

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3789/2025
CNR: RJHC010129782025 | URN: CW / 5955U / 2025

Sonal Kumari Agarwal D/o Shri Ramesh Kumar, Aged About 44 Years, R/o Urja Agencies, Nearby Dy. S P Office, Jwb Road, Ward No. 16, Sumerpur, Pali, Rajasthan.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Hdfc Bank, Through The Manager, Hdfc Bank Sumerpur Branch, Pali, Rajasthan.
2. The Kerla National Cyber Crime Report Portal, Police Station Tirur, Kerala.
3. The Station House Officer, Cyber Crime Cell, Police Station Tirur, District Jalappuram, Kerala.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5078/2025
CNR: RJHC010211692025 | URN: CW / 8100U / 2025

Ramkishan Saini S/o Shri Girraj Saini, Aged About 20 Years, R/o Bjs Colony, Near Rto Office, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Commissioner Of Police, Home Department, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Commissioner Of Police, Home Department, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
3. Station House Officer, Cyber Crime Cell, Ahmedabad City, Gujarat.
4. Branch Manager/nodal Officer, Csb Bank, Branch Code 312, Plot No. 11, Motilal Atal Road, Opp Ganapathi Plaza, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5086/2025
CNR: RJHC010212052025 | URN: CW / 8112U / 2025

Mukesh Kumar Kumawat S/o Shri Ram Lal Kumawat, Aged About 28 Years, R/o Bohro Ka Vas, Bap, District Jodhpur,

Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Commissioner Of Police, Home Department, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
2. Branch Manager / Nodal Officer, Ujjivan Small Finance Bank, Koramangala Branch Bangalore, Karnataka.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5088/2025

CNR: RJHC010205652025 | URN: CW / 8115U / 2025

Shyam Yadav S/o Shri Rampal Yadav, Aged About 26 Years, R/o Sector 2, Kudi-Bhagtasani Housing Board Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Commissioner Of Police, Home Department, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
2. Station House Officer, Cyber Crime Cell, Delhi South West, Delhi.
3. Station House Officer, Cyber Crime Cell, Bangalore, Karnataka.
4. Branch Manager / Nodal Officer, Indusind Bank, Raja Park, B-10-I, Govind Marg, Adarsh Nagar, Jaipur, Rajasthan 302004.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 8628/2025

CNR: RJHC010391942025 | URN: CW / 15081U / 2025

Shri Ram S/o Shri Arjun Ram, Aged About 22 Years, R/o Jambheshwar Nagar, Village Post Bhakhari, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Director General Of Police, Police Directorate, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Commissioner Of Police, Home Department, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
3. National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal, Represented By

Its Director, National Highway-8, Mahalipur, New Delhi,
Pin- 110037.

4. Branch Manager / Nodal Officer, Hdfc Bank Ltd, 1,
Narpat Nagar, Pal Road, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9193/2025

CNR: RJHC010398482025 | URN: CW / 16172U / 2025

Mangat Ram S/o Shiv Lal Batra, Aged About 52 Years, R/o
Ward No. 33, Syama Prasad Mukaraji Colony, Hanumangarh
Town, Hanumangarh, Rajasthan- 335512.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Hdfc Bank, Through Its Branch Manager, Ground And
First Floor, Shop No. 1, Tibi Road, Nai Dhan Mandi,
Hanumangarh Town District- Hanumangarh, Rajasthan-
335513
2. The Station House Officer, Police Station Jeeradei,
District Siwan, Bihar.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5079/2025

CNR: RJHC010211732025 | URN: CW / 8101U / 2025

Gurugyan Library, Through Its Authorized Proprietor Dileep
Kumar S/o Shri Binjaram, Aged About 21 Years, R/o Bhoja
Nagar, Tehsil Bap, Champasar, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Commissioner Of
Police, Home Department, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
2. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Commissioner Of
Police, Home Department, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. Branch Manager / Nodal Officer, Ujjivan Small Finance
Bank, Near Ridhi Sidhi Chauraha, District Jaipur,
Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5751/2025

CNR: RJHC010244472025 | URN: CW / 9298U / 2025

Dinesh Choudhary S/o Shri Sita Ram Choudhary, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Khokharo Ki Dhani, Gotan, District Nagaur.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The Principal Nodal Officer, The Airtel Bank Ltd., 1St Floor, Tower B, Plot No. 16, Udhyog Vihar, Industrial Area, Phase-4, Gurugram-122001.
2. The Manager, The Airtel Bank Ltd., Sbi Bank Wali Gali, Boranada, Jodhpur.
3. The Station House Officer, Chevayur Police Station, Kozhikode City, Kerala.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 7201/2025

CNR: RJHC010317802025 | URN: CW / 12179U / 2025

Bitlectra Infrotech Private Limited, Through Its One Of The Authorized Proprietor Rahul Prajapati C/o Shir Surgyan Prajapati, Aged About 24 Years, R/o Kudi Bhagtasani Housing Board, Sector -5, Kudi Bhagtasani, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Director General Of Police, Police Directorate, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal, Represented By Its Director, National Highway-8, Mahalipur, New Delhi, Pin 110037
3. The Commissioner Of Police, Home Department, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
4. Station House Officer, Cyber Crime Cell, Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.
5. Station House Officer, Cyber Crime Cell, Pratap Nagar, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
6. Station House Officer, Cyber Crime Cell, Kashigaon, Maharashtra.

7. Branch Manager / Nodal Officer, Axis Bank, Radha Swami Satsang Bhawan Kalwar Road, District Jaipur, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9507/2025
CNR: RJHC010431312025 | URN: CW / 16766U / 2025

Pawan Kumar S/o Shri Babu Lal Prajapat, Aged About 49 Years, R/o Ward No. 25, Kumharo Ka Bass, Near Jain Narsiyo, Bankliya, Ladnun, District Deedwana- Kuchaman.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The Branch Manager, Nodal Officer, Indusind Bank Ltd. Ground Floor, Ward No. 21, Station Road, Ladnun, District Deedwana- Kuchaman.
2. Sho Bijapur, Police Inspector, Bijapur, Chhattisgarh-494444.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9617/2025
CNR: RJHC010435212025 | URN: CW / 16950U / 2025

Bhanwar Dan Ratnoo S/o Shri Rewat Dan, Aged About 67 Years, R/o House No. 206, Street No. 3, Bjs Colony, Hanuwant-A, District Jodhpur (Raj.).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Bank Of India, Through Its Branch Manager, Branch Jodhpur Chopasni Housing Board, Jodhpur.
2. State Bank Of India, Through Its Branch Manager, Branch Jodhpur Bjs Colony, Jodhpur.
3. State Bank Of India, Through Retail Assets Central Processing Center (Racpc) Rajasthan High Court Compound, Jodhpur.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9649/2025
CNR: RJHC010438282025 | URN: CW / 17016U / 2025

Vicky Chouhan S/o Shri Rajkumar, Aged About 36 Years, R/o 21/575, Choupasni Housing Bard, Asent Academy School, Chb, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Director General Of Police, Police Directorate, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Commissioner Of Police, Home Department, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
3. National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal, Represented By Its Director, National Highway-8, Mahalipur, New Delhi, Pin- 110037.
4. Branch Manager / Nodal Officer, Axis Bank, 22-S-13, Samrat Ashok Udhyan, Chopasani Aawasiya Yojna, Pal Road, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9737/2025
CNR: RJHC010442492025 | URN: CW / 17183U / 2025

Bikash Daga S/o Shri Ratan Lal, Aged About 46 Years, R/o 140, B Laxmi Nagar, Jodhpur (Raj.) 342001.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Punjab National Bank, Through Its Manager, Branch - Ramsagar Circle, Bhadwasia Road, Jodhpur, Rajasthan - 342001.
2. The Police Inspector Cyber, Economic And Narcotic Drugs, Crime Police Station, At New Bus Stand Opposite Dharwad, Karnataka - 580008.
3. The National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal, Zonal Office, Hyderabad, 2Nd Floor, Saeed Plaza, Saifabad, Hyderabad - 500004.

-----Respondents



S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9745/2025
CNR: RJHC010438112025 | URN: CW / 17200U / 2025

Rajkumar Vishnoi S/o Shri Bhanwar Lal, Aged About 22 Years,
R/o 21/865, 21 Sector Chb, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Director General Of Police, Police Directorate, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Commissioner Of Police, Home Department, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
3. National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal, Represented By Its Director, National Highway-8, Mahalipur, New Delhi, Pin-110037.
4. Station House Officer, Raidurgam, Cyber Crime, Telangana.
5. Branch Manager/nodal Officer, Hdfc Bank, Ground Floor, Patta No. 37, Near Jagdamba Iron, Boranada, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 10245/2025
CNR: RJHC010468702025 | URN: CW / 18040U / 2025

Laxmi Narayan Jindal S/o Deen Dayal Jindal, Aged About 50 Years, Resident Of 69, Ward No. 10, Nehra Nagar, 6 E Rural, Sriganganagar, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Punjab National Bank, Meera Marg Branch, Sriganganagar, Rajasthan.
2. Director General Of Police, State Cyber Crime Cell Gandhinagar, Gujarat.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 10283/2025
CNR: RJHC010463772025 | URN: CW / 18121U / 2025

Efpi Technologies Pvt. Ltd., Through Director Nitesh D/o Shri

Rakshpal Singh, Having Its Registered Office At B-9, Gayatri Bhawan, Vikram Colony, Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh, India (202001).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, Department Of Home Affairs, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Superintendent Of Police, District Bikaner, Rajasthan.
3. The Station House Officer (Sho), Police Station- Sadar Bikaner, District Bikaner, Rajasthan.
4. The Cyber Police Station, District Bikaner, Rajasthan, Through Sho.
5. The Branch Manager/nodal Officer, Rbl Bank, Branch- Hansalaya House, New Delhi.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 11196/2025
CNR: RJHC010512072025 | URN: CW / 19870U / 2025

Bhavani Singh S/o Bhanwar Singh, Aged About 21 Years, Village Aau, Tena Nadi, Tehsil Aau, District Phalodi. (Raj.).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Director General Of Police, Police Directorate, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Commissioner Of Police, Home Department, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
3. Station House Officer, Phalodi, District Phalodi, Rajasthan.
4. Cyber Crime, Town Police Station Vizianagaram (Andhra Pradesh).
5. Branch Manager/nodal Officer, State Bank Of India, Branch Aau (Branch Code 32132), Himmat Singh Building, Bhojasar Choraya, Nagaur Road Aau, District Phalodi (Raj.).

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 11583/2025
CNR: RJHC010531232025 | URN: CW / 20622U / 2025

Sunil Singh Rawat S/o Shri Ratan Singh Rawat, Aged About 26 Years, R/o At Present 77, Vrindavan Vihar, Hiran Magri, Sector- 3, Manwa Khera (Rural), District Udaipur, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Reserve Bank Of India, Through Chief General Manager, 18Th Floor, Central Office Building, Shahid Bhagat Singh Road, Mumbai-400001.
2. IndusInd Bank, Branch Ashok Nagar, District Udaipur Through Its Manager Having Address As Plot No 2B,2C And 2D Situated At Mahaveer Colony Main Road Ashok Nagar Udaipur City, District Udaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Station House Officer, Police Station Bistrakh, Gautam Budh Noida, Uttar Pradesh.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 11607/2025
CNR: RJHC010531272025 | URN: CW / 20648U / 2025

Sunil Singh Rawat S/o Shri Ratan Singh Rawat, Aged About 26 Years, R/o At Present 77, Vrindavan Vihar, Hiran Magri, Sector-3, Manwa Khera (Rural), District Udaipur, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Reserve Bank Of India, Through Chief General Manager, 18Th Floor, Central Office Building, Shahid Bhagat Singh Road, Mumbai-400001.
2. South Indian Bank, Branch Udaipur, District Udaipur Through Its Manager Having Address As No.1, Shikarpur Colony, Udaipur, District Udaipur, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 11623/2025
CNR: RJHC010531252025 | URN: CW / 20664U / 2025

Sunil Singh Rawat S/o Shri Ratan Singh Rawat, Aged About

26 Years, R/o 77, Vrindavan Vihar, Hiran Magri, Sector 3,
Manwa Khera (Rural), District Udaipur, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Reserve Bank Of India, Through Chief General Manager, 18Th Floor, Central Office Building, Shahid Bhagat Singh Road, Mumbai - 400001.
2. Indian Overseas Bank, Branch Udaipur City, District Udaipur Through Its Manager Having Address As 10, Panchasheel Marg, Opposite Town Hall, 1St Floor, Udaipur City, District Udaipur, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12196/2025
CNR: RJHC010569602025 | URN: CW / 21867U / 2025

Mohammed Ali S/o Shri Mohammed Salim, Aged About 26
Years, Resident Of Loharo Ki Masjid, Machhi Market, Jodhpur.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Indusind Bank, Chopasani Road Branch, Jodhpur Through Its Branch Manager.
2. Station House Officer, Police Station Cen, Central Division Bangaluru City.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12427/2025
CNR: RJHC010586322025 | URN: CW / 22284U / 2025

Tarun Arora S/o Shri Hari Chand Arora, Aged About 52 Years,
Proprietor Of Krishna Sales Agencies, R/o 13/43 Veer Durga
Das Rathore Colony, Paota B Road, Jodhpur, Rajasthan -
342001.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Station House Officer, Hadapsar Police Station, Pune City, Maharashtra - 411028.
2. Police Inspector (Crime), Hadapsar Police Station, Pune

City, Maharashtra - 411028.

3. Branch Manager, Yes Bank, 117/4, Pwd Colony, Jodhpur, Rajasthan - 342001.
4. Principal Nodal / Principal, Head Grievance Officer, Yes Bank Ltd., Yes Bank House, Off Western Highway, Santacruz, East Mumbai, Maharashtra - 400055.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12440/2025
CNR: RJHC010583622025 | URN: CW / 22301U / 2025

Garima Prajapat D/o Pawan Kumar Prajapat, Aged About 22 Years, R/o Ward No. 25, Kumharo Ka Bass, Ladnun, District Nagaur.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The Branch Manager, Indusind Bank, Ladnun, District Nagaur.
2. Police Inspector, Cyber Police Station Bijapur, Chhattisgarh.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12464/2025
CNR: RJHC010587812025 | URN: CW / 22343U / 2025

Anil Kumar Bishnoi S/o Shri Jay Ram, Aged About 29 Years, R/o 111, Khileriyo Ki Dhani, Village Nimbali Patelan, Tehsil Rohat, District Pali, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Director General Of Police, Police Directorate, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal, Represented By Its Director, National Highway-8, Mahalipur, New Delhi, Pin-110037.
3. The Superintendent Of Police, Home Department, Pali, Rajasthan.
4. Branch Manager/nodal Officer, State Bank Of India



Bank, Nh65, Rohat, District Pali Rajasthan, Ifsc Code-Sbin0031370.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12883/2025
CNR: RJHC010607762025 | URN: CW / 23119U / 2025

Khivraj Goyal S/o Shri Babulal Goyal, Aged About 41 Years, Resident Of Bhojawaton Ka Baqs, Soorsagar, Jodhpur - 342024.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Director General Of Police, Police Directorate, Jaipur.
2. The Commissioner Of Police, Jodhpur.
3. The Superintendent Of Police (Cybercrime) Rajasthan Police, Cybercrime Branch, Jaipur.
4. Station House Officer, Police Station, Soorsagar, Jodhpur.
5. Station House Officer, Cybercrime Police Station, Mahabubabad, Telangana - 506101.
6. The IndusInd Bank, Through Its Branch Manager, Soorsagar, Branch, Jodhpur.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12892/2025
CNR: RJHC010609712025 | URN: CW / 23136U / 2025

Gopal Singh Chouhan S/o Shri Bhupendra Singh, Aged About 24 Years, Resident Of 416, Dilip Nagar, Lal Sagar, Jodhpur.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. IndusInd Bank, Ground Floor, Laxman Bhawan, Polo Ist, Paota, Main Mandore Road, Jodhpur Through Its Branch Manager.
2. The Inspector Of Police, Police Station Cyber (West), Gurugram (Haryana).
3. The Inspector Of Police, Police Station Kumbalgotu,

District Ramanagara (Karnataka).

4. The Inspector Of Police, City Cyber Crime Police Station, Hyderabad (Telangana).
5. The Inspector Of Police, Sakinaka Police Station, Brihan Mumbai City, Mumbai, Maharashtra.
6. The Inspector Of Police, Police Station Mutyalareddypalli, Tirupathi (Andhra Pradesh).

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12985/2025

CNR: RJHC010612382025 | URN: CW / 23306U / 2025

Ritesh Parihar S/o Shri Jagdish Parihar, Aged About 37 Years, R/o 159, Boyal House, Parihar Nagar, Bhadwasiya, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Director General Of Police, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Police Commissioner, Commissionarate Of Police, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
3. The Branch Manager, Axis Bank, Chopasani Branch, Chopasani, Jodhpur.
4. The Station House Officer, Nallapadu Police Station Station, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh.
5. The Station House Officer, Gurgram, Haryana.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13046/2025

CNR: RJHC010611942025 | URN: CW / 23406U / 2025

Sawai Ram Rao S/o Shri Bhanwara Ram Rao, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Ravo Ki Dhani, Sai, Shergarh, District Jodhpur.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Commissioner Of Police, Home Department, Jodhpur.

2. State Bank Of India, Through Bank Manager, Branch-Shergarh, District Jodhpur.
3. Crime Branch Ps Bangalore City, Karnataka.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13125/2025
CNR: RJHC010622102025 | URN: CW / 23545U / 2025

Himanshu Awtani S/o Ramesh Awtani, Aged About 27 Years,
R/o 583 Ashiyana, Sardarpura, 11Th C Road, Jodhpur,
Rajasthan, 342003.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Commissioner Of Police Jodhpur, Police Commissionerate Jodhpur.
2. Axis Bank Ltd., Through Its Nodal Officer Having Its Office At B-115, 1St Floor, Shanti Tower, Hawa Sadak, Civil Lines, Jaipur, Rajasthan- 302006.
3. Branch Manager, Axis Bank Ltd., 1St Floor, Prince Tower, Plot No.654, Residency Rd, Near Jaljog Circle, Jaljog Chouraha, Sardarpura, Jodhpur, Rajasthan- 342001.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13226/2025
CNR: RJHC010627122025 | URN: CW / 23764U / 2025

Ajit Singh S/o Shri Prem Singh Devda, Aged About 25 Years,
R/o Vele Kua, Dheekli, Dthinkli, Udaipur, (Raj)-313001.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Canara Bank, Through Its Manager, Branch - Shubhash Nagar Udaipur Office Address At 16, Pathon Ki Magari, Subhash Nagar, Udaipur Rajasthan-313001.
2. The Station House Officer, Police Station, Ashok Nagar, District T Nagar (Thiyagaraya Nagar), Tamil Nadu-

600083.

3. The Station House Officer, Cyber Crime Police Station,
District Rachakonda, Telangana- 5000076.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13481/2025
CNR: RJHC010641392025 | URN: CW / 24263U / 2025

Madhusudan Bishnoi S/o Shri Mahiram Bishnoi, Aged About 26
Years, R/o Guda Bishnoiyan, Tehsil Luni, District Jodhpur
(Raj.).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The Branch Manager/nodal Officer, Indusind Bank,
Sangam Complex, Ground Floor, Charch Road, District
Jaipur.
2. Police Inspector, Cyber Crime P.s., Building No. 104
A/ad, Gandhinagar, Jammu, Jammu And Kashmir.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13485/2025
CNR: RJHC010642452025 | URN: CW / 24268U / 2025

Dharmendar S/o Babu Lal, Aged About 25 Years, Ward No. 25
Krishi Mandi Ke Samne, Pipar City, Jodhpur.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Public Prosecutor.
2. The Branch Manager, Uco Bank, Pipar City Branch,
Jodhpur
3. S.h.o., P.s. Banasawadi, Bangalore City, Karnataka.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 11135/2025
CNR: RJHC010501712025 | URN: CW / 19758U / 2025

Harvachan Singh S/o Nag Singh, Aged About 27 Years,
Resident Of Village Keraliya, Tehsil Pokran, District Jaisalmer
(Rajasthan).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Director General Of Police, Police Directorate, Jaipur (Rajasthan).
2. The Commissioner Of Police, Home Department, Jodhpur (Rajasthan).
3. The Nodal Cyber Cell Officer, Gujrat.
4. The Cyber Crime Police Station, Gandhinagar (Gujrat).
5. Branch Manager / Nodal Officer, State Bank Of India, Branch Lathi (Branch Code 31373), Tehsil Pokran, District Jaisalmer (Rajasthan).

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13568/2025

CNR: RJHC010647132025 | URN: CW / 24421U / 2025

Kapil Mantri S/o Shri Lalit Mantri, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Nagar Seth Ki Haweli, Near Mantri Bhawan, Salumber, Udaipur, (Raj.).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Hdfc Bank, Through Its Manager, Branch- Durga Nursery Road, Udaipur, Rajasthan- 313001.
2. The Station House Officer, Police Station Kamrup, Tehsil And District Kamrup, Assam- 781017.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13687/2025

CNR: RJHC010652412025 | URN: CW / 24602U / 2025

Pradeep Kumar S/o Shri Bahadur Mal, Aged About 34 Years, R/o At House No. 132, Bhagat Ki Kothi Jodhpur. Permanent R/o Ward No. 02, Santriya, Tehsil Singhana, District Jhunjhunu.

-----Petitioner

1. The Branch Manager / Nodal Officer, IndusInd Bank Ltd., City Centre Building, Ground Floor, Near Sanghi Petrol Pump, Station Road, Jodhpur.
2. Sho/police Inspector, Dewas, Civil Line, Madhya Pradesh.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14228/2025
CNR: RJHC010679782025 | URN: CW / 25691U / 2025

Rajesh Choudhary S/o Shri Ramchandra, Aged About 28 Years, At Present R/o Village Noondara, District Nagaur, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The Branch Manager/nodal Officer, IndusInd Bank Ltd., Ground Floor, Plot No.4, Ward No.9, Station Road, Kuchaman City, District Deedwana- Kuchaman, Rajasthan.
2. Sho / Police Inspector, Pallikaranai, Tamil Nadu.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 17691/2025
CNR: RJHC010856042025 | URN: CW / 31766U / 2025

M/s Shri Shriyade Cement Suppliers, Add Main Bazar, Bus Stand Khajwana, Merta City, Nagaur, Rajasthan Through Its Director Natthu Ram S/o Duda Ram Prajapat, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Sansiyon Ka Bas, Village Khajwana, District Nagaur, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Reserve Bank Of India, 18Th Floor, Central Office Building Shahid Bhagat Singh Road Mumbai - 40001, Through Its Director.
2. Rajasthan Gramin Bank, Branch Khajwana, V.p. Khajwana, Nagaur, Rajasthan 341028 Branch Chode



00345 Through Its Manager.

3. Station House Officer, Cyber Crime Branch National Hwy 4A Bypass Road, Ribandar, Goa 403006.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 18238/2025

CNR: RJHC010878852025 | URN: CW / 32717U / 2025

Shravan Kumar S/o Ghisa Ram Jat, Aged About 28 Years, R/o 4/9 Badi Kheri Ward 13 Sadri Tehsil Desuri Dist. Pali, Raj.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Union Of India, Through The Secretary, Finance Department, Secretariat, Delhi.
2. Regional Manager, Hdfc Bank, Hdfc Bank House, Senapati Bapat Marg, Lower Parel(West), Mumbai, Maharashtra.
3. The Branch Manager, Hdfc Bank, Ranakpur Road, Branch, Sadri (Pali) Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 10033/2025

CNR: RJHC010455902025 | URN: CW / 17654U / 2025

Mohammed Afzal S/o Chand Mohammed, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Bamba Badi Masjed Ke Peeche, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. IndusInd Bank, Through Its Branch Manager, Branch Nai Sadak, Jodhpur, District- Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
2. Station House Officer, Police Station Konch, Uttar Pradesh.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 19188/2025

CNR: RJHC010887682025 | URN: CW / 34342U / 2025

Surendra Choudhary S/o Durga Ram, Aged About 22 Years, R/



o Pipaliya Bera, Javasiya, Riyan, Jodhpur.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Director Of Police Home Department Jodhpur.
2. The Branch Manager, Indusind Bank, Bilara Branch, Jodhpur.
3. Sho, Singtom Cyber Crime Cell, Ganagtok, Sikkim.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 20356/2025

CNR: RJHC010971062025 | URN: CW / 36339U / 2025

Miss Yabit Pabin D/o Tanong Pabin, Aged About 26 Years, Resident Of Village Yibuk, P.o. Boleng, P.s. Boleng, District Slang, State Of Arunachal Pradesh - 791102

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Commissioner Of Police, Home Department, Jodhpur.
2. State Bank Of India, Through Branch Manager, Defence Laboratory Branch, Jodhpur.
3. State Bank Of India, Through Its Head Branch, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. Cyber Police, Rajkot City, Gujarat.
5. State Cyber Crime Cell, Cid Crime, Gandhinagar, Gujarat.
6. Reserve Bank Of India, Through Its Regional Director, Rbi Regional Office, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
7. Reserve Bank Of India, Through Its Governor, Central Office, Shahid Bhagat Singh Marg, Mumbai - 400001

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 23405/2025

CNR: RJHC01111322025 | URN: CW / 42034U / 2025



Suraj Pal Singh S/o Narpal Singh, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Himmat Nagar, Deorajgarh, Solankia Tala, Tehsil Shergarh, District - Jodhpur (Rajasthan).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The Branch Manager, Icici Bank Limited Branch Solankia Tala, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
2. Police Sub Inspector (Si), Yadgir Town Police Station, Yadgir, Karnatka (585201).
3. Investigating Officer, Cyber Police Station Nagpur City, Patel Bunglow, Chhaoni, Sadar Nagpur, Maharashtra (440001).

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 1192/2026

CNR: RJHC010052522026 | URN: CW / 2108U / 2026

Arun Dudi S/o Sitaram Dudi, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Plot No.17, Devnagar, Nandri, Tehsil And District Jodhpur (Rajasthan) 342001.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Bank Of India, Having Its Branch At Bhopalgarh, District Jodhpur Through The Branch Manager.
2. Hdb Financial Services Limited, Having Its Branch At Saraswati Nagar, Basni, Jodhpur Through The Branch Manager.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 2293/2026

CNR: RJHC010103532026 | URN: CW / 4241U / 2026

Anant Steel Furniture, Through Its Proprietor Jayesh Chouhan Son Of Jugraj Chouhan Aged About 36 Years, Residing At 35 A Chouhan Niwas, Bapu Colony Main Chopasani Road, Jodhpur, (Rajasthan).

-----Petitioner



1. Hdfc Bank, Through Its Branch Manager, Akhaliya Circle, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
2. Hdfc Bank, Through Its Managing Director And Ceo, Having Its Corporate Office At Senapati Bapat Marg, Lower Parel (West), Mumbai
3. Reserve Bank Of India, Regional Office At Ram Bagh Circle, Tonk Road, Jaipur

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5288/2026
CNR: RJHC010220212026 | URN: CW / 9518U / 2026

Adarman Khan S/o Shri Moideen Khan, Aged About 25 Years, R/o Charwa Nagar, Barnel, Jayal, District Nagaur, Rajasthan - 341023.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The S.b.i., Through Its Branch Manager Jayal District Nagaur, Branch Code - 31118.
2. State Of Rajasthan, Through Director General Of Police, Police Directorate, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal, Represented By Its Director, National Highway-8, Mahalipur, New Delhi, Pin 110037.
4. Station House Officer, Jayal District Nagaur Rajasthan.
5. Reserve Bank Of India, Through Its Regional Director, Having Its Office At Rambagh Circle Tonk Road, Jaipur - 302001.
6. Station House Officer, Cyber Crime Police Station Banaskanta, Gujrat.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6268/2026
CNR: RJHC010248892026 | URN: CW / 11513U / 2026

Shahrukh Khan S/o Abdul Hameed, Aged About 28 Years, Resident Of Bhatiyo Ki Gali, Bhatti Ki Bhavri, Jodhpur, District



Jodhpur Raj.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Public Prosecutor.
2. Saloni Upadhyay D/o Laxmi Kani Upadhyay, Resident Of Police Station Delhi North.
3. Branch Manager, State Bank Of India, Branch Chb, Jodhpur.
4. Branch Manager, Punjab National Bank, Branch Chbh, Jodhpur.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6628/2026

CNR: RJHC010280042026 | URN: CW / 12131U / 2026

Harshita Alias Heena Mewara D/o Kesa Ram, Aged About 30 Years, Resident Of Masjid Gali, Falna Statin, Tehsil Bali, District Pali.

-----Petitioner

Versus

Branch Manager, Rajasthan Marudhara Gramin Bank, Branch Falna, Tehsil Bali, District Pali.

-----Respondent

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 8399/2026

CNR: RJHC010340782026 | URN: CW / 15379U / 2026

Lal Nath S/o Nathu Nath, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Sutharo Ka Bass, Utaalad, Sujangarh, Churu, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Bank Of India, Near Jain Mandir, Sujangarh, Rajasthan Through Manager.
2. The Inspector Of Police, Cyber Cell ,south West Division, Behala, Kolkata.

-----Respondents



S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 8470/2026

CNR: RJHC010372292026 | URN: CW / 15506U / 2026

Kishan Sain S/o Mukesh Sain, Aged About 26 Years, Resident Of 113, Somnath Colony, Kamla Nehru Nagar, Pali, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

The Branch Manager, Rajasthan Mrudhara Gramin Bank, Kamla Nehru Nagar, Housing Board, Pali.

-----Respondent

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 10062/2026

CNR: RJHC010444752026 | URN: CW / 18382U / 2026

Ratan Lal Kumawat S/o Narayan Lal, Aged About 31 Years, R/o Devothri, Sundarcha, Tehsil And District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Home Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Superintendent Of Police, Rajsamand.
3. Branch Manager, Bank Of Maharashtra Branch 100 Ft Road, Rajsamand.
4. Dig, Cyber Crime, Police Head Quarter, Jaipur.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 10202/2026

CNR: RJHC010451762026 | URN: CW / 18622U / 2026

Behlim Chicken, Through Its Proprietor Mohammad Yunus S/o Mohammad Usman, Age 32 Years, Address Shop No. 7, Rahmaniya Market, Basni, Nagour, District Nagour, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Union Of India, Through Ministry Of Home Affairs Through Secretary, Address North Block, Secretariat, Raisina Hill, New Delhi 110001.

2. Au Small Finance Bank, Through Its Manager, Address Nagour, Rajasthan.
3. Cyber Crime Police Station West Agartala, District Tripura, West Bangal.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 10387/2026
CNR: RJHC010466722026 | URN: CW / 18957U / 2026

Hoshiyar Singh S/o Bhagirath, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Ward No. 02, Village Kalana, Tehsil District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Director General Of Police, Police Directorate, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal, Through Its Director, National Highway-8, Mahipalpur, New Delhi.
3. The Dig, Cyber Crime, Police Head Quarters, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. Branch Manager / Nodal Officer, State Bank Of India, Acb Bus Stand, Bhadra, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.
5. Branch Manager / Nodal Officer, Bank Of Baroda, Bhadra Branch, New Hissar Bus Stand, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 11860/2026
CNR: RJHC010527802026 | URN: CW / 21459U / 2026

Dr. Abhishek Sanjay Tambe S/o Sanjay Pandharinath Tambe, Aged About 26 Years, R/o Guruprasad Hasanpur Road Musale Ahmadnagar Maharashtra At Present R/o Dhaulpur Raj.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Hdfc Bank, Branch Branch Balaji Complex Near Ahilya Bai Holkar School Loni Taluka Loni Maharashtra

Through Its Branch Manager.

2. State Of Rajasthan, Through Director General Of Police, Police Directorate, Jaipur Rajasthan.
3. S.h.o. Cyber Police Station, District Dhaulpur Raj.
4. Dig, Cyber Crime, Police Headquarter, Jaipur (Raj.)

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12243/2026

CNR: RJHC010540652026 | URN: CW / 22207U / 2026

Sanjay Kumar S/o Shri Gulab Ray Sindhi, Aged About 20 Years, R/o Near Municipal Office, Aata Chakki Gali, Hanumangarh Town, Tehsil And District Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Home Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. Dig, Cyber Crime, Police Head Quarters, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Superintendent Of Police, Hanumangarh.
4. Branch Manager, Punjab And Sindh Bank, Branch Hanumangarh Town.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12706/2026

CNR: RJHC010544392026 | URN: CW / 23144U / 2026

Rakesh S/o Sunder Dash, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Ward No. 1, Etah, Tehsil Suratgarh, District Sri Ganganagar (Raj.).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Director General Of Police, Police Directorate, Jaipur (Raj.).
2. The Dig, Cyber Crime, Police Headquarters, Rajasthan, Jaipur (Raj.).
3. Branch Manager, Bandan Bank Suratgarh, Tehsil



Suratgarh, District Sri Ganganagar (Raj.).

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12769/2026
CNR: RJHC010574642026 | URN: CW / 23316U / 2026

Tarun Arora S/o Shri Hari Chand Arora, Aged About 53 Years, Proprietor Of Krishna Sales Agencies, R/o 13/43 Veer Durga Das Rathore Colony, Paota 'b' Road, Jodhpur Rajasthan 342001

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Station House Officer, Hadapsar Police Station, Pune City, Maharashtra- 411028.
2. Police Inspector (Crime), Hadapsar Police Station, Pune City, Maharashtra-411028
3. Branch Manager, Yes Bank, 117/4, Pwd Colony, Jodhpur,rajasthan-342001
4. Principal Nodal/principal Head Grievance Officer, Yes Bank Ltd., Yes Bank House, Off Western Highway, Santacure, East Mumbai, Maharashtra-400055
5. Dig, Cyber Crime, Police Head Quarters, Jaipur Rajasthan.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13087/2026
CNR: RJHC010595202026 | URN: CW / 23846U / 2026

Prathvi Raj S/o Shri Arja Ram, Aged About 41 Years, R/o Kodiya Chhoti Khatu Dist. Didwana Kuchaman Raj.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Dig Cyber Crime Police Headquarter Jaipur Raj.
2. The Branch Manager, State Bank Of India Branch Acb Chhoti Khatu Chhoti Khatu Didwana Kuchaman Raj.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13158/2026
CNR: RJHC010595592026 | URN: CW / 23965U / 2026

Ganesh S/o Jeta Ram, Aged About 23 Years, R/o Jugat Singh Nagar, balarwa Jodhpur

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Branch Manager/nodal Officer, State Bank Of India, Branch Tinwari Main Road, Bus Stand Balarwa Tehsil Osiya District Jodhpur.
2. Dig, Cyber Crime Police Headquarters, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13193/2026
CNR: RJHC010599832026 | URN: CW / 24014U / 2026

Ashok S/o Sh. Hanuman Ram, Aged About 37 Years, Resident Of Hanuman Ram Beniwal Ki Dhani, Radiya Bera, Bendo Ka Bera, Nausar, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan, Bank Account Holder Of Saving Account No. 100205495318. (Ifsc Indb0001094)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Director General Of Police, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Officer In Charge, Cyber Crime Police Station, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
3. State Of Odisha, Through Superintendent Of Police, Sambalpur, Odisha.
4. The Nodal Officer/ Investigating Officer, Cyber Crime Cell, Sambalpur, Odisha.
5. Indusind Bank Ltd., Through Its Branch Manager, Osian Branch, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
6. Reserve Bank Of India, Through Its Regional Office, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
7. Dig, Cyber Crime Police Headquarter, Jaipur.



----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13206/2026
CNR: RJHC010600472026 | URN: CW / 24033U / 2026

Karni Singh Bhati S/o Anop Singh Bhati, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Sawami Saran Bas, Ward No.07, Sandwa, Churu, Raj.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The Branch Manager, State Bank Of India, Branch Sandwa, Churu.
2. Dig Cyber Crime, Headquarter Jaipur, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13210/2026
CNR: RJHC010595652026 | URN: CW / 24037U / 2026

Narpat Singh S/o Sh. Hem Singh, Aged About 23 Years, R/o Dada Kotecha, Malonga District Jodhpur.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Branch Manager/nodal Officer, Tinwari District Jodhpur.
2. Dig, Cyber Crime Police Headquarters, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13292/2026
CNR: RJHC010602932026 | URN: CW / 24181U / 2026

Rajendra Prasad S/o Badriram, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Mithriya, Po Mithriya, District Bikaner, Rajasthan - 334305

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary Of Home Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. Cyber Crime, Police Head Quarters, Lal Kothi, Tonk Road, Jaipur. Rajasthan, Through D.i.g
3. Reserve Bank Of India, P.m Road, Mumbai. Maharastra Through, Governor.
4. Uco Bank, Having Its Branch At Vpo Chadi, Tehsil Phalodi, Jodhpur, Rajasthan- 342312 District Jodhpur Through The Branch Manager.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13460/2026
CNR: RJHC010611202026 | URN: CW / 24478U / 2026

Tanveer Kanwar W/o Lokendra Singh Shekhawat, Aged About 30 Years, R/o 8 A 165 K. B. H. B. Jodhpur Raj. - 342005

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary Dept. Of Finance Secretariat Jaipur
2. The Branch Manager, State Bank Of India Branch Jhalamand Dist. Jodhpur Raj. -342005
3. Dig, Crime Branch Police Head Quarter Jaipur Raj.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13492/2026
CNR: RJHC010612132026 | URN: CW / 24521U / 2026

Vanita Devi W/o Shri Deepak Baid, Aged About 36 Years, R/o 54 Neta Road, Ward No.31, Sardarsahar, District Churu (Raj.)

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Canara Bank, Through Its Branch Manager, Sardarsahar, District Churu (Raj.)
2. Dig, Cyber Crime, Police Headquarters , Jaipur, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13529/2026
CNR: RJHC010614592026 | URN: CW / 24583U / 2026

Mo. Nadim Belim S/o Abdul Rashid Belim, Aged About 45 Years, R/o Masuriya Balaji Hill Marg Ke Niche, Baldeo Nagar, Behind Merry Icecream, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The Branch Manager, State Bank Of India, Tijia Mataji Ka Mandir, Jodhpur City Branch, Jodhpur.
2. Inspector Of Police, Police Station Aasop, Jodhpur Rural, Rajasthan.
3. Dig Cyber Crime, Headquarter Jaipur, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13552/2026
CNR: RJHC010612672026 | URN: CW / 24625U / 2026

Sunil S/o Manohar Ram, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Chainpura Kalla Chittar Bera Village And Post Bhojasar Dist. Phalodi Raj.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary Home Dept. Govt. Of Raj. Jaipur
2. Dig, Cyber Crime Police Head Quarters Raj.
3. The Superintend Of Police, Phalodi
4. Branch Manager, Bank Of Baroda Tulsi Bhawan Nai Sadak Phalodi Raj.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13639/2026
CNR: RJHC010619022026 | URN: CW / 24819U / 2026

Kanak Raj Mutha S/o Rajendra Mutha, Aged About 20 Years,
R/o 10 Bada Mutha Ka Vas Pali Raj. (Account No.
5435810000504)

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Commissioner Of Police Dept. Of Home Jaipur Raj.
2. Director General Of Police, Raj. Jaipur Raj.
3. Dig, Cyber Cell Police Headquarters Jaipur Raj.
4. The Bank Of Baroda, Through Branch Manager Residence Of Mandia Road Pali Raj.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13666/2026

CNR: RJHC010622572026 | URN: CW / 24896U / 2026

Raju Ram S/o Sh. Hajari Ram, Aged About 25 Years, R/o
Arjun Dev Nagar, Mahadev Nagar, Cherai, Tehsil Osian,
District Jodhpur Rural, Rajasthan - 342306.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Bank Of India, Branch Osian, Tehsil Road, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan - 342306 Through Its Branch Manager (Code- 5484).
2. The Director General Of Police (Dgp), Rajasthan Police, Police Headquarters, Lalkothi, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Deputy Inspector General Of Police (Dig), Cyber Crime, Rajasthan Police, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. The Superintendent Of Police (Sp), Jodhpur Rural, Rajasthan.
5. The Sho / Investigating Officer, Police Station Osian, District Jodhpur Rural, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13702/2026

CNR: RJHC010620702026 | URN: CW / 24957U / 2026

Shri Kailash Patidar S/o Shri Radheshyam Patidar, Aged About 50 Years, R/o Keli, Tehsil Nimbahera, District Chittorgarh, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Home Department , Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. The Superintendent Of Police, Chittorgarh, District Chittorgarh, Rajasthan.
3. The Station House Officer (Sho), Police Station Kotwali Nimbahera,district Chittorgarh, Rajasthan.
4. The Regional Manager, Bank Of Baroda, Regional Officer, Chittorgarh.
5. The Branch Manager, Bank Of Baroda, Branch Mandphiya,district Chittorgarh, Rajasthan.
6. Deputy Inspector General (Dig), Jaipur, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13741/2026
CNR: RJHC010620742026 | URN: CW / 25036U / 2026

Smt. Yashoda Bai W/o Shri Kailash Patidar, Aged About 48 Years, R/o Keli, Tehsil Nimbahera, District Chittorgarh, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. The Superintendent Of Police, Chittorgarh, District Chittorgarh, Rajasthan
3. The Station House Officer (Sho), Police Station Kotwali Nimbahera, District Chittorgarh, Rajasthan
4. The Regional Manager, Bank Of Baroda, Regional Officer, Chittorgarh.
5. The Branch Manager, Bank Of Baroda, Branch Mandphiya, District Chittorgarh.
6. Deputy Inspector General (Dig), Jaipur, Rajasthan.



----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13911/2026
CNR: RJHC010633652026 | URN: CW / 25350U / 2026

Manish Kumar Sethiya S/o Shri Manoj Kumar Sethiya, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Sabjii Mandi Road Ward No. 10 Gangapur Bhilwara Through Proprietor M/s. Ratna Roshan Enterprises Add- Sabjii Mandi Road Ward No. 10 Gangapur Bhilwara

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary Home Dept. Govt. Of Raj. Jaipur
2. The Superintendent Of Police, Bhilwara
3. Branch Manager, Hdfc Branch Ground Floor Court Chouraha Ward No. 7 Raipur Road Gangapur Dist. Bhilwara
4. Reserve Bank Of India, Through Its Regional Director Rambagh Circle Tonk Road Jaipur
5. Dig, Cyber Crime Rajasthan, Jaipur.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14270/2026
CNR: RJHC010653472026 | URN: CW / 26040U / 2026

Moti Ram Meghwal S/o Shri Bhanwarram Meghwal, Aged About 26 Years, Resident Of Radheshyam Colony, Bap, Tehsil Bap, District Phalodi (Raj.).

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Bank Of Baroda, Through General Manager /(Principal Nodal Officer) Baroda Bhawan, 7Th Floor, R.c. Dutt Road, Vadodara - 390007.
2. Branch Manager, Bank Of Baroda, Phalodi Branch, District Phalodi (Raj.).
3. Dy. Inspector General Of Police, Cyber Branch Ps Jaipur Raj.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14364/2026
CNR: RJHC010648102026 | URN: CW / 26218U / 2026

Narayan Lal S/o Asha Ram, Aged About 38 Years, R/o 5-D-72, Kudi Bhagtasni Housing Board, Jodhpur 342005.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary Of Home Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. Deputy Inspector General Of Police, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
3. Deputy Inspector General Of Police, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. Cyber Police Station Pimpri-Chinchwad, Police Commissionerate, Maharashtra.
5. State Bank Of India, Branch Somesar, Pali, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15359/2026
CNR: RJHC010694562026 | URN: CW / 27757U / 2026

Pawan Kumar Nai S/o Shri Hanuman Nai, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Ward No. 25 Adasar Bass Sridungargarh Tehsil Sridungargarh Dist. Bikaner Raj.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Director General Of Police Police Directorate Jaipur Raj.
2. National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal, Through Its Director National Highway-8 Mahipalpur New Delhi
3. The Dig, Cyber Crime Police Headquarters Jaipur Raj.
4. Branch Manager, Axis Bank Having Its Address At Ground Floor Ward No. 5 Momasar Bass High School Road Sridungargarh Dist. Bikaner Raj.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15529/2026

CNR: RJHC010703412026 | URN: CW / 28001U / 2026

Sharwan Ram Thori S/o Bhoma Ram, Aged About 28 Years,
R/o Moulasar Deedwana Nagaur Raj. 341303

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Deputy General Of Police Cyber Crime Police Head Quarters Jaipur
2. Union Of India, Through Its Secretary Ministry Of Home Affairs Having Its Office At North Block Cabinet Secretary New Delhi
3. Au Small Finance Bank Limited, Through Its Branch Manager/nodal Officer Branch Old Edgah Road Near Fuhara Circle Deedwana Raj. 341303
4. Cyber Crime Police Inspector, Sho Raipur Chatisgarh

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15554/2026

CNR: RJHC010703532026 | URN: CW / 28044U / 2026

Sugana Devi W/o Firdhari Ram, Aged About 50 Years,
Resident Of Iaderiya Deedwana, Dist- Nagaur 341517
Rajasthan. Aadhar No 734687415034

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Deputy Genral Of Police, Cyber Crime, Police Headquarters , Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. Through Its Secretary, Ministry Of Home Affairs, Having Its Office At North Block Cabinet Secretary, New Delhi.
3. State Bank Of India, Branch Mahaveer Market Old Sbbj, District Deedwana Rajasthan 341303 Through Its Branch Manager/nodal Officer, Ifsc Code Sbin0031111.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15607/2026

CNR: RJHC010703682026 | URN: CW / 28120U / 2026

Omprakash Kasaniyan S/o Shri Shivdana Ram, Aged About 34 Years, Resident Of Village Ratau, Genanan, Dist, Deedwana Rajasthan 341317. Aadhar No 554895943358

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Deputy Genral Of Police,cyber Crime, Police Headquarters , Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. Union Of India, Ministry Of Home Affairs, Having Its Office At North Block Cabinet Secretary, New Delhi.
3. State Bank Of India, Through Its Branch Manager. Nodal Officer , Rahu Gate, Tehsil Ladnun Dist Deedwana Kuchaman Rajasthan, Ifsc Sbin0007093 Pin 341306

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15611/2026

CNR: RJHC010703462026 | URN: CW / 28124U / 2026

Mhaboob Khan S/o Sakoor Khan, Aged About 50 Years, Resident Of Malla Basni, Nagaur Rajasthan. 341303

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Deputy Genral Of Police,cyber Crime, Police Headquarters , Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. Union Bank Of India, Through Its Branch Manager / Nodal Officer Branch Shopping Center. Gorbada Chorha, Dist Kota Rajasthan 324007 Ifsc Ubin0905666
3. Au Small Finance Bank Limited, Through Its Branch Manager / Nodal Officer, Branch Old Edgah Road, Near Fuhara Circle, Didwana Rajasthan 341303
4. Cyber Crime Police Inspector, Sho, Makrana Dist Deedwana Kuchaman.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 16242/2026
CNR: RJHC010621162026 | URN: CW / 4086U / 2026

Prabhu Ram S/o Sh. Hanuman Ram, Aged About 60 Years,
Resident Of Village - Patan, Kalwani Deedwana Road Dist-
Nagaur Rajasthan.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Deputy Genral Of Police. Cyber Crime, Police Head Quarters, Jaipur
2. Chief Executive Officer, Indian Cyber Crime Coordination Centre, National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal, 5Th Floor, Ndcc-Ii Building, Jai Singh Road, New Delhi.
3. Idbi Bank Ltd, Through Its Branch Manager /nodal Officer, Branch Adress - Cgh Building, Indl Area,main Mathura Road,new Friends Colony, New Delhi. 110065 Ifsc Code Ibkl0000167.
4. South Cyber Police Station, Through Its Sandeep Kale Police Inspector, Crime Branch, Mumbai. Pin- 400007

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 17415/2026
CNR: RJHC010652442026 | URN: CW / 4257U / 2026

Govind Kumar S/o Kastur Ram, Aged About 39 Years,
Secondary School Ke Pas, Colony, Khatukada, Khatukra,
Dist. Pali, Raj.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Director General Of Police, Police Directorate, Jaipur, Raj.
2. Branch Manager Hdfc, Through Its Branch Manager, Kenpura Road Rani, Dist. Pali, Raj

3. Regional Director Rbi, Through Its Regional Director, Reserve Bank Of India, 6, Sansad Marg, New Delhi-110001
4. National Cyber Crime, Reporting Portal, Represented By Its Director, National Highway-8, Mahalipur, New Delhi, Pin 110037.
5. Dig, Cyber Crime, Police Head Quarters, Raj

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 17459/2026
CNR: RJHC010649572026 | URN: CW / 4259U / 2026

Sita Ram S/o Roop Ram, Aged About 39 Years, Residing Of Jaata Bass, Uttaradabas, Rawatsar, Dist. Hanumangarh.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Reserve Bank Of India, Through Regional Director, Rambagh Circle, Tonk Road, Jaipur.
2. State Bank Of India, Through Branch Manager, State Bank Of India, Bank Branch Shiv, District Barmer Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 17845/2026
CNR: RJHC010752872026 | URN: CW / 30967U / 2026

Sandeep S/o Mahendar Singh, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Ward No. 45 Gurunanak Basti Sri Ganganagar Raj.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. IndusInd Bank, Through Its Branch Manager Grd Flr Anita Enclave Plot No. 2 A 4 Sukhadia Nagar Sukhadia Marg Sri Ganganagar Raj.
2. Station House Officer, Ps Rajarampuri Dist. Kolhapur Maharashtra

3. Station House Officer, Ps Jawahar Nagar Dist. Sri Ganganagar Raj.
4. Dig, Cyber Crime Police Headquarter Jaipur Raj.
5. Reserve Bank Of India (Rbi), Through Its Governor Central Office Building Shahid Bhagat Singh Marg Fort Mumbai 400001

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 17910/2026
CNR: RJHC010747062026 | URN: CW / 31097U / 2026

Vikas Bishnoi, Aged About 21 Years, R/o Tilwasani East, Bilara, District - Jodhpur, Rajasthan - 342605

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Reserve Bank Of India, Through Its General Manager, 18 Th Floor, Central Office Building Shahid Bhagat Singh Road Mumbai - 400001.
2. Indusind Bank, Ground Floor, Plot No. 114 And 115, Laxman Bhawan, Polo 1St Paota, Facing Main Mandore Road, Jodhpur, Rajasthan - 342006(Ifsc Code Indb00000441).
3. State Of Rajasthan, Through Deputy Inspector Of General Of Police, (Cyber Crime), Rajasthan Police Headquarters, Lal Kothi, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 18192/2026
CNR: RJHC010625872026 | URN: CW / 4090U / 2026

Neelam Kumawat D/o Ashok Kumawat, Aged About 28 Years, Resident Of Baira Wali Dhani, Kalwar Road, Hathod, Jaipur. 302012.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Deputy General Of Police.cyber Crime, Police Head Quarters, Jaipur
2. Chief Executive Officer, Indian Cyber Crime Coordination Centre,national Cyber Crime Reporting Portal, 5Th Floor, Ndcc-Ii Building,jai Singh Road, New Delhi.
3. Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited, Branch Ground Floor, 7 A 2, Sanjay Nagar A, Kalwar Road, Jhotwara, Jaipur, 302012 Rajasthan Through Its Branch Manager/nodal Officer, Ifsc Code Kkbk0003555.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 18264/2026
CNR: RJHC010735622026 | URN: CW / 31543U /
2026

Gulsan Bano W/o Arsad Khan, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Mohalla Idgaha, Ward No. 45, Churu, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department Of Home Affairs, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur-302005.
2. The Dig Cyber, Police Headquarters, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302005.
3. The Branch Manager (Nodal Officer), Punjab National Bank, Branch Address - Parakh Mansion, Katla, Bazar, Po- Churu (Raj.).

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 18494/2026
CNR: RJHC010778102026 | URN: CW / 31941U /
2026

Mohammad Arbaj S/o Mohammad Sabeer, Aged About 22 Years, Resident Of Kaluram Ji Ki Bawri, Maliyon Ka Rajbagh, Soorsagar. Jodhpur.

-----Petitioner



Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary Home Department, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Branch Manager, State Bank Of India, Kaluram Ji Ki Bawri, Maliyon Ka Rajbagh, Soorsagar, Jodhpur.
3. Cyber Crime Cell, Police Station Vashi- District Navi Mumbai Maharashtra.
4. Deputy Inspector, General Of Police Cyber Crime Police Headquarters Jaipur.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 18822/2026
CNR: RJHC010474702025 | URN: CW / 2103U / 2026

1. Ramesh Pathak S/o Chandra Prasad Pathak, Aged About 33 Years, R/o House No 3/104 Gali No 2, Laxmi Nagar East Delhi 110092.
2. Manoj Karki S/o Bhim Bahadur Karki, Aged About 29 Years, R/o House No 3/104 Gali No 2, Lalita Park Laxmi Nagar East Delhi 110092.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp
2. The S.h.o., Police Station Cyber Crime, Jodhpur.
3. Branch Manager/nodal Officer, Axis Bank, Branch At Sf Road, Siliguri West Bengal.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4974/2025
CNR: RJHC010199462025 | URN: CW / 7875U / 2025

Mohammed Shaharukh S/o Mohammed Saddik, Aged About 27 Years, Resident Of Muslim Yatim Khana, Khanda Falsa, Jodhpur 342001 (Rajasthan).

-----Petitioner

Versus

Equitas Small Finance Bank Limited, Head Office Is Situated At 4Th Floor, Phase Ii, Spence Plaza, No. 769, Anna Salai, Chennai, Tamilnadu, Pin 600 002. Customerservice@equitasbank.com, Through Its Branch Manager/authorized Officer, Jodhpur Plot No. 87/b/1, Situated At Bombay Motors Cycle, Chopasani Road, Cloth Market, S.o Jodhpur (Rajasthan), Pin 342001.

----Respondent

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6998/2025
CNR: RJHC010300072025 | URN: CW / 11637U / 2025

Surja Ram S/o Dola Ram, Aged About 36 Years, R/o 38, Sutharo Ka Bas, Dangiyawas, Dist. Jodhpur (Rajasthan).

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Commissioner Of Police, Department Of Home, Jodhpur.
2. Station House Officer, Police Station Navsari, Cyber Crime, Gujarat.
3. The Branch Manager, State Bank Of India, Branch Manager State Bank Of India, Dangiaawas, Jodhpur.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 7205/2025
CNR: RJHC010317782025 | URN: CW / 12190U / 2025

Bitlectra Infrotech Private Limited, Through Its One Of The Authorized Proprietor Arjun Prajapat S/o Shri Chotu Ram Prajapat, Aged About 28 Years, R/o Kudi Bhagtasani Housing Board, Sector -2, Kudi Bhagtasani, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Director General Of Police, Police Directorate, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal, Represented

By Its Director, National Highway-8, Mahalipur, New Delhi, Pin 110037

3. The Commissioner Of Police, Home Department, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
4. Station House Officer, Cyber Crime Cell, Pithampur, Madhya Pradesh.
5. Station House Officer, Cyber Crime Cell, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
6. Station House Officer, Cyber Crime Cell, Bikaner, Rajasthan.
7. Station House Officer, Cyber Crime Cell, Kashigaon, Maharashtra.
8. Branch Manager / Nodal Officer, Idfc First Bank, New Sanganer Road, District Jaipur, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 7396/2025
CNR: RJHC010325442025 | URN: CW / 12662U / 2025

Mohd Shahrukh S/o Alimuddin, Aged About 28 Years, Misharo Ki Gali, Kuchaman City, District Deedwana Kuchaman (Rajasthan).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Director General Of Police, Police Directorate, Jaipur, Rajasthan
2. The Commissioner Of Police, Home Department, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
3. Station House Officer, Kuchaman City, District Deedwana Kuchaman, Rajasthan.
4. Cyber Crime Police Station, Surat (Ahamdabad).
5. Branch Manager/nodal Officer, State Bank Of India, Branch Kuchaman (Branch Code 31733), District Deedwana Kuchaman (Rajasthan).

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 7916/2025
CNR: RJHC010299522025|URN:CW/13592U/2025

Yogesh Kachchhawaha S/o Gopal Ram, Aged About 33 Years, Kachchhawaha Nagar, Chaukhan, Jodhpur - 342001.

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Bank Of India, Branch Chokha (31619), Jodhpur, District Jodhpur (Rajasthan), Through Its Branch Manager/ authorized Officer, E-Mail - Sbi.31649@sbi.co.in.

-----Respondent

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 19006/2026
CNR: RJHC010798402026 | URN: CW / 32596U / 2026

Harshit Jain Vinay S/o Sh. Mahesh Kumar Jain, Aged About 41 Years, R/o H.no. 102, Jain Mohalla, Village Boliya Madhya Pardesh At Present- H.no. 65 Bhuwal Vatika, Ajit Colony, Near Mahaveer Public School, Jodhpur.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Branch Manager/nodal Officer, Bank Of Maharashtra Branch Paota, Mandore Road, Jodhpur
2. The Dig Cyber Crime, Police Headquarter Jaipur (Raj.)

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 19309/2026
CNR: RJHC010798652026 | URN: CW / 32939U / 2026

Sukhdev S/o Nena Ram, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Ralia Ka Bas Surpura Kallan Baori Jodhpur

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary Of Home Dept. Govt. Of Raj. Jaipur
2. State Bank Of India, Branch Gangani Bhopalgarh Dist. Jodhpur

3. Dig, Cyber Crime Police Head Quarters Raj.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 19486/2026
CNR: RJHC010806742026 | URN: CW / 33183U /
2026

Mohammad Yasin Sindhi S/o Ramjaan Khan, Aged About 28 Years, R/o- Ramjaan Kha Moriya Pulani, Muslman Munjasar, Moriya Munjasar, District- Phalodi (Jodhpur), Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Punjab National Bank, Through Its Branch Manager / nodal Officer, Vpokhichan, Phalodi, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
2. National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal, Represented By Its Director, National Highway-8, Mahipalpur, New Delhi, Pin- 110037.
3. Reserve Bank Of India, Through Its General Manager, 18Th Floor, Central Office Building Shahid Bhagat Singh Road Mumbai - 400001.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Anil Bishnoi Mr. Venkat Poonia Mr. Rajak Khan Mr. Pankaj Arora Mr. Jai Kishan Rankawat with Mr. Manish Rankawat Mr. Mudit Nagpal Mr. Bhavyadeep Singh Gaur Mr. Bheru Lal Jat Mr. Sanjay Bishnoi Mr. Dinesh Kumar Ojha Mr. Ratna Ram Mr. Deependra Singh Shekhawat Mr. Sunil Bishnoi
For Respondents(s)	:	Mr. Arpit Mehta Mr. Raj Singh Bhati for

Mr. Ritu Raj Singh Bhati, GC

JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR DHAND

Order

21/08/2026

1. Since common question of law and facts are involved in these writ petitions, hence with the consent of counsels for the parties, their arguments have been heard together and the same are being decided by this common order.
2. For the sake of convenience, the facts and prayer narrated in S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.3789/2025 are taken into consideration.
3. The instant writ petition has been filed with the following prayer:

"A. The any notice to the extent it adversely affects the rights of the petitioner, may kindly be quashed and set aside.

B. The respondents may kindly be directed to de-freeze the bank account of the petitioner i.e. Bank Account No.50100236636480 maintained in the respondent-Bank(branch).

C. In case any action or proceeding is undertaken by the respondents in pursuance of the impugned proceedings during the pendency of this petition, which may be adverse to the rights, interests and prospects of the petitioner, the same may also kindly be declared illegal and void ab initio and may kindly be quashed and set aside.

D. Writ petition filed by the petitioner may kindly be allowed with costs."

4. By way of filing the instant writ petition, a prayer has been made to de-freeze the bank account of the petitioner.

5. It has been pleaded in the instant petition that the petitioner is not involved in any cyber crime, still his/her entire bank account has been seized/frozen by the respondents and it has become difficult for the petitioner to run his business smoothly and lead his/her day-to-day life. Under such circumstances, a prayer has been made for issuing directions to the respondent-Bank to de-freeze his/her account forthwith.

6. Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents opposed the arguments raised by the counsel for the petitioner and submitted that a cyber complaint has been received and the matter is being investigated by the Investigating Agency. It has been alleged in the aforesaid cyber complaint that certain amount has been siphoned by certain unknown accused persons and the same has been deposited in the account of the petitioner. Counsel submits that fraudulent transaction(s) has been found in the account of the petitioner. Hence, following the Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) issued by the Department of Home Affairs, the bank account of the petitioner has been frozen.

7. Heard and considered the submissions made at the Bar and perused the material available on the record.

8. The controversy involved in the instant writ petition has already been set at rest by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in a batch of writ petitions with the lead case of **Madna Ram Vs. Reserve Bank of India and Anr.** while deciding **S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.9549/2026** vide order dated 12.08.2026 with the following directions in para Nos.13.4, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 19, which read as under:

"13.4. It is further observed that, in order to mitigate and balance the prejudice caused to account holders on account of such freezing actions, a comprehensive Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) has been formulated by the Ministry of Home Affairs, through the Indian Cyber Crime Coordination Centre (I4C), for the custody, restoration of money and grievance redressal of aggrieved persons, dated 02.01.2026. For the sake of handiness the relevant extract from the SOP by I4C, as relied by the learned Advocate General is reproduced hereinbelow:

"10.Grievance Redressal Mechanism

Grievances related to action of put on hold or account under seizures effected using the NCRP-CFCFRMS shall be addressed in a time-bound manner by the agencies issuing such directions, with avenues for appeal. To address the grievances, an Online Grievance Redressal Module will be operationalized as part of NCRP-CFCFRMS. DGPs and CPs of the states and Union Territories will establish mechanisms to ensure that grievances raised are attended to and replied to at the earliest and in no case beyond the prescribed time limit. A State/UT-level Grievance Officer of the rank of ADG or IG or DIG and District-Level Grievance Officers of the rank of Addl. SP or Dy SP shall be designated in each State and UT.

Each bank and FI on boarded on CFCFRMS shall also appoint Central and State-level grievance officers to monitor such grievances, as per their internal policies and procedures. They shall also designate branch-level officers who will raise the grievances on behalf of the affected persons. In case of

payment banks, and other FIs that do not have branch banking or state-level presence, alternate arrangements can be made to accept, verify, and escalate grievances for redressal. However, for banks having branch banking facilities, customers would need to visit a branch to facilitate the re-verification of KYC information. States, UTs, Banks and FIs shall inform 14C about the details of their mechanisms and grievance officers within a month of publication of this SOP.

10.1 In case of grievances related to the amount put on hold effected by NCRP-CFCFRMS, the following process will be followed:

- a) A person affected by such an action will approach the Bank branch where her account exists or any other designated branch or office. The bank will undertake CDD as prescribed in relevant RBI circulars and Master Directions, updated from time to time and the justifications submitted by the person, exercise Enhanced Due Diligence(EDD) and if convinced about the bona fides of the transaction, submit the grievance to the Grievance Redressal Module of CFCFRMS with the necessary justifications submitted by the aggrieved person. Banks and FIs would be expected to submit such a grievance at the earliest and not beyond 07 calendar days from the day the aggrieved person complains.
- b) The grievance will be assigned by the concerned SHO to the IO or Police officer under intimation to the concerned District Grievance Officer. If there are multiple holds, the grievance will be assigned by the

concerned SHOs to the concerned IOs or Police officers under intimation to the District Grievance Officers.

c) The IO or Police Officer of the case shall verify the grievance. She shall issue a notice (physical or electronic) to the account holder in whose account the reported amount is put on hold to appear for verifications, preferably through a video conference. A representative of the aggrieved person's bank branch (preferably grievance redressal officer) may also be included in the video conference or otherwise involved with the process of verifications. The account holder or the person raising the grievance on behalf of the account holder should not be called to appear in person before the IO or Police officer, unless deemed to be unavoidable during a course of the investigation, and an FIR or e-FIR is issued in the case. To the extent possible, videoconferencing should be opted.

d) For verifications, the IO or Police Officer may also take the assistance of the concerned Police Station of the area where the account holder resides. For this, the CIAR module of the Samanvaya Platform can be used. If satisfied with the verifications and the explanations submitted, he will direct the concerned banks to remove the hold on the reported amount within 15 calendar days of the receipt of the grievance. The Bank or FI concerned shall remove the hold on the reported amount and shall update the same on the Grievance Redressal Module.

e) If the IO or Police Officer is not satisfied with the explanation submitted by the

aggrieved person, she shall submit her remarks on the Grievance Redressal Module within 15 calendar days of raising the grievance by the bank, duly recording the reasons and the same will be communicated to the account holder by SMS/email.

f) If the IO or Police Officer or the authorised Police Officer doesn't address the grievance raised within a period of 15 calendar days, then on the completion of 15 calendar days, the grievance will be automatically notified to the District Grievance Officer. If the account holder is not satisfied with the orders of the IO or Police Officer, she may file a review request within 15 calendar days of receiving intimation by visiting the designated bank branch, which will then be reviewed by the District Grievance Officer. The reviewing officer will go through the details submitted, reasons offered by the IO or Police Officer, may seek additional information from banks, pass appropriate instructions to the IO or Police Officer and update her decision on the Grievance Redressal Module within 15 calendar days of being notified. As instructed by the District Grievance Officer, the IO or Police Officer shall take appropriate action and update the portal within 2 calendar days. Banks and Fis should furnish the requested information at the earliest and not later than 2 calendar days to enable expeditious disposal of the grievance.

In case no lawful directions regarding continuation or discontinuation of the hold (where money is held in the bank account against any LEA request or court order) are received within 90 calendar days of the

grievance being submitted by the bank, then within 15 calendar days before the expiry of these 90 calendar days, the bank will intimate the concerned LEA for removal of the hold, in cases where the hold placed has been contested upon through this grievance redressal mechanism. Further, an SMS will be sent to the concerned SHO or the authorised Police Officer through NCRP. If the amount is not required to be retained in any other case or if there is no petition filed in any court for the release of that amount, and there is no request from the concerned LEA for an extension of the hold period, the bank shall remove the hold, after EDD, on a request made by the account holder and as per instructions of the concerned LEA.

Before carrying out the discontinuation of the hold, intimation will be sent to the SHO at least 15 calendar days before the date of expiry of the 90 calendar days from the date of raising the grievance. The status of the removal of the hold will be updated on CFCFRMS by the Bank. In case the IOs or designated Police Officers find it necessary during the course of investigation that it's necessary to continue the hold, they may ask for an extension for continuation for up to 90 additional calendar days.

10.2 In cases of grievances related to suspension of digital banking services or seizure of accounts or any other property on the basis of information available on NCRP-CFCFRMS, the following process will be followed:

a) Directions for seizure of bank accounts or

suspension of digital banking services shall be given under Section 106 BNSS or any other extant laws.

b) A person affected by such an action will approach the bank branch where her account is held or any other designated branch or office. The bank will undertake CDD as prescribed in relevant RBI circulars/ Master Directions, updated from time to time and the justifications submitted by the person, exercise Enhanced Due Diligence (EDD) and if convinced about the bona fides of the credentials and the transactions, submit the grievance to the Grievance Redressal Module of NCRP-CFCFRMS with the necessary information including explanations submitted by the aggrieved person. Banks and FIs would be expected to submit such a grievance at the earliest and not beyond 07 calendar days from the day the account holder or aggrieved person complains.

c) The grievance will be assigned to the IO or Police Officer issuing directions for suspension of digital banking services or seizure, and also notified to the concerned State and District Grievance Officers. If there are multiple directions mandating suspension of digital banking services or seizure, the grievance will be assigned to each of the Officers issuing such directions along with the notification to the concerned State and District Grievance Officers.

d) The IO or Police Officer of the case shall verify the requests made in the grievance. For this, he may seek additional information from the aggrieved account holder. The IO or Police

Officer of the case should use Video Conferencing to the extent possible to interview the aggrieved account holder. The IO or Police Officer may also take assistance from the Police Station of the area where the account holder resides for the purpose of verification. For this, the CIAR module of the Samanvaya Platform can be used. If satisfied with the verifications and the explanations submitted, he may direct the concerned bank to release the seized account or enable the digital banking facilities, keeping the reported amount on hold, as the case may be, under intimation to the district and state grievance officer, duly updating the Grievance Redressal Module, within 15 calendar days.

e) If the IO or Police Officer is not satisfied with the explanation submitted by the aggrieved person, she shall submit her remarks on the Grievance Redressal Module, duly recording her reasons, within 15 calendar days of receipt of the grievance on the portal, and the same will be communicated to the account holder by e-mail or SMS.

f) If the IO or Police Officer doesn't address the grievance within 15 calendar days, then on the completion of the 15th day, the grievance will be automatically notified to the District Grievance Officer. Further, if the account holder is not satisfied with the orders of the IO or Police Officer, she may file the review request within 15 calendar days of receiving intimation, which will then be reviewed by the District Grievance Officer. The District Grievance Officer will pass appropriate instructions to the IO or Police Officer and

update her decision on the Grievance Redressal Module within 15 calendar days of being notified. As instructed by the District Grievance Officer, the IO or Police Officer shall take appropriate action and update the portal within 2 calendar days. Banks and FIs should furnish the requested information at the earliest and not later than 2 calendar days to enable expeditious disposal of the grievance.

g) Any account holder aggrieved by the decision of the District Grievance Officer to continue the seizure or suspension of digital banking services may prefer an appeal against the decision to the State Grievance Officer within 15 calendar days. The State Grievance Officer or any other officer designated by the state DGP/CP shall review the details of the grievance raised, if required, may seek additional case details and assess the need for continued seizure or suspension of the digital banking services of the account. The State Grievance Officer will pass appropriate instructions to the IO or Police Officer and update her decision on the Grievance Redressal Portal within 15 calendar days of being notified. As instructed by the State Grievance Officer, the IO or Police Officer shall take appropriate action and update the portal within 2 calendar days. Banks and FIs should furnish the requested information at the earliest and not later than 2 calendar days to enable expeditious disposal of the grievance.

h) Any account holder or any other person on her behalf, aggrieved by the decision of any Grievance Officer, at any point of time, may approach the jurisdictional Court for

restoration of digital banking services or unfreezing of the said account.

**10.3 In cases of Victim (Complainant)
Bank Account subjected to Suspension of
Digital Banking Services or Seizure**

In case the bank account of the victim is seized erroneously or as a preventive measure, action by the bank on a request from the victim herself to prevent any further loss, then on a request of the victim, the Bank shall release the victim's seized bank account, if it does not violate any lawful directions. In case the victim's account too has any complaint on CFCFRMS, then CDD may be conducted as prescribed above.

NOTE: The grievance on the Grievance Redressal Module can be raised by; (i) any aggrieved account holder or (ii) Any person on behalf of the aggrieved account holder, who is a Senior Citizen and not capable of visiting branch physically or (iii) Any person on behalf of the aggrieved account holder, who is specially abled and incapable of visiting branch physically or (iv) Any person on behalf of the aggrieved account holder, who is suffering from terminal illness and is incapable of visiting branch physically.

11. Processes for giving interim custody of amount put on hold or under seizure or restoration of property to the victim.

For releasing the amount, put on hold or under seizure in any bank account or restoration of property to the victim, one or more of the following five alternative processes can be adopted:

Process 1: Interim Process for giving

interim custody of amount put on hold or under seizure to the victim under section 106 BNSS where there is Single Victim:

a) Interim custody of amount below Rs. 50,000 put on hold can be given u/s 106(3) of the BNSS 2023 duly following the conditions prescribed.

b) Interim custody of amounts above Rs. 50,000 put on hold or under seizure can be given u/s 106(3) of the BNSS 2023, in connection with an FIR, duly following the conditions prescribed.

In this process, a complainant will verify the status of her complaint on the NCRP-CFCFRMS and check if any amount has been provisionally put on hold in any account on the basis of her complaint. On confirmation, she can apply for the interim custody of such amount through the Money Restoration Module. This request will be routed to the concerned Police Station.

On receipt of this request, after verification by concerned I.O or Police officer in charge, if the reported amount is put on hold against a single complaint in a suspected bank account or is in an account under suspension for digital banking services or seizure and the IO or Police officer in charge of the case under investigation feels that the retention of the amount put on hold is not considered necessary for any investigation, he may issue a notice under Section 106(3) BNSS (S. 102(3) CrPC) to the bank and follow the process mentioned below:

i. The IO or Police Officer (either through a bank or otherwise), within a period of 7

calendar days, shall issue a notice (physical or electronic) to the account holder to appear in person or preferably through a video conference for verifications. For this, CIAR of the Samanvaya Platform can be used. The IO or Police Officer may ensure that enough opportunity has been given to the account holder and the notice has been duly served upon the account holder. A representative of the beneficiary bank shall also be included in the videoconference or otherwise involved with the process of verifications. Such conferences shall be conducted using duly verified accounts to prevent impersonation.

ii. The account holder may be given up to 15 calendar days to appear and justify her position with respect to the disputed amount.

iii. In case the suspect account holder does not appear or join investigation within the prescribed time limit or does not respond to the notice served upon for clarification required in the investigation, the same shall be recorded. Further, in case suspect accounts holder joins the investigation and is unable to justify the suspicious transactions in her account, the IO or Police officer can come to a reasoned conclusion duly recorded and follow the process of law.

iv. In case it is ascertained that the amount belongs to the victim the IO or Police Officer shall seek approval of the jurisdictional Superintendent of Police or Deputy Commissioner of Police to proceed under Section 106(3) BNSS (102(3) CrPC), and may direct the bank to remit the amount to the specified victim or complainant on her

executing an indemnity bond, undertaking to produce the amount before the court as and when required and to give effect to the further orders of the jurisdictional court as to the disposal of the same, **within 15 calendar days.**

v. On receiving a notice under Section 106(3) BNSS (102(3) CrPC), the bank will give custody of the disputed money to the victim, ascertaining the account number of the victim from CFCFRMS, within 15 calendar days of receipt of the Order from the IO or Police Officer. The notice issued to the bank should contain the justifications for the conclusions arrived at. The bank will update the release of funds on the NCRP. All the banks and FIs involved in the money trail will be kept informed about the release of the amount.

vi. The IO or Police Officer shall forthwith forward a report and the indemnity bond executed under 106(3) BNSS (Section 102(3) CrPC), to the jurisdictional court. After the release of amount is confirmed by the bank, IO or Police officer shall inform the jurisdictional court.

vii. In case the suspect account holder contests the case of a refund in writing, within 15 calendar days from the date of refund, the IO or Police Officer shall follow the due process of law and proceed with the investigations. The account holder and the bank shall cooperate with the investigations.

viii. The status of interim custody, along with the copy of the indemnity bond and certificate of custody (Supurdignama) shall be updated on the NCRP-CFCFRMS.Process

2: Process for giving interim custody of amount put on hold or under seizure to the victim under section 106 BNSS where there are Multiple Victims

A complainant will verify the status of her complaint on the NCRP-CFCFRMS and check if any amount has been provisionally put on hold in any account on the basis of her complaint. On confirmation, she can apply for the interim custody of such amount through the Money Restoration Module. This request will be routed to the concerned Police Station.

On receipt of this request, after verification, if it is known that there are multiple holds marked in the suspect bank account in multiple complaints by LEAs of various States/UTs, a balance is available, there is more than one victim and retention of the amount is not considered necessary for the purpose of investigation, the IO or Police Officer shall follow the below-mentioned process:

a) The IO or Police Officer (either through a bank or otherwise), within a period of 7 calendar days shall issue a notice (physical or electronic) to the account holder to appear in person to join investigation or preferably through a videoconference for verifications. For this, CIAR of the Samanvaya Platform can be used. The IO or Police Officer may ensure that enough opportunity has been given to the account holder and the notice has been duly served upon the account holder. A representative of the beneficiary bank may also be included in the videoconference or otherwise involved with the process of verifications. Such conferences shall be

conducted duly on verified accounts to prevent impersonation.

b) The account holder may be given up to 15 calendar days to appear and justify her position with respect to the disputed amount.

c) In case the suspect account holder does not appear or join the investigation within the prescribed time limit or does not respond to the notice served upon for clarification required in the investigation, the IO or Police Officer shall record the same. Further, in case suspect accounts holder joins the investigation and is unable to justify the suspicious transactions in her account, the IO or Police officer can come to a reasoned conclusion duly recorded and follow the process of law.

d) The IO or Police Officer shall analyse the money transactions related to the said account(s), their timestamps using the bank statements and money trail figuring on NCRP-CFCFRMS. From the investigation of facts and corroboration of the same by the bank concerned, the IO or Police Officer shall ascribe the said amount in accordance with Para 5(xiii) of this SOP. For this, IOs or Police Officers and Banks can also refer to the details of various competing claims available on NCRP-CFCFRMS. In case the amount put on hold pertains to the case being investigated by the IO or Police Officer, she shall record the same in the Money Restoration Module (MRM) of NCRP-CFCFRMS, duly providing the reasons for arriving at such a conclusion.

e) Other IOs or Police Officers investigating competing claims request for interim custody on the same account would be expected to

agree or disagree with the conclusions so offered with due justifications. All efforts should be made by the IOs or Police Officers to arrive at a consensus about the share of each victim in accordance with the guiding principles contained in Para 5(xiii) of this SOP. In case consensus is reached, the respective IOs or Police Officers shall seek approval of the jurisdictional Superintendent of Police or Deputy Commissioner of Police to proceed under Section 106(3) BNSS (102(3) CrPC).

f) The respective IOs/Police Officers shall issue necessary directions, under Section 106(3) BNSS (102(3) CrPC), to the concerned bank to remit the amount to the specified victim on her executing an indemnity bond, undertaking to produce the amount before the court as and when required and to give effect to the further orders of the jurisdictional court as to the disposal of the same, within 15 calendar days.

g) The bank or FI, before effecting the interim custody of the amount in the pursuance of such direction, shall examine the findings of the IOs/Police Officers in light of Para 5(xiii) of this SOP.

h) The status of interim custody of the amount shall be updated on the NCRP-CFCFRMS by the concerned banks or FIs and endorsed by the LEAs concerned. Banks and FIs should complete the process of interim custody of hold amount within 15 calendar days of receiving directions from the IO or Police Officer, if the request for interim custody is in order. In case, the bank is not able to comply with the directions, reasons for non-compliance should be recorded in the Money

Restoration Module.

- i) In case there is a difference of opinion between the IOs/Police officers and the banks, the banks shall provide clear justifications, and jurisdictional courts may be approached for necessary directions.
- j) The IO or Police Officer shall forthwith forward a report and the indemnity bond executed under 106(3) BNSS(Section 102(3) CrPC), immediately to the jurisdictional court. After the interim custody is confirmed by the bank, IO or Police Officer shall inform the jurisdictional court.
- k) In case the suspect account holder contests the case of are fund in writing, the IO or Police Officer shall follow the due process of law and proceed with the investigations. The account holder shall cooperate with the investigations.
- 1)The status of interim custody, along with the copy of the indemnity bond and certificate of custody (Supurdignama) shall be updated on the NCRP-CFCFRMS.

Process 3: Disposal through Competent Court under S. 497, S. 498 and S. 503 of BNSS (Single or Multiple Victims)

- a) Applications for the release of amounts in accounts placed on hold or under seizure may be made under Section 497 or Section 498 or 503 BNSS (451 or 457 CrPC) by the victim in the jurisdictional Courts.
- b) A Court may direct the IO or Police Officer or SHO of the Police Station concerned to submit her findings regarding the application filed for disposal of amount seized or put on hold made to the court at the earliest. Findings shall include the relevant bank

transaction details, as reflected on NCRP-CFCFRMS, along with details of other complaints, if any, associated with the account and outcomes of the investigations being conducted, any lawful directions issued by any police officer or by any court.

c) If there are more than one request for release of amount put on hold or under seizure, the IO or Police Officer shall file his report in the court about the same duly highlighting the money trail and relevant transactions and the proposed share of each of the victims in accordance with Para 5(xiii) of this SOP. For this, status of the various requests for interim custody of such money, shall be obtained from the relevant banks. The banks may also provide the relevant information to the Courts. For this, Money Restoration Module will be integrated with e-courts after necessary permissions.

d) Wherever feasible, the services of State and District Legal Services Authorities and Lok-Adalat can be utilised.

e) All Court orders will be served to the bank concerned for compliance by the IO or Police Officer, duly updating the CFCFRMS Portal.

f) The Bank or FI will implement the orders of the court at the earliest and update the restoration/disposal information on NCRP-CFCFRMS.

g) Jurisdictional police officers will be expected to proactively facilitate such restoration orders.

Process 4: Attachment, Forfeiture and Restoration of amount seized in a bank account or any property through

Competent Court under S. 107 of BNSS

This process can be adopted where a criminal investigation is being undertaken and the IO or Police officer investigating the crime has reasons to believe that amount seized in a bank account or any other property, including Virtual Digital Assets or any other tangible or intangible assets, derived or obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of such criminal activity, she may for the purpose of attachment, forfeiture or restoration of property may follow the procedure laid down in section 107 of BNSS. IO may verify all the complaints on the NCRP connected with the said property and present before the Court or the Magistrate.

This information should also be provided to the District Magistrate for informing the distribution of the proceeds of the crime.

Process 5: Direction of the Jurisdictional Court:

- a) As already in vogue in some States/UTs, the process esprescribed by any Court may be followed for restoring the defrauded money.
- b) In case any Court prescribes processes other than those mentioned above, the same may be followed.

11.1 Disposal of Unclaimed Amount Put on hold or under seizure in any Bank account against a complaint reported on NCRP-CFCFRMS.

In case, the amount put on hold and under seizure is reported to a Court under the provisions of Section 106 BNSS and its ownership is not established, the concerned IO or a Police Officer may file an application

under section 503 or 504 BNSS for disposal of the same.

The State Government may constitute a committee for the execution of the disposal of unclaimed amounts in an expeditious manner.

11.2 Coordination Mechanism

The CFCFRMS and this SOP are novel initiatives without precedence. It is expected that the SOP may need fine tuning in due course. A Supervisory Committee comprising of members from RBI, DFS, IBA, IB and 14C-MHA will continuously supervise the implementation of the SOP and address issues emerging during its implementation. The committee will identify and recommend necessary amendments to the SOP from time to time in the interest of the stakeholders.

A monthly report reflecting various performance indicators will be published by 14C-MHA and shared with all stakeholders. A dashboard will be created for the Grievance Redressal Module and the Money Restoration Module and access provided to all the stakeholders.”

14. A bare perusal of the above reiterated SOP makes it unambiguous that the said mechanism provides for a time-bound, multi-tiered redressal process, commencing from the concerned bank branch and extending to the jurisdictional investigating authorities, with further avenues of escalation to District and State Grievance Officers, and incorporates adequate safeguards including verification, reasoned decision-making, and provision for partial or complete defreezing of accounts, where warranted. The framework appears to have been devised after due

deliberation by domain experts and encompasses coordinated participation of stakeholders, including banking institutions, law enforcement agencies and legal functionaries. This Court does not discern any apparent arbitrariness, lacuna or infirmity in the said mechanism.

15. This Court is further of the view that the petitioners have at their disposal an efficacious, adequate and statutorily recognized remedy for redressal of their grievances within the contours of the aforesaid SOP and under the provisions of the BNSS, 2023. Invocation of the extraordinary writ jurisdiction of this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, or under Section 528 of the BNSS, at a nascent stage without exhausting the available remedies, is premature and unwarranted. However, it is clarified that in the event of demonstrable malice, arbitrariness, or failure on the part of the designated authorities to adhere to the prescribed grievance redressal mechanism, or in cases of inordinate and unexplained delay in consideration of representations, the petitioners shall be at liberty to approach this Court afresh.

16. The initial recourse to this Court without availing the remedies contemplated under the SOP, or without even submitting a representation before the competent authority, disentitles the petitioners, and similarly situated persons, from seeking indulgence of this Court, at the threshold stage. The doctrine of exhaustion of alternative remedies, coupled with principles of judicial restraint, warrants that the statutory and administrative framework be allowed to operate in the first instance. This Court also finds that the impugned action, being founded upon system-generated alerts and undertaken in furtherance of a coordinated national response to cyber frauds, cannot

be termed as arbitrary merely on account of absence of prior notice, particularly when such notice, if given, may defeat the very purpose of the action by enabling dissipation of funds.

17. At the same time, it is expected of the respondent authorities that the power to freeze accounts shall be exercised with due circumspection and responsibility, ensuring that the restraint is proportionate to the alleged transaction and is not continued for an unduly prolonged period without periodic review. The authorities shall also ensure strict adherence to the timelines and procedures prescribed under the SOP so as to minimize hardship to bona fide account holders.

19. In light of the aforesaid, the present writ petitions stand disposed of, with liberty to the petitioners to avail the remedies as contemplated under the Standard Operating Procedure dated 02.01.2026 and other applicable statutory provisions. Pending applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of."

9. It has been apprised at the Bar that certain disputed amount has been deposited in the bank account of the petitioner. Till date, no material has been placed on the record by the respondents demonstrating involvement of the petitioner in any kind of cyber crime. Hence, looking to the fact that the entire account of the petitioner has been seized by the respondent-Bank, in furtherance of the instructions received from the Investigating Agency, this Court is of the considered opinion that until a clear involvement of the petitioner is found in the cyber crime, he/she should be allowed to operate the seized/frozen account and make transactions from the said seized/frozen account.

10. But, it is made clear that the petitioner will cooperate with

the respondents and with the investigating agency and will appear before them as and when required. This Court also holds that the petitioner shall not close the aforesaid seized/frozen account till the Investigating Agency and bank authorities permit him/her to do so.

11. It is further ordered that if during course of investigation involvement of the petitioner is found in any illegal transactions, he/she shall be liable to pay the amount involved in the aforesaid illegal transaction and will face the inquiry/investigation in accordance with law.

12. It is also ordered that the petitioner would submit a representation before the Bank in this regard and the Bank would allow the petitioner to operate his/her freezed account in terms of the SOP dated 02.01.2026. The respondent-Bank shall be at liberty to transfer the amount involved in the illegal transaction to the original account from which it was credited to the petitioner's account.

13. With the aforesaid observations/directions to be read *mutatis mutandis* in all the writ petition, all the writ petitions stand disposed of. Stay applications and all pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(ANOOP KUMAR DHAND),J