HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4711/2026

1. Ramesh Kumar Soni S/o Lalchand Soni, Aged About 50 Years, R/o Baitu Chimanji Tehsil Baitu District Barmer (Raj.).
2. Dropadi Devi W/o Ramesh Kumar, Aged About 49 Years, R/o Baitu Chimanji Tehsil Baitu District Barmer (Raj.).

----Petitioners

Versus

1. Union Of India, Through Ministry Of Home Affairs Through Secretary, Address - North Block, Secretariat, Raisina Hill, New Delhi-110001
2. Punjab National Bank, Branch Baitu Bhopji, District Barmer (Raj.)
3. Cyber Police Station, Dwarka, Through Inspector Of Police, Dwarka District Delhi
4. Central Crime Branch, Cyber Crime Police Station, Sadar Bazar Through Inspector Of Police, Raichur, Karnataka
5. Cyber Crime Police Station, Ramnagaria Through Inspector Of Police, District Jaipur City (East) Rajasthan.
6. Cyber Crime Police Station, Adarsh Nagar Through Inspector Of Police, District Jaipur City (East) Rajasthan.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Akalovya A. Bhansali
For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEET PUROHIT

Order

26/02/2026

1. Present writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India interalia praying for issuance of appropriate directions to Respondent Bank to de-freeze Petitioner’s Savings Account No. 7741006900001931 and to further restrain Respondent- Bank from freezing Petitioner’s bank account in future without prior notice.

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the Respondent- Bank to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(SANJEET PUROHIT),J

67-yagya/-