

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 2719/2026

Sanjar Khan Alias Sanju S/o Shri Hakim Khan, Aged About 30
Years, Resident Of Devaldi, Police Station Arnod, District
Pratapgarh Raj.

(Presently Lodged In District Jail, Pratapgarh)

----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 2751/2026

Gajraj S/o Bhagirath, Aged About 45 Years, Resident Of -Paduni
P.S. Arnod, District Pratapgarh Rajasthan.

(At Present Lodged In Jail Pratapgarh)

----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through The Public Prosecutor

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Zeeshan Ali Mr. Raghuveer Singh Bhati
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Hathi Singh Jodha, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR

Order

26/02/2026

1. The petitioners have preferred these bail applications under
Section 483 of BNSS in FIR No.32/2026 registered at Police
Station Arnod, District Pratapgarh for offence under Sections 8/21
of NDPS Act.

2. Since both these bail applications arise out of common FIR,
therefore, they are being decided by this common order.

3. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that the allegation against petitioner Sanjar Khan is that he was the driver of the motorcycle from which the alleged contraband, i.e., 124 grams of brown sugar and 116 grams of chemical powder, was recovered from co-accused Gajraj Singh, which is below commercial quantity. He further argues that the said contraband was recovered from the slipper of co-accused Gajraj Singh. Learned counsel further contends that the petitioners have been behind bars since 06.02.2026. Though two cases of similar nature are reported against Sanjar Khan, but he is on bail in those cases, and co-accused Gajraj has no criminal antecedents. The trial of the case may take considerable time, and no further custodial interrogation is required; hence, the bail applications of the petitioners may be allowed.

5. Learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail applications.

6. On consideration of the rival submissions and material available on record and in the light of submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners but without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, I am inclined to grant benefit of bails to the petitioners.

7. Consequently, the bail applications under Section 483 B.N.S.S. are allowed and it is directed that the petitioners **Sanjar Khan Alias Sanju S/o Shri Hakim Khan and Gajraj S/o Bhagirath** be released on bail provided each of them furnishes a

personal bail bond in the sum of Rs. 50,000/- with two sureties in the sum of Rs. 25,000/- each of the satisfaction of the learned trial court with the stipulation that they shall appear before that Court on all subsequent dates of hearing till conclusion of the trial.

(PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR),J

BMG/Parshant/241-242