

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4696/2026

Rekha Kunwar W/o Shri Man Singh, Aged About 37 Years,
Resident Of Gram Panchayat Posaliya, Panchayat Samiti
Shivganj, District Sirohi, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Additional Director And Joint Secretary (Ii), Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Sirohi, District Sirohi, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Manish Patel

For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEET PUROHIT

Order

26/02/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner was given charge of Administrator. He submits that vide impugned order dated 19.02.2026, the petitioner was relieved from the post of Administrator on account of alleged irregularities committed by him. He further submits that a coordinate Bench of this Court, in the case of ***Puranmal vs. State of Rajasthan &Ors. (S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.5608/2025)*** decided on ***17.05.2025***, has been pleased to hold that before removing an Administrator from the post upon charge-sheet being issued against him, the provisions contained under Rule 22 of the

Rajasthan Panchayati Raj Rules, 1996 are required to be followed. He submits that the material available on record clearly shows that in the present case, the procedure provided under Rule 22 of the Rules of 1996 has not been followed and an order for removal from the post of Administrator has been passed.

2. Heard. Perused the judgment rendered in the case of ***Puranmal (supra)***.

3. This Court finds sufficient force in the submission made by the counsel for the petitioner and is satisfied that the controversy involved in this case, has already been put to rest by a coordinate Bench of this Court in the case of ***Puranmal (supra)***.

4. Let notices be issued to the respondents, returnable within a period of six weeks.

5. In the meanwhile, effect and operation of the impugned order dated 19.02.2026 (Annexure-4) passed by the respondent No.2 shall remain stayed. However, the respondents shall be at liberty to proceed against the petitioner in accordance with Rule 22 of the Rajasthan Panchayati Raj Rules, 1996, if so advised.

(SANJEET PUROHIT),J