

  
**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN**  
**JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4777/2024

Virdha Ram  
-----Petitioner  
Versus  
State Of Rajasthan  
-----Respondent

Connected With  
S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14030/2023  
Gorkha Ram  
-----Petitioner  
Versus  
State Of Rajasthan  
-----Respondent

---

|                   |   |                                                                                                                                                              |
|-------------------|---|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner(s) | : | Mr. Virdha Ram<br>Mr. Narendra Kumar Sharma<br>Ms. Jyotsna Dave<br>Mr. Indra Kumar<br>Mr. Sayyed Tabrez Ali<br>Mr. Bal Ram<br>petitioners present in person. |
| For Respondent(s) | : | Dr. Praveen Khandelwal, AAG with<br>Mr. Piyush Bhandari<br>Ms. Akanksha Berwa, Deputy Director,<br>ICDS, Jodhpur City, present in person                     |

---

**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA BORANA**

**Order**

**31/05/2024**

**In S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.4777/2024**

1. On 21.05.2024, a statement was made by learned counsel appearing for the respondent-Department that out of 19 petitioners, 11 petitioners have already been re-engaged. On that date, time to complete instructions regarding the other 8 petitioners was prayed for. In view of the submission made, time

was granted and the matter was directed to be listed on 30.05.2024. Meanwhile, the reply was also directed to be filed.

2. Two additional affidavits have been filed on behalf of the petitioners on 30.05.2024 whereby it has been averred that the 11 petitioners as averred by the respondents to have been re-engaged, have not been re-engaged. It has further been submitted that even the three persons who had been re-engaged, were not permitted to work.

3. Ms. Akanksha Berwa, Deputy Director, ICDS, Jodhpur City, present in person, admits that the submission made on 21.05.2024 regarding the re-engagement of 11 petitioners was factually incorrect. She apologizes for the said incorrect instruction given to the learned counsel. She however, submits that as per the instructions received from the Directorate, 18 out of the present 19 petitioners would be re-engaged within a period of one week. Regarding petitioner No.19, she submits that there are serious allegations of misconduct against him and therefore, as of date, he cannot be re-engaged.

4. In view of the aforesaid submission, the Officer, present in person, is directed to file an affidavit during the course of the day with the specific statement as given by her before this Court regarding re-engagement of the 18 petitioners within a period of one week.

It is made clear that if the statement/assurance made/given before this Court is not complied with within a period of one week, the same would be termed to be contempt of the orders of this Court.

5. So far as petitioner No.19 is concerned, at this stage, no orders qua him are being passed by this Court. Appropriate orders would be passed qua him only after hearing of the present writ petition.

6. List the matter on 07.06.2024.

**In S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.14030/2023**

List the matter alongwith S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.4777/2024.

Interim order, if any, shall continue till the next date.

**(REKHA BORANA),J**