



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6093/2026

1. Joga Ram S/o Birma Ram, Aged About 33 Years, Presently Working At Chc Chamu, Block Chamu, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
2. Ratan Singh S/o Ganga Singh, Aged About 35 Years, Presently Working At Chc Setrawa, Block Dechu, District Phalodi, Rajasthan.
3. Chanan Singh S/o Bheem Singh, Aged About 31 Years, Presently Working At Chc Balesar, Block Balesar, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
4. Keshav Kumar Bansal S/o Ramraj Gupta, Aged About 33 Years, Presently Working At Sub District Hospital Mandrayal, Block, Mandrayal, District Karauli, Rajasthan.
5. Yogesh Soni S/o Gopal Lal Soni, Aged About 38 Years, Presently Working At Chc Karanpur, Block Mandrayal, District Karauli, Rajasthan.
6. Manish Kumar Khandelwal S/o Omprakash Khandelwal, Aged About 33 Years, Presently Working At Sub District Hospital, Kaman, Block Kaman, District Deeg, Rajasthan.
7. Anil Kumar Mishra S/o Ram Bharosi Lal, Aged About 43 Years, Presently Working At Sub District Hospital, Sapotra, Block Sapotra, District Karauli, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary Medical And Health Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. Secretary, Finance (Budget) Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. Joint Secretary, Finance (Budget) Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
4. Director (Non-Gazetted), Department Of Medical And Health Services, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
5. Director, (Public Health) Medical And Health Services, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
6. Additional Director, Medical And Health Services, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
7. Nodal Officer, Mndy, Medical And Health Services, Government

Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

8. Nodal Officer, Mnjy, Medical And Health Services, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
9. Rajasthan Medical Services Corporation Limited (Rmsc), Department Of Medical And Health Services, Swasthya Bhawan, Tilak Marg, C-Scheme, Jaipur Through Its Managing Director.
10. Managing Director, N.h.m. Swasthya Bhawan, Jaipur.
11. Chief Executive Officer, State Health Assurance Agency, Jaipur.
12. Principal Medical Officer, Deeg.
13. Chief Medical And Health Officer, Deeg.
14. Rajasthan Medical Relief Society, Deeg, Through Secretary, District Deeg, Rajasthan.
15. Principal Medical Officer, Karauli.
16. Chief Medical And Health Officer, Karauli.
17. Rajasthan Medical Relief Society, Karauli, Through Secretary, District Karauli, Rajasthan.
18. Principal Medical Officer, Phalodi.
19. Chief Medical And Health Officer, Phalodi.
20. Rajasthan Medical Relief Society, Phalodi, Through Secretary, District Phalodi, Rajasthan.
21. Principal Medical Officer, Jodhpur.
22. Chief Medical And Health Officer, Jodhpur.
23. Rajasthan Medical Relief Society, Jodhpur, Through Secretary, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Vishvajeet Singh
For Respondent(s)	:	

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FARJAND ALI
Judgment / Order

23/03/2026

1. While asserting that the petitioners cases are covered by the judgment dated 19.01.2021 passed by this Court in the case of **(Jai**

Prakash Ghanchi & Ors. vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.(S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 7273/2020), learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners would be satisfied if the competent authority of the respondents is directed to consider petitioners representation (which they would be filing within a period of four weeks from today) in accordance with law in light of the judgment passed in the case of Jai Prakash Ghanchi (supra) so also in the light of Circular dated 02.09.2020.

2. The writ petition is disposed of with the direction to the petitioners to file a fresh representation along with requisite documents and web-copy of the judgment dated 19.01.2021 passed in the case of Jai Prakash Ghanchi (supra) and certified copy of the order instant within a period of four weeks from today.

3. In case, a representation is so addressed, the competent authority of the respondents shall consider the same in accordance with law including the judgment cited by the petitioner, as early as possible, preferably within a period of eightweeks of receipt thereof.

4. It is made clear that aforesaid direction to decide the representation has been issued only with a view to ensure expeditious redressal of petitioners grievance. The same may not be construed to be an order to decide the representation in a particular manner.

5. The stay application also stands disposed of, accordingly.

(FARJAND ALI),J

28-Taruna/-