




**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**



S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4762/2026

1. Ram Avatar Mehriya S/o Chentan Ram, Aged About 31 Years, 77, Bhaduo Ka Bas Tehsil Merta City, Karloo Nagaur Presently Working At Panchayat Samiti Ajmer Rural As Block Coordinator (Sbm).
2. Vaseem Akram S/o Abdul Gaffar Khan, Aged About 38 Years, Jhalara Vav Masjid Ke Samne, Sirohi Presently Working At Panchayat Samiti Shivganj As Block Coordinator (Sbm).
3. Gopal Ram S/o Chela Ram Meghwal, Aged About 45 Years, Meghwalo Ka Was Khandra Sirohi Posalia Sirohi Presently Working At Panchayat Samiti Sirohi As Block Coordinator (Sbm).
4. Himanshu Singh S/o Pradeep Kumar Singh, Aged About 32 Years, I-16 Beawer Road Railway Bungalow Opposite G.c.a. Ajmer Ajmer Presently Working At Zila Parishad Ajmer As Accountant (Sbm).
5. Manjeet Singh Jodha S/o Bhanwar Singh Jodha, Aged About 40 Years, House Number 654 Gali No. 2 Shakti Nagar Naka Madar Chungi Ajmer Presently Working At Zila Parishad (Rd Cell) Ajmer As Computer Operator.
6. Vimal Kumar S/o Brijkishor Sharma, Aged About 38 Years, Pingora Bharatpur Presently Working At Zila Parishad Bharatpur As District Co-Ordinator (Sbm).
7. Meetha Lal Bunkar S/o Ram Prasad Kumar, Aged About 42 Years, Khohra Khurd Dausa Presently Working At Zila Parishad Sikar As District Co-Ordinator (Sbm).
8. Ramswaroop Sharma S/o Shankar Lal Sharma, Aged About 34 Years, Gram Panchayat Ke Pichhe Anatprua Anatpura Jaipur Presently At Panchayat Samiti Dhod As Block Co-Ordinator (Sbm).
9. Gopal Signh Dhayal S/o Narayan Lal, Aged About 35 Years, Dhayalo Ki Dhani Ladpura Po Ladpura Dist Sikar Presently Working At Panchayat Samiti Palsana As Block Co-Ordinator (Sbm).
10. Kamlesh Kumar S/o Girdhari Lal, Aged About 32 Years, Ward No. 07 Bahuji Ki Dhani The Khandela Sikar Presently Working At Panchayat Samiti Khandela As Block



Co-Ordinator (Sbm)

11. Mahendra Choudhary S/o Mohan Singh Choudhary, Aged About 34 Years, 15, Shiv Colony Sector 8M, Vidyadhar Nagar Jaipur Presently Working At Zila Parishad Jaipur As District M And E Cum Mis (Sbm).
12. Kamlesh Bairwa S/o Chirangi Lal Bairwa, Aged About 35 Years, Ward No. 12, Plot No. 18 Mal Ki Dhani Badh Bag Pur Chaksu Thali Jaipur Presently Working At Directorate Sbm-G Jaipur, Mis Specialist Sbm-G.
13. Naresh Kumar Saini S/o Hajari Lal Saini, Aged About 31 Years, Ward No. 15 Dhani Bankali Divrala Road P.O. Deorala Sikar Presently Working At Panchayat Samiti Ajitgarh As Block Co-Ordinator (Sbm).
14. Ravi Sharma S/o Richpal Sharma, Aged About 38 Years, Shastri Nagar Salasar Road Ward No. 14, Sikar Presently Working At Panchayat Samiti Dhod As Computer Operator (Sbm).
15. Manoj Kumar Bhandoria S/o Tulasi Ram Bhandoria, Aged About 46 Years, Chhota Bazar Near Ramdev Temple Sambhar Lake Ameepura Jaipur Presently Working At Panchayat Samiti Sambhar Lake As Block Co-Ordinator (Sbm).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. Director, Swachhh Bharat Mission (Sbm) Jaipur.
3. Chief Executive Officer Zila Parishad, Sirohi.
4. Chief Executive Officer Zila Parishad, Ajmer.
5. Chief Executive Officer Zila Parishad, Sikar.
6. Chief Executive Officer Zila Parishad, Jaipur.
7. Chief Executive Officer Zila Parishad, Bharatpur.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Pankaj Choudhary
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Avadesh Kumar Jangid

**HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI****Order****25/02/2026**

1. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the facts in the present case are similar to the facts in D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 11737/2024 (**Rodu Lal & Ors. Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.**), decided on 26.08.2025.
2. The relevant paragraphs of the order dated 26.08.2025 passed in the case of *Rodu Lal (Supra)* reads as follows:-

"41. In light of the aforesaid facts & findings and the judgments, this Court is of the opinion that Rule 3 of the Rules of 2022 has to be read harmoniously, whereby, the petitioners and similarly situated persons, who have been appointed through placement agency after issuance of public advertisement are to be covered under the ambit of Rule 3 of the Rules of 2022. Since, the above rule has been read harmoniously in favour of the petitioners, therefore, there is no requirement to decide question No. (b), which was framed under para 13. The harmonious reading of the Rule itself clarifies that, there ought to be no discrimination between the contractual employees appointed through placement agency as well as the contractual employees appointed directly.

42. For the aforesaid reasons, the writ petitions are allowed in the following terms: (i) The respondents shall consider the individual case of each contractual employee, appointed prior to enforcement of the Rules of 2022 strictly in accordance with Rule 3 of the Rules of 2022, meaning thereby, that if an employee has been appointed on a post created by the Administrative Department with the concurrence of the Finance Department and the appointment has been through issuance of a public advertisement further without there being any differentiation whether the public advertisement has been issued by the State Government or by the placement agency. (ii) If the case of the individual is in conformation with the Rule 3 of the Rules of 2022, as interpreted above, then the benefit of the Rules of 2022 shall be extended to such petitioners.

43. All pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of."

3. Counsel for the respondents is not in a position to refute the submissions advanced by the petitioners' counsel.



4. In light of the order cited above, the present writ petition is disposed of in the same terms as in the case of **Rodu Lal & Ors. (*supra*)**. The petitioners shall be at liberty to make a representation before the concerned authorities within a period of 15 days. On such representation, the concerned authorities are directed to dispose of the same in light of the decision passed in case of *Rodu Lal* within a period of two months from the date of receipt of this order.

5. All the pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(DR.NUPUR BHATI),J

262-/Devesh/-