

  
**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT  
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4660/2026

Ravindra Dhatarwal S/o Sukh Ram, Aged About 20 Years, R/o  
Bishnoiyo Ke Dhaniya, Mogra Kallan, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Bank Of India, Through Its Branch Manager / Nodal Officer, Plot No. 121, Near Reliance Mall, Saraswati Nagar, Basni, Jodhpur, Rajasthan 342015.
2. State Of Rajasthan, Through Director General Of Police, Police Directorate, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal, Represented By Its Director, National Highway 8, Mahalipur, New Delhi, Pin 110037.
4. Cyber Crime Investigation Cell, Near Rajbhavan, Rajbansi Nagar, Dr S K Singh Path, Boring Road, Patna, Bihar 800001.

-----Respondents

---

|                   |   |                    |
|-------------------|---|--------------------|
| For Petitioner(s) | : | Mr. Nikhil Bishnoi |
| For Respondent(s) | : |                    |

---

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEET PUROHIT**

**Order**

**26/02/2026**

1. Present writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India interalia praying for issuance of appropriate directions to Respondent Bank to de-freeze Petitioner's Savings Account No. 42267558899 and to further restrain Respondent-Bank from freezing Petitioner's bank account in future without prior notice.
2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition

with a direction to the Respondent- Bank to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

**(SANJEET PUROHIT),J**