

  
**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT  
JODHPUR**

(1) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5238/2025

Vijay Prakash Sharma S/o Shri Puranmal Sharma, Aged About 46 Years, R/o. 15-Pratap Nagar Colony, Gulab Pura, District - Bhilwara (Raj.).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Chief Secretary, Department Of Rural Development And Panchayati Raj, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Additional Commissioner Cum Joint Secretary (First), Department Of Rural Development And Panchayati Raj, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Pali, Rajasthan.
4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Beawer, Rajasthan.
5. The District Collector, Pali.
6. The District Collector, Beawer.
7. The Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Raipur, District - Pali, Rajasthan.
8. The Sarpanch, Panchayat Samiti Raipur, District - Pali, Rajasthan.
9. Smt. Shobha Chouhan, Member Of Legislative Assembly, Sojat. (At Present Resident Of Joshi Colony, Bypass Road, Raipur, District Beawer, Rajasthan - 306304).

-----Respondents

Connected With

(2) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13194/2024

Vijay Prakash Sharma S/o Shri Puranmal Sharma, Aged About 45 Years, R/o 15-Pratap Nagar Colony, Gulab Pura, District - Bhilwara (Raj.).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Chief Secretary, Department Of Rural Development And Panchayati Raj, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Pali, Rajasthan.

3. The Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Raipur, District - Pali, Rajasthan.
4. Shri Aadesh Kumar Meena, Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Raipur, District - Pali, Rajasthan.
5. The Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat Raipur, District - Pali (Raj.).

-----Respondents

---

|                   |   |                                                                                                  |
|-------------------|---|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner(s) | : | Mr. Vikas Balia, Senior Advocate<br>assisted by Mr. Shreyansh Ramdev<br>Mr. Lakshya Singh Udawat |
| For Respondent(s) | : | Mr. K.S. Solanki for<br>Mr. I.R. Choudhary, AAG<br>Mr. Divik Mathur                              |

---

**JUSTICE DINESH MEHTA**

**Order**

**06/03/2025**

1. By way of present writ petitions, the petitioner has raised a grievance about unwarranted and unnecessary harassment meted out to him, more particularly, the inquiry initiated by the State Government vide order dated 03.02.2025.
2. It is asserted by Mr. Vikas Balia, learned Senior Counsel that the entire record of the Gram Panchayat Raipur has been seized by the then Block Development Officer, who did not have any authority in law to do so.
3. He submitted that in absence of the record, it will not be possible for the petitioner to put forth his defense, if inquiry takes place.
4. Inviting Court's attention towards the detail report dated 18.11.2024 furnished by a three Member Committee, comprising of four Government Officials, learned Senior Counsel submitted

that a clean chit has been given to the petitioner and in spite of the fact that the same has been forwarded and received by the Additional Commissioner and Secretary to the Government (I), Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, again an inquiry has been instituted by way of order dated 03.02.2025, simply under the influence and persistence of respondent No.9.

5. Inviting Court's attention towards the letter dated 28.11.2024 sent by the respondent No.9 and other relevant record, learned Senior Counsel argued that the petitioner is being victimized and harassed consequent to persuasion of respondent No.9, without there being any fault of his, that too ignoring the fact that the departmental officers, after due consideration of the record, have given a clean chit.

6. Issue notice.

7. Mr. K.S. Solanki, associate to Mr. I.R. Choudhary, learned Additional Advocate General accepts notices on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 7 while Mr. Divik Mathur accepts notice on behalf of the respondent No.8.

8. Issue notice to the respondent No.9. Notice be made returnable on 27.03.2025.

9. Notices be filed in three sets, out of which, one set be sent to the Secretary, Rajasthan State Assembly and another set be given '*dasti*' to learned counsel for the petitioner for effecting service through registered post acknowledgment due.

10. Third set be sent in regular course. It will be required of the learned District Judge, Pali to ensure service of the notice upon respondent No.9 in accordance with law.

11. Till then, no action, including disciplinary action shall be taken against the petitioner.

12. It will be required of the Block Development Officer – Aadesh Kumar Meena (wherever he is posted) to remain present in the Court on the next date of hearing. Mr. Choudhary, learned Additional Advocate General to inform said Aadesh Kumar Meena about requirement of his presence on 27.03.2025.

**(DINESH MEHTA),J**

235-236-akansha/-