

  
**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT  
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4682/2026

Daulat Ram, Aged About 50 Years, R/o Ranisar Tehsil Nohar  
District Hanumangarh

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Deevan Khan, R/o Dhani Araiyan Tehsil Nohar District Hanumangarh
2. Rajendra Prasad, R/o Rampura Vishnoiyan Tehsil Dabwali District Sirsa Haryana At Present 03 Ward No 26 Gali No 1 Surya Colony Hanumangarh Town 8 Ssw Hanumangarh Town District Hanumangarh
3. Municipal Board Nohar, Through Executive Officer Municipal Board Nohar District Hanumangarh

-----Respondents

---

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Ramawatar Singh  
Mr. Sumer Singh Gour  
Mr. Raj Vishnoi

For Respondent(s) :

---

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MUKESH RAJPUROHIT**

**Order**

**24/02/2026**

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that while admitting the appeal against the impugned order, the application preferred under Order XLI Rule 5 of CPC was rejected without assigning any reasons. It is submitted that it is a settled principle of law that every judicial or quasi-judicial order must contain reasons, and any application filed in the course of appellate proceedings is required to be decided by a reasoned and speaking order.

Learned counsel further submits that during the pendency of the suit, the petitioner continues to remain in possession of the property in question.

Issue notice to the respondents, returnable within six weeks.

In the meantime and till next date, the impugned order dated 19.02.2026, passed by Additional District Judge No.1, Nohar, District Hanumangarh in Civil Regular Appeal No.04/2026 shall remain stayed.

List after six weeks.

**(MUKESH RAJPUROHIT),J**