

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 3232/2026

Roshani W/o Late Pappu Ram, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Poharka, P.s. Rawatsar, Dist Hanumangarh. (At Present Lodged In Sub Jail Nohar)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Jaidev Singh Bhati Mr. Kirpa Ram.
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Pawan Bhati, PP.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MUKESH RAJPUROHIT

Order

25/03/2026

The instant 2nd application for bail under Section 483 of BNSS (439 of Cr.P.C.) has been filed by the petitioner who has been arrested in the present matter. The requisite details of the matter are tabulated herein below:

S. No.	Particulars of the case	
1.	FIR Number	77/2025
2.	Police Station	Rawatsar
3.	District	Hanumangarh
4.	Offences alleged in the FIR	Under Sections 108 and 61(2)(a) of the BNS.
5.	Offences added, if any	Under Section 3(5) of the BNS.

The 1st bail application filed on behalf of petitioner i.e. S.B. Criminal Misc. Bail Application No.952/2026 was dismissed vide order dated 30.01.2026 passed by this Court with the liberty to the petitioner to file fresh bail application after filing of the

challan. After rejection of first bail application, challan has been filed. Hence, this second application for bail has been filed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is contended that the allegations levelled by the family members regarding an alleged illicit relationship with one Banwari are baseless and on such unfounded allegations, it has been alleged that the husband of the petitioner committed suicide.

He further submits that the petitioner is a mother of four children. During the course of investigation, statements were recorded by the Investigating Officer under Section 180 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita. In her statement, Monika (Monu), the daughter of the petitioner has stated that there used to be no quarrel between her parents and on the date of the incident, when they woke up, they found the door locked from inside. Thereafter, the villagers broke open the door and the deceased was found hanging from the roof.

It is further contended that there is no material on record to *prima facie* establish that the petitioner had in any manner instigated, provoked or pressurized her husband to commit suicide. It is also submitted that no suicide note has been recovered in the present case.

Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner is in judicial custody since 21.12.2025, the charge-sheet has already been filed, and the trial is likely to take a considerable period of time. Therefore, considering the responsibility of the petitioner

towards her minor children, it is prayed that the benefit of bail may be granted to the accused-petitioner.

Per contra, learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail application and submitted that as per the prosecution case and conclusion of the investigation, the petitioner was having an illicit relationship with another person, on account of which her husband committed suicide.

Having heard and considered the rival submissions, facts and circumstances of the case as well as perused the material available on record; considering the fact that charge-sheet has already been filed and the petitioner is in custody since 21.12.2025 and the trial will take sufficiently long time to conclude, without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

Consequently, the 2nd bail application under Section 483 of BNSS (439 of Cr.P.C.) is allowed. It is ordered that the accused-petitioner as named in the cause title, arrested in connection with the above mentioned FIR, shall be released on bail, if not wanted in any other case, provided he furnishes a personal bond of Rs.1,00,000/- and two sureties of Rs.50,000/- each, to the satisfaction of learned trial court, for his appearance before that court on each & every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so till completion of the trial.

(MUKESH RAJPUROHIT),J