

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
JODHPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5589/2025

Vritika Acharya

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Ms. Vritika Acharya, petitioner present in person
For Respondent(s)	:	Ms. Meenal Singhvi for Mr. Rajesh Panwar, AAG

JUSTICE DINESH MEHTA

Order

24/03/2025

1. The self-represented petitioner is not in a position to assist the Court.
2. On Court's query, she informs that her counsel has chosen not to appear on account of call of strike by his association and she is not in a position to assist the Court properly. She prays for a week's adjournment so that her counsel can appear and assist the Court.
3. In view of the request of the petitioner, the matter stands adjourned to 07.04.2025.
4. Reply be filed in the meantime.
5. This Court notices that not only this case, even the matters listed from serial Nos.1 to 14 (prior to this case) have remained unrepresented.
6. No intimation or notice has been given to the Court by the Bar. Call of strike by the lawyers or abstinence from work which is

otherwise illegal, cannot be countenanced in the casual manner as has been observed today.

7. The abstinence on the part of the lawyers for whatever reason, that too without informing the Court is not only deplorable and condemnable but also unacceptable.

8. Be that as it may. Calling out remaining matters for the sake of it, is of no use. All the matters listed in today's cause list (from serial Nos.16 to 272, except the matters wherein separate order(s) have been passed) are thus, adjourned 'sine-die'.

9. The matters which have been adjourned 'sine-die' shall be listed only upon an application filed by the petitioner/counsel for the petitioner.

(DINESH MEHTA),J

15-raksha/-