

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5175/2026

Shyam Trading Company, Proprietor Mukesh Kumar S/o Shri
Bhagwan Das, Aged About 42 Years, R/o 56 C, Sethiya Colony,
Gali No 9 ,shriganganagar, Rajasthan

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Reserve Bank Of India, Through Its Governor, Central
Office, Ahahid Bhagat Singh Marg, Fort, Mumbai.
2. Axis Bank, Ground Floor, Square No 3, Kila No
4,5,6,7,13,LNP 11 Hanumangargh Road, Tania
University, Sriganganagar, Rajasthan Through Manager.
3. Director General Of Police, State Cyber Crime Cell, Jaipur,
Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Pradeep Sharma

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Aditya Singhi

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

09/03/2026

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution
of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following
reliefs:-

*"It is, therefore, most humbly and respectfully prayed
that this writ petition may kindly be allowed and by an
appropriate writ, order or direction:*

*i. That by an appropriate writ, order and direction, direct
the respondent bank to unfreeze the petitioners account,
bearing Axix Bank, Sriganganagar bearing account
number 924020054841944, IFSC UTIB0005131 By an
appropriate writ, order and direction, direct the
respondent bank to pay damages and compensation for
mentally and economic loss.*

*ii. Any other appropriate order or direction which this
Hon'ble Court deems just and proper may kindly be
passed in favour of the petitioner."*

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case,
this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition

with a direction to the Axis Bank Limited (Respondent No.2) to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J