

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6072/2021

Abhishek Joshi

----Petitioner

Versus

Union Of India & Ors.

----Respondents

Connected With

1. S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13379/2020
 2. S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5616/2021
 3. S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5629/2021
 4. S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5632/2021
 5. S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5641/2021
 6. S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5708/2021
 7. S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5806/2021
 8. S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5869/2021
 9. S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5998/2021
 10. S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9972/2021
 11. S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9850/2025
-

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Himanshu Shrimali Mr. J.S. Bhaleria Ms. Twinkle Purohit with Mr. Devi Singh
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. T.C. Sharma, C.G.P.C. Mr. N.K. Mehta Mr. D.D. Chitlangi Mr. Himmat Singh for Mr. P.R.S. Jodha Mr. Ravindra Puri for Mr. Rajesh Parihar

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA BORANA

Order

04/12/2025

1. Vide order dated 06.02.2025, the Court directed as under:

"1. Petitioners involved in the present bunch of the writ petitions are working as Lecturer, clerk and other posts under the CTE scheme (hereinafter referred to as "Colleges of Teacher Education")

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner invited Court's attention towards a communication dated

02.11.2022 sent by the State Project – Director, Rajasthan School Shiksha Parishad whereby he had sought direction from the State - Deputy Secretary – First, Education (Group-I), Department interalia Court of Rajasthan to take concrete decisions in relation to absorption of eligible lecturers, clerks and other personnel working under the CTE scheme in DIET Project, but no decision has been taken so far.

3. The Secretary, Education is directed to take concrete decision pursuant to the letter dated 02.11.2022 in accordance with law and in case a joint meeting of competent authorities of the Secretary Finance and Secretary of Department of Personnel is necessary, the same be convened within a period of 15 days from today.

4. List these cases on 18th March, 2025.

5. It will be required of the State Government to place on record the decision taken in furtherance of the communication dated 02.11.2022 sent by the Project Director and Commissioner.

6. Mr. N.K. Mehta, leaned Deputy Government counsel to froward a copy of order instant and letter dated 02.11.2022 to the Secretary Education."

2. Evidently, no joint meeting of the competent authorities of the Secretary Finance and Secretary of Department of Personnel as directed by the Court has been convened till date.

3. The affidavit as filed by the State Government after order dated 06.02.2025 having been passed cannot be termed to be in compliance of order dated 06.02.2025.

4. The minutes of meeting dated 11.03.2025 as placed on record cannot be termed to be in compliance of order dated 06.02.2025 as vide the said order, the Court had directed for the meeting of the competent authorities of the Secretary Finance and the Secretary of Department of Personnel to be convened.

5. In view of the above, learned Additional Advocate General Mr. Rajesh Panwar is directed to file a specific affidavit on behalf of the respondent-State as to what action has been taken by the State Government in pursuance to order dated 06.02.2025.

6. Let the affidavit be filed before the next date i.e. 06.01.2026.

7. It is made clear that if no meeting in pursuance to order dated 06.02.2025 has been convened till date, the same be convened within a period of 10 days from now and the decision whatsoever of the said committee be submitted with the affidavit to be filed now.

8. At this stage, it is relevant to record the undertaking as given by all the counsels appearing for the petitioners to the effect that if the State Government takes a decision to merge the present petitioners with any other government department, the petitioners are ready to forego all the benefits qua the period of five years during which they were not in job.

9. Counsels further undertake that the petitioners shall not claim any benefit of regularisation too in those circumstances.

(REKHA BORANA),J