

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4867/2026

1. Sanju Rinwan D/o Bhanwar Lal Rinwan, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Village Dheejpura, tehsil Jayal, District Nagaur, Rajasthan.
2. Parbal Gaur S/o Chandra Prakash Gaur, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Pitiwara Bisso Ka Chowk, Nagaur, District Nagaur, Rajasthan.
3. Priyanka Bangra D/o Ramvilash Bangra, Aged About 26 Years, R/o Gamtiya Bas, Jayal, District Nagaur, Rajasthan.
4. Vinod Kumar Pareek S/o Shri Prahlad Ray Pareek, Aged About 44 Years, R/o Ward No. 03, Sujangarh, District Churu, Rajasthan.
5. Umesh Sain S/o Ghanshyam Sain, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Jay Narayan Vyas Colony, Nagaur - 341001, Rajasthan.
6. Suman D/o Multan Ram, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Ward No. 3, Merta City, Tehsil Merta City, District Nagaur, Rajasthan.
7. Surendra Singh Sandu S/o Ummed Singh, Aged About 31 Years, R/o Vpo Bhadora, Tehsil Mundwa, District Nagaur - 341028, Rajasthan.
8. Abdul Rahman S/o Anwar Ali, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Bazarwada, Near Chouhano Ki Masjid, Nagaur, District Nagaur, Rajasthan.
9. Amjad Khan S/o Abdul Salam, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Bus Stand Ke Paas, Riyan Badi - 341513, District Nagaur, Rajasthan.
10. Mahendra Singh Rathore S/o Om Singh Rathore, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Vpo Khardiya, Tehsil Nawa, District Nagaur, Rajasthan.
11. Phula Ram Meghwal S/o Hari Ram Meghwal, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Vpo Nohariya, Tehsil Bidsar, District Churu - 331518, Rajasthan.
12. Imran Khan S/o Sarwar Khan, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Village And Post Mithari, Tehsil Ladnun, District Didwana-Kuchaman - 341303, Rajasthan.
13. Jaipal Tandi S/o Kailash Ram Tandi, Aged About 25 Years,

R/o Vpo Rupathal, Tehsil Jayal, District Nagaur, Rajasthan.

14. Seema Fagoriya D/o Rakesh Bera, Aged About 29 Years,
R/o Village Keriya Rawan, Tehsil Degana, District Nagaur -
341514, Rajasthan.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department Of
Women And Child Development Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. Director, Integrated Child Development Services (Icds),
Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. Additional Director (Nutrition) And In-Charge, Poshan
Abhiyan, Jaipur.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. V.S. Bhawla

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

25/02/2026

1. At the request of the learned counsel for the petitioners, the
matter has been heard for final disposal at the admission stage
itself.
2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits
that the facts in the present case is similar to the facts in D.B.
Civil Writ Petition No. 11737/2024 (Rodu Lal & Ors. Vs. State of
Rajasthan & Ors.), decided on 26.08.2025.
3. The relevant paragraphs of the order dated 26.08.2025
passed in the case of Rodu Lal (Supra) reads as follows:-
operative portion of the order dated 26.08.2025 reads as follows:-

“41. In light of the aforesaid facts & findings and the
judgments, this Court is of the opinion that Rule 3 of the
Rules of 2022 has to be read harmoniously, whereby, the
petitioners and similarly situated persons, who have been
appointed through placement agency after issuance of

public advertisement are to be covered under the ambit of Rule 3 of the Rules of 2022. Since, the above rule has been read harmoniously in favour of the petitioners, therefore, there is no requirement to decide question No. (b), which was framed under para 13. The harmonious reading of the Rule itself clarifies that, there ought to be no discrimination between the contractual employees appointed through placement agency as well as the contractual employees appointed directly.

42. For the aforesaid reasons, the writ petitions are allowed in the following terms: (i) The respondents shall consider the individual case of each contractual employee, appointed prior to enforcement of the Rules of 2022 strictly in accordance with Rule 3 of the Rules of 2022, meaning thereby, that if an employee has been appointed on a post created by the Administrative Department with the concurrence of the Finance Department and the appointment has been through issuance of a public advertisement further without there being any differentiation whether the public advertisement has been issued by the State Government or by the placement agency. (ii) If the case of the individual is in conformation with the Rule 3 of the Rules of 2022, as interpreted above, then the benefit of the Rules of 2022 shall be extended to such petitioners.

43. All pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.”

4. In light of the order cited above, the present writ petition is disposed of in the same terms as in the case of Rodu Lal & Ors. (supra). The petitioners shall be at liberty to make a representation before the concerned authorities within a period of 15 days. On such representation, the concerned authorities are directed to dispose of the same in light of the decision passed in case of Rodu Lal within a period of two months from the date of receipt of this order.

5. All the pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(DR.NUPUR BHATI),J