

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4676/2026

Ashish Kanthed, Aged About 34 Years, R/o 4 New Bhopalpura
Near Rada Ji Ka Devra Khara Kuwa Girwa Udaipur Raj

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary Dept Of Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Secretariat Rajasthan Jaipur
2. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary Department Of Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Secretariat Rajasthan Jaipur
3. The Director, Directorate Swachh Bharat Mission Rural Dept Of Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Secretariat Rajasthan Jaipur
4. The Chief Executive Officer, Udaipur
5. The Project Director Association For Rural Advancement, Through Voluntary Action And Local Involvement Aravali First Floor Ministerial Campus, Indira Gandhi Panchayati Raj And Gramin Vikas Sansthan Igprs Campus Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg Jaipur 302015

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. J.S. Bhaleria.
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. K.S. Solanki, for Mr. I.R. Choudhary, AAG.

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

24/02/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in similar nature writ petition, a Coordinate Bench of this Court in SBCWP No.2847/2026, has granted interim order on 10.02.2026 while issuing notice.
2. Heard on interim stay application.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is working on the post of DPC which is under the Scheme sponsored by the Central Government.
4. By the impugned order, the respondents tried to replace the petitioner by way of a fresh contractual employee, even though, his contract period has already been extended till upto 31st March, 2026.
5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that one set of contractual employee cannot be replaced by another set of employee, even though, he is working through an Outsourcing Agency. Even if the Outsourcing Agency is changed, the services of the petitioner cannot be replaced by any other set of employee sponsored by fresh Outsourcing Agency.
6. In the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court finds appropriate to direct the respondents not to replace the petitioner by any other set of employee of similar nature.
7. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable in four weeks.
8. Connect and list along with SBCWP No. 2847/2026, as prayed.
9. Learned counsel for the respondents would be at liberty to file 226(3) application.

(DR.NUPUR BHATI),J