HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5036/2026

Rajesh Kumar Gurjar S/o Bhag Chand Gurjar, Aged About 32 Years, R/o 1474/45, Gujar Mohalla, Ajmer, Rajasthan- 305001. Presently Residing At Pi. No. 74, 1St Polo, Paota, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Bank Of Baroda, Through Its Branch Manager At Mayo College Girls School, Ajmer-305001.
2. State Of Rajasthan, Through Director General Of Police, Police Directorate, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal, Represented By Its Director, National Highway-8, Mahalipur, New Delhi, Pin 110037.
4. The Inspector In-Charge, Special Cell, 153, Janakpuri, New Delhi - 110058.
5. The Inspector In-Charge, Narendrapura Police Station, Sonarpur Station Road, Pratapgarh, Garia, Kolkata, West Bengal - 700103.
6. The Inspector In-Charge, Ashok Nagar Police Station, New Building, Commissioner Office Bengaluru, Karnataka - 560025.
7. Sho, Kandhla Police Station Kandhla, District Shamli, Uttar Pradesh - 247775.
8. The Inspector In-Charge, Janak Ganj Police Station, District Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh - 474001.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Harsh Gupta
For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEET PUROHIT

Order

26/02/2026

1. Present writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India interalia praying for issuance of appropriate

directions to Respondent Bank to de-freeze Petitioner's Savings Account No. 30210100008346 and to further restrain Respondent-Bank from freezing Petitioner's bank account in future without prior notice.

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the Respondent- Bank to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(SANJEET PUROHIT),J

217-yagya/-