

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5704/2025

1. Babu Ram S/o Shri Dhanuram, Aged About 50 Years,
Resident Of Village Post Nathdau, Via Pilwa, Tehsil
Shergarh District Jodhpur.
2. Banshi Lal S/o Shri Ratna Ram, Aged About 53 Years,
Resident Of Bhojasar, District Phalodi (Raj.).
3. Neeb Singh S/o Shri Simrath Singh, Aged About 52 Years,
Resident Of Bhakhri Vali Dhaniya, Dechoo, District
Jodhpur (Raj.).

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Home
Department, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. The Inspector General Of Police, Jodhpur Range, Jodhpur.
3. The Police Commissioner, Jodhpur Metropolitan, Jodhpur.
4. The Deputy Commissioner Police, Head Quarter And
Traffic, Commissionerate Office, Jodhpur.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. R.S. Champawat
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Shailendra Kumar for Mr. Ritu Raj Singh Bhati

JUSTICE DINESH MEHTA

Order

07/03/2025

1. It is asserted by Mr. Champawat that though the petitioners have appeared in the selection process for promotion from the post of Head Constable to Assistant Sub-Inspector. He submitted that the respondents have declared the petitioners unsuccessful in the outdoor examination, which includes running within the stipulated time, P.T. and Parade.

2. Informing that videography was done at the time of Physical Efficiency Test, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that if the same is summoned, it will clarify the fact situation.
3. Prima-facie this Court is of the view that such exercise cannot be undertaken by this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.
4. Be that as it may. Having regard to the assertion made by learned counsel for the petitioners, it is hereby directed that the petitioners shall prepare and submit a Demand Draft of Rs. 20,000/- each drawn in the name of Dy. Commissioner of Police, Head Quarter & Traffic, Commissionerate Officer, Jodhpur on or before 17.03.2025 to Mr. Ritu Raj Singh Bhati.
5. Upon submission of the Demand Draft as aforesaid by the petitioners, Mr. Bhati shall get the copy of videography qua petitioners' Physical Efficiency Test and examine the same and furnish his report on the next date of hearing.
6. In case, the petitioners' assertion is found incorrect, the said Demand Draft shall be forwarded to Payee, who shall be free to use the same for any welfare activity of the staff in his office. And in case, the petitioners' assertion is found correct, the Demand Draft in original shall be returned to the petitioners.
6. List this case on 01.04.2025, as prayed.
7. Mr. Bhati, to keep the Demand Draft with himself until further orders.

(DINESH MEHTA),J