged About 50 Years, Resident Of Village Bithu, Tehsil Rohat, District Pali (Raj.).
5. Omaram S/o Kera Ram Patel, Aged About 30 Years, Resident Of Village Bithu, Tehsil Rohat, District Pali (Raj.).
6. Magna Ram S/o Pukha Ram Meena, Aged About 60 Years, Resident Of Village Bithu, Tehsil Rohat, District Pali (Raj.).
7. Devpuri S/o Haripuri, Aged About 58 Years, Resident Of Village Bithu, Tehsil Rohat, District Pali (Raj.).

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur Raj.
2. District Collector, Pali.
3. Tehsildar, Rohat, District Pali.

----Respondents

(27) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6363/2025

Madi Devi W/o Mohanlal, Aged About 75 Years, R/o Village

Rohat, Tehsil Rohat, District Pali At Present Residing At Berawala Was, Bhadwasiya, Jodhpur, District Jodhpur (Raj.).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur Raj.
2. District Collector, Pali.
3. Sub Division Officer, Rohat, District Pali.
4. Tehsildar, Rohat, District Pali.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Moti Singh
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Yogesh Sharma, AAAG for Mr. S. S. Ladreacha, AAG

JUSTICE DINESH MEHTA

Order

13/05/2025

1. The petitioners were members of the erstwhile Co-operative Societies which have been dissolved on account of being non-functional.
2. Mr. Moti Singh, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that all the petitioners were having Khatedari rights qua the land in their possession being members of the Society. He added that so far as dissolution of the society is concerned, they are not aggrieved, but they are aggrieved because the respondents are not passing orders of allotment/regularization of land in terms of Rule 20(3) of The Rajasthan Land Revenue (Allotment of Land for Agricultural Purposes) Rules, 1970 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Rules of 1970').
3. The petitioners have approached this Court with a grievance that the applications which they have filed before the concerned

Sub-Divisional Officer/ Collector are pending and though some steps have been taken up but the same have not yet been finalized and in the meantime the Tehsildar has initiated proceedings under Section 91 of the Rajasthan Land Revenue Act, 1956 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Act of 1956') and is hell bent to evict them treating them to be encroachers.

4. Learned counsel submitted that if the Tehsildar dispossess the petitioners in furtherance of the proceedings under Section 91 of the Act of 1956, not only the petitioners will be rendered landless but also their rights to get allotment/regularization in terms of Rule 20(3) of the Rules of 1970 will be severely impaired.

5. Mr. Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents – State submitted that once the Co-operative Society has been dissolved, its members have no right to continue with the possession of the land and to cultivate the same. He submitted that petitions be therefore, dismissed. He, however, did not dispute the position that the petitioners have moved application(s) under Rule 20(3) of the Rules of 1970.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and upon consideration of sub-rule (3) of Rule 20 of the Rules of 1970, this Court is of the view that petitioners have a right of getting allotment of the land being members of the dissolved Co-operative Societies. If they are ejected from their possession, their right of allotment under Rule 20(3) of the Rules of 1970 will be jeopardized for want of possession.

7. These writ petitions are, therefore, disposed of while directing the District Collector before whom the applications for allotment are said to be pending to expeditiously consider the

same in accordance with law. It shall be required of the Tehsildar being member of the Land Advisory Committee to conduct a survey and send his report to the concerned Sub-Divisional Officer, who shall thereafter make a recommendation for allotment of land to the District Collector in accordance with law.

8. It shall be required of the District Collector to complete the above exercise within a period of six months from today.

9. In case the District Collector or the competent authority is of the opinion that the land cannot be allotted to the petitioners, he shall pass speaking order(s) under intimation to the petitioners against which their rights to seek legal remedies shall stand reserved.

10. In case, the petitioners are found entitled for the allotment, requisite order shall necessarily be passed within the aforesaid period of six months.

11. The petitioners shall not be dispossessed until their application(s) for allotment under sub-rule (3) of Rule 20 of the Rules of 1970 is/are decided.

12. The respondents - State shall not create third party rights qua the land in petitioners' possession.

13. Stay applications also stand disposed of accordingly.

(DINESH MEHTA),J