

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4827/2026

Rasal Kanwar W/o Late Shri Durjan Singh, Aged About 70 Years,
Resident Of- Talon Ka Paar, Kotda, District Barmer, Rajasthan
(Her Husband Was Expired On 27.01.2012 On The Post Of Gram
Sewak From The Panchayat Samiti Shiv, District Barmer).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary Cum
Commissioner, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj
Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat,
Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Barmer, District
Barmer, Rajasthan.
3. The Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Shiv,
District Barmer, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Manish Patel.

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

25/02/2026

1. Heard.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the controversy
involved in the instant writ petition is squarely covered by the
order dated 3.4.2015 passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court
in a bunch of writ petitions led by ***S.B. Civil Writ Petition
No.3141/2015 (Jagdish Chandra Khatik & Ors. Vs. State of
Rajasthan & Ors.)*** which were decided in light of the judgment
dated 29.7.2009 rendered in the case of ***Jagdish Bhanoda. Vs.
State of Rajasthan & Ors. (S.B. Civil Writ Petition
No.773/2009)***.
3. The prayer made in the instant writ petition is for grant of
first selection scale to the petitioner upon completion of 9 years of

service in the pay scale of Rs.5000-150-8000 with consequential benefits including fixation of pay and arrears.

4. Upon having examined the facts of the case at hand in context to the factual matrix examined by this Court in Jagdish Bhanoda's case which judgment was later on affirmed uptill the Hon'ble Supreme Court, this Court is satisfied that the controversy involved in the instant writ petition is squarely covered by the said judgment.

5. Accordingly, the instant writ petition is disposed of requiring the petitioners to submit a detailed representation to the appropriate authority for ventilating their grievances along with a copy of this order. Upon such representation being received, the appropriate authority shall objectively consider and decide the same in light of ratio of the judgment rendered by this Court in Jagdish Bhanoda Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors. (S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.773/2009) by a reasoned speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of such representation.

6. In case, the petitioner is found entitled to any monetary/terminal benefits, the same shall be granted to him immediately.

7. If after the disposal of the representation, any of the petitioner's grievances still survive, he will be at liberty to take recourse to appropriate legal remedy.

8. No order as to costs.

9. The order has been passed based on the submissions made in the petition, the respondents would be free to examine the veracity of the submissions made in the petition and only in case,



the averments made therein are found to be correct, the petitioner would be entitled to the relief.

(DR.NUPUR BHATI),J

292-S.Sharma/-