

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5472/2026

Mukesh Kumar S/o Subhash Chandra, Aged About 27 Years,
Resident Of Paharsar, Churu, District Churu, Rajasthan, Pin
3310123.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Secretary-Cum-Commissioner, Department Of Rural Development And Panchayati Raj, Government Secretariat, Jaipur (Rajasthan).
2. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Churu, Churu, District Churu (Rajasthan).
3. Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat-Paharsar, Panchayat Samiti-Rajgarh, District Churu (Rajasthan).
4. Village Development Officer Cum Gram Secretary, Gram Panchayat-Paharsar, Panchayat Samiti-Rajgarh, District Churu (Rajasthan).

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Himanshu Soni
For Respondent(s)	:	-

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

12/03/2026

1. By way of the present writ petition, petitioner has sought following reliefs:-

*"It is, therefore, most humbly and respectfully prayed that the writ filed by the petitioner may kindly be allowed and by an appropriate order or direction:-
I. By issuing an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature thereof; the letter dated 04.11.2025 (Annexure-5) be quashed & set aside and the respondents may directed to provide extracts/copies requested in application dated-26.09.2025 (Annexure-3) to the petitioner without further delay;*

II. By issuing an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature thereof; the respondents may directed to ensure the compliance of rule 321 to 328 of Rajasthan Panchayati Raj Rules 1996 within the stipulated time;
III. That Any other appropriate order or direction by which this Hon'ble court considers just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present case, may kindly be passed in favour of the petitioner.
IV. Costs the writ petition may kindly be awarded to the petitioner."

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that vide application dated 26.09.2025 (Annexure-3), the petitioner sought certain information after paying applicable fee but despite the petitioner's persuasion, the Gram Vikas Adhikari, Gram Panchayat, Paharsar, Panchayat Samiti - Rajgarh, has not provided the requisite information. He submits that the petitioner preferred an appeal before the CEO, Zila Parishad Churu, but the same is pending consideration.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner invited Court's attention to Rules 321 to 328 of the Rajasthan Panchayati Raj Rules, 1996 and submitted that the petitioner is entitled for the desired information.

4. After hearing learned counsel and perusing the Rules of 1996, respondent Nos.3 and 4 are hereby directed to provide the information sought by the petitioner in accordance with law. The same be provided within a period of four weeks from placing certified copy of the order instant.

5. If respondent Nos.3 and 4 are of the view that the information sought for cannot be legally provided, they shall pass a speaking order and communicate the same to the petitioner.

6. The writ petition stands disposed of with the aforesaid directions. Stay application as well as all other pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

7. Needless to observe that the aforesaid direction has been issued only with a view to ensure expeditious redressal of

petitioner's grievance. The same may not be construed to be an order to comply the same in a particular manner.

8. The order has been passed based on the submissions made in the petition, the respondents would be free to examine the veracity of the submissions made in the petition and only in case, the averments made therein are found to be correct, the petitioner would be entitled to the relief.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J

8-divya/-