



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

2026:RJ-JD:10111

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4832/2026

1. Niranjan Kumar Regar S/o Shri Laxman Lal Regar, Aged About 28 Years, R/o Solanki Darwaja Ke Bahar, Deogarh, Rajsamand.
2. Tejpal Kumawat S/o Shri Mool Chand Kumawat, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Near Bade Talab, Ward No. 20, Kapasan, Chittorgarh.
3. Lalchand Gadri S/o Shri Bhanwar Lal Gadri, Aged About 41 Years, R/o Ward No. 10, Bhimkand, Po Umand, District Chittorgarh.
4. Mahendra Chandel S/o Shri Babu Lal Chandel, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Lakha Guda, Rajsamand.
5. Radheshyam Dholi S/o Shri Devi Lal Dholi, Aged About 46 Years, R/o Po Adana, Turkiya Khurd, Langach, Chittorgarh.
6. Kamal Kishore Charpota S/o Shri Kalji Charpota, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Ward No. 10, Pateliya Aror, Banswara.
7. Ratan Lal Dhaker S/o Shri Kalu Lal Dhaker, Aged About 35 Years, R/o 11, Dhakaro Ka Mohalla, Makhanpura, Dugar, Chittorgarh.
8. Sandeep Khatik S/o Shri Shyam Lal Khatik, Aged About 26 Years, R/o New Abadi, Parsoli, Chittorgarh.
9. Raju Tarsing S/o Shri Shambhu Lal Dholi, Aged About 26 Years, R/o Rawla Chowk, Senth, Chittorgarh.
10. Pushkar Ganchha S/o Shri Vani Ram Ganchha, Aged About 46 Years, R/o 710, Sichai Vibhag Colony, Doongla, Chittorgarh.
11. Pappu Lal Pareek S/o Shri Chagan Lal Pareek, Aged About 45 Years, R/o Muroli, District Chittorgarh.
12. Kishan Lal Nayak S/o Shri Mangi Lal Nayak, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Osawalo Ka Mohalla, Pahoona, Chittorgarh.
13. Madhav Lal Chamar S/o Shri Prithviraj Chamar, Aged About 40 Years, R/o 520, Lasadiya Kalan, Chittorgarh.
14. Bhagwat Singh Panwar S/o Shri Bheem Singh, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Rajput Mohalla, Raypuriya Khurd, Usrol, Chittorgarh.



15. Sonu Kumar Dhaker S/o Shri Kailash Chandra Dhaker, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Bijaipur, Chittorgarh.
16. Chhotu Lal Bairwa S/o Shri Udai Ram Bairwa, Aged About 45 Years, R/o Sihana, Chittorgarh.
17. Jagdish S/o Shri Durga Lal, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Kothari Kowa, Borao, Chittorgarh.
18. Kishan Lal Gurjar S/o Shri Devi Lal Gurjar, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Shada, Chittorgarh.
19. Prakash Rathore S/o Shri Rameshwar Rathore, Aged About 31 Years, R/o Bassi, Sutharon Ka Mohalla, Bassi, Chittorgarh.
20. Ramesh Prasad Regar S/o Shri Bhagwan Lal, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Sadas, District Chittorgarh.
21. Govind Jatiya S/o Shri Ramlal Jatiya, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Kalyanpura, Chechi, Chittorgarh.
22. Shyam Lal Jatiya S/o Shri Madan Lal Jatiya, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Kalyanpura, Tehsil Begun, District Chittorgarh.
23. Durga Shanker Laxkar S/o Shri Govardhan Lal Laxkar, Aged About 53 Years, R/o Rashmi, Chittorgarh.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary, Medical And Health Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. The Mission Director (Nhm), Directorate Of Medical Health And Family Welfare Services, Swasthya Bhawan, C-Scheme, Tilak Marg, Jaipur.
3. The Director (Public Health), Medical And Health Services, Rajasthan, Swasthya Bhawan, C-Scheme, Tilak Marg, Jaipur.
4. The Director (Non-Gazetted), Medical And Health Services, Rajasthan, Tilak Marg, Swasthya Bhawan, Jaipur.
5. The Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
6. The Secretary, Department Of Finance, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.



7. The Secretary, General Administration Department,
Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Bharat Singh Rathore
Mr. Jayram Saran

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

25/02/2026

1. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the facts in the present case are similar to the facts in D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 11737/2024 (***Rodu Lal & Ors. Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.***), decided on 26.08.2025.
2. The relevant paragraphs of the order dated 26.08.2025 passed in the case of *Rodu Lal (Supra)* reads as follows:-

"41. In light of the aforesaid facts & findings and the judgments, this Court is of the opinion that Rule 3 of the Rules of 2022 has to be read harmoniously, whereby, the petitioners and similarly situated persons, who have been appointed through placement agency after issuance of public advertisement are to be covered under the ambit of Rule 3 of the Rules of 2022. Since, the above rule has been read harmoniously in favour of the petitioners, therefore, there is no requirement to decide question No. (b), which was framed under para 13. The harmonious reading of the Rule itself clarifies that, there ought to be no discrimination between the contractual employees appointed through placement agency as well as the contractual employees appointed directly.

42. For the aforesaid reasons, the writ petitions are allowed in the following terms: (i) The respondents shall consider the individual case of each contractual employee, appointed prior to enforcement of the Rules of 2022 strictly in accordance with Rule 3 of the Rules of 2022, meaning thereby, that if an employee has been appointed on a post created by the Administrative Department with the concurrence of the Finance Department and the appointment has been through issuance of a public advertisement further without there being any differentiation whether the public advertisement has been



issued by the State Government or by the placement agency.

(ii) If the case of the individual is in conformation with the Rule 3 of the Rules of 2022, as interpreted above, then the benefit of the Rules of 2022 shall be extended to such petitioners.

43. All pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.”

3. In light of the order cited above, the present writ petition is disposed of in the same terms as in the case of **Rodu Lal & Ors. (supra)**. The petitioners shall be at liberty to make a representation before the concerned authorities within a period of 15 days. On such representation, the concerned authorities are directed to dispose of the same in light of the decision passed in case of *Rodu Lal* within a period of two months from the date of receipt of this order.

4. All the pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(DR.NUPUR BHATI),J

295-/Devesh/-