

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5132/2026

Mahatma Gandhi Veterinary College, Run By Smt. Urmila Devi Mehgiya Paropkari Trust Through Its President Harsh Kumar S/o Piyush Kumar, Aged 35 Years, Resident Of 138, Ranjit Nagar, Bharatpur (Rajasthan).

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary, Animal Husbandry Department, Secretariat, Jaipur (Raj.).
2. Veterinary Council Of India, A-Wing, Ii-Floor, August Kranti Bhawan, Bikaji Cama Place, New Delhi Through Its President.
3. Rajasthan University Of Veterinary And Animal Sciences, Bikaner Through Its Registrar.
4. Convener RpvT 2025, Rajasthan University Of Veterinary And Animal Sciences, Bikaner.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Harsh Kumar, present in person
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Ravindra Jhala for Mr. S.S. Ladrecha, AAG

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEET PUROHIT

Order

27/02/2026

1. Present writ petition has been filed challenging circular dated 28.11.2025 as well as order dated 06.12.2025 issued by respondent No.3, directing the veterinary colleges, including the petitioner - Institution, that only RPVT – 2025 qualified candidates having Rajasthan Domicile will be eligible for admission against vacant seats of State quota.

2. The petitioner present in person on behalf of Institution relies upon the directions contained in judgment dated 25.09.2019 passed in ***S.B.C.W.P. No. 15131/2018 (Aravali Veterinary College, Sikar Vs Rajasthan University of Veterinary & Animal Sciences, Bikaner & Ors.)***, wherein this Court held that as per Clause 9 of Regulation 7 of the Veterinary Council of India – Minimum Standards of Veterinary Education in Degree Courses (B.V.Sc. & A.H.) Regulations 2016, the Veterinary Institutions can grant admission to students against the vacant seats left after counseling and such admissions by the Veterinary Institutions are neither subservient nor dependent upon the approval of the University.

3. The petitioner present in person further states that the condition imposed by the respondent University that the vacant State quota seats must be filled only by the RPVT qualified students having Rajasthan domicile is not only against the provisions of the Regulations – 2016 but also contrary to the observations made by this Court in Aravali Veterinary College (*supra*).

4. The petitioner present in person also submits that in the matter of ***S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 1546/2026 (Shekhawati Veterinary College Kochhor, Sikar Vs. Veterinary Council of India & Ors.)***, wherein a similar controversy arose, this Court, after considering arguments of both sides, has passed interim order dated 16.02.2026 in favour of similarly situated Institutions.

5. Matter requires consideration for final adjudication.

6. Petitioner is directed to supply a copy of the writ petition to learned counsel Mr. S.S. Ladrecha, AAG, who represents respondent Nos. 1 and 2 and to Mr. Ajay Kumar Vyas, who represents respondent Nos. 3 and 4.

7. Hence, service is complete.

8. In view of order dated 16.02.2026 passed in the case of ***Shekhawati Veterinary College*** (supra), at this stage the requirements of grant of admission to only Rajasthan domicile candidates against State quota vacant seats is stayed. This Court finds it appropriate to permit the petitioner - Institution to admit students against the seats remained vacant even after the final round of counseling up to sanctioned seats. The students who have been offered seats of State quota through counseling shall have a preferential right to secure admission in the petitioner Institution and, therefore, the students sent by the Counseling Board shall be granted admission in the petitioner - Institution against the State quota seats.

9. The remaining vacant State quota seats may be filled by petitioner – Institution on their own subject to compliance with the minimum qualifying marks criteria, if any.

10. The students already admitted by the petitioner - Institution against the seats which remained vacant even after final round of counseling shall be allowed to continue their studies and their admissions shall be provisionally recognized by the respondent University.

11. It is made clear that such provisional admission will not create any right in favour of students.

12. It will be open for the respondents to move appropriate application for vacation / modification of this order.

13. Let this matter be listed for final arguments on 02.04.2026 alongwith S.B.C.W.P. No. 1546/2026.

14. Registry is directed to reflect the name of learned counsel Mr. S.S. Ladreacha, AAG, and Mr. Ajay Kumar Vyas as counsels appearing for the respondents in the cause list.

(SANJEET PUROHIT),J

78-JatinS/-