

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4852/2026

1. Vimala W/o Khushal Solanki, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Shasti Nagar Colony, Head Post Office Road, Tehsil And District Jalore.
2. Reena W/o Mr. Shrawan Kumar Guru, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Guruon Ka Niwas, Village Naya Sanwara, Tehsil And District Sirohi.
3. Anita Parihar W/o Narendra Parihar, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Purohit Hostal Ke Pass Ki Gali, Rajendra Nagar, Tehsil And District Jalore.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary, Department Of Local Self Government, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. Director, Department Of Local Self Government, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Municipal Council, Jalore, Through Its Commissioner, Jalore, Rajasthan.
4. Bapu Bal Mandir, Jalore, Through Its Principal/headmaster.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Priyanshu Gopa

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

25/02/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the issue raised in the present writ petition is squarely covered by order dated 06.07.2020, passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court in the case of the Jitendra Kumar Tailor Vs. The State of Rajasthan & Ors.: S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.1952/2019.

2. In the case of Jitendra Kumar Tailor (supra), it was held as under:

“Petitioner was initially engaged by the Municipal Board, Nawa, as Computer Operator, vide order dated 12th of April, 2007. It is, inter alia, averred in the writ petition that since inception of his service career, petitioner is discharging his duties with utmost satisfaction and he has to his credit requisite qualification of Computer Operator. It is also reflected from the pleadings that State Govt. in exercise of power under Section 328 of the Rajasthan Municipalities Rules, 2009, has sanctioned number of posts for various municipalities and one post of Computer Operator is also sanctioned for respondent No.4, Municipal Board, Nawa. The decision of the State Govt. in this behalf was conveyed by the Dy. Director, Local Self Govt. vide order dated 30.09.2013. The petition also unfurls that considering the longevity of the services of petitioner and his satisfactory performance as Computer Operator prompted the Municipal Board to recommend for regularization of his services on the post of Computer Operator. Accordingly, recommendation in this behalf was sent by the Municipal Board to the State Government but same is still pending before the State Govt. The petitioner has also placed reliance on a decision of Supreme Court in State of Karnataka V/s. Uma Devi [(2006) 4 SCC 1]. While referring to office order dated 15th of March, 2017 (Annex.6), it is also pleaded that the Local Self Govt. has issued necessary directions for implementing the verdict given in S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.18056/2015 (Roshan Lal Saini V/s. State & Ors., decided on 9th of March, 2017) for regularization of the services of employees in consonance with the policy adopted by the State Govt.

Learned counsel appearing for the State Govt. has not disputed that recommendations have been sent by Municipal Board but has submitted that the matter is still pending consideration before the Directorate.

In this view of the matter, I deem it just and appropriate to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the State Govt. to decide the matter at the earliest to facilitate issuance of requisite order of regularization of petitioner's services, strictly in accordance with law. The requisite exercise be undertaken by the State Govt. at the earliest, preferably within a period of four weeks from the date presentation of this order.

The writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

The stay application also stands disposed of accordingly.”

3. In view of the above, this writ petition is disposed of in same terms as in the case of Jitendra Kumar Tailor (supra), with a direction to the State Govt. to decide the matter at the earliest to facilitate issuance of requisite order of regularization of petitioners’ services, strictly in accordance with law. The requisite exercise be undertaken by the State Govt. at the earliest, preferably within a period of four weeks from the date presentation of this order.

4. The order has been passed based on the submissions made in the petition and by learned counsel for the petitioners before this Court. The respondents would be free to examine the veracity of the submissions made in the petition and only in case, the averments made therein are found to be correct, appropriate orders would be passed in favour of the petitioners.

(DR.NUPUR BHATI),J