



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**



S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4575/2026

1. Dr. Sarvesh Kumar S/o Prahlad Ram, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Vill. And Po Ghanau, Tehsil Rajgarh District Churu (Hall Veterinary Officer At First Grade Veterinary Hospital Chawa, Barmer, Rajasthan).
2. Dr. Lokendra S/o Nathu Ram, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Village Tangla Post Chawto- Khurd, Tehsil- Jayal, Dist. Nagaur (Hall Veterinary Officer At Govt. Veterinary Hospital Rol Dist. Nagaur, Rajasthan).
3. Dr. Vikram Bhati S/o Shri Radha Kishan Bhati, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Ward No.34, Opposite Panchayat Samiti, Raisingh Nagar, District- Sri-Ganganagar, Rajasthan. (Hall Veterinary Officer At Govt. Veterinary Hospital Kikarwali Dist. Sri-Ganganagar).
4. Dr. Utkarsh S/o Randhir Singh, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Village Post, Dhadhot Kalan, Tehsil- Buhana, Dist. Bharatpur. (Hall Veterinary Officer At Govt. Veterinary Hospital, Kithuna, Dist. Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan).
5. Dr. Anil Soni S/o Manoharlal Soni, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Narhar, Tehsil- Chirawa, Dist. Jhunjhunu (Hall Veterinary Officer At Govt. Veterinary Hospital Bhavthadi, Surajgarh, Jhunjhunu).
6. Dr. Praveen Kumar S/o Rajvir Singh, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Near Baberwal Marriage Garden, Ram Nagar, Kotputali. (Hall Veterinary Officer At Govt. Veterinary Hospital Bhonkar, Kotkasim, Dist. Tijara).
7. Dr. Dinesh Kumar Kumawat S/o Ramvilas Kumawat, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Plot No. 109, Ews Panchsheel Nagar, Vaishali Nagar, District- Ajmer. (Hall Veterinary Officer At Govt. Veterinary Hospital Saroth, Bhim, District- Rajsamand).
8. Dr. Jagdev Singh S/o Raja Ram, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Village Post- Jahangirpur, Tehsil- Nadbai, Dist.- Bharatpur. (Hall Veterinary Officer At Govt. Veterinary Hospital Ore, District- Sirohi, Rajasthan).
9. Dr. Rajendra Prasad S/o Ram Kumar, Aged About 44 Years, Village Post Muklawa, Tehsil- Raisingh Nagar, Dist. Sriganganagar. (Hall Veterinary Officer At Govt. Veterinary Hospital VH 59 NP, District Sriganganagar.).
10. Dr. Satveer Singh S/o Manfool Ram, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Village Post Lalana Bass Utrada Tehsil- Nohar Dist. Hanumangarh. (Hall Veterinary Officer At Govt. Veterinary Hospital Jasana, Dist. Hanumangarh).
11. Dr. Sunil Kumar S/o Rajan Singh, Aged About 36 Years,



- R/o Village Post Nagla Bakhta, Tehsil Nadboi Dist. Bharatpur. (Hall Veterinary Officer At Govt. Veterinary Hospital Bhadhira Dist. Bharatpur).
12. Dr. Manoj Kumar S/o Bachchu Singh, Aged About 39 Years, Village Post Sinsini, Tehsil- Deeg Dist. Bharatpur. (Hall Veterinary Officer At Govt. Veterinary Polyclinic Hospital Dist. Bharatpur).
 13. Dr. Arvind Kumar S/o Chhotelal Singh, Aged About 39 Years, Village Post Bandanwada, Tehsil- Bhinai, Dist. Ajmer. (Hall Veterinary Officer At Govt. Veterinary Hospital Singhwal, Dist. Ajmer.).
 14. Dr. Gopal Swami S/o Pooranmal Swami, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Village Post Bhainsalana, Tehsil- Paota, Dist. Kotputli, Behrod (Raj.) (Hall Veterinary Officer At Govt. Veterinary Hospital, Dantli, Kotputli, Jaipur).
 15. Dr. Vaibhav Kumar S/o Gajendrapal Singh, Aged About 43 Years, R/o P.No.24, Alpna Colony, Khatipura Road, Jhotwara, Jaipur. (Hall Veterinary Officer At Govt. Veterinary Hospital Gudhakatla Dist. Dausa).
 16. Dr. Amit Kumar Yadav S/o Subhash Chandra Yadav, Aged About 39 Years, R/o P.no. 64, Salasar Vatika, 11th Niwaru Road, Jhotwara, Jaipur (Hall Veterinary Officer At Govt. Veterinary Hospital Bhadwa, Dist. Jaipur.).
 17. Dr. Mahesh Nehra S/o Sita Ram Kumavat, Aged About 38 Years, Village Post Guda- Bairsal Jobner, Tehsil- Mojamabad, Dist. Jaipur. (Hall Veterinary Officer At Govt. Veterinary Hospital Khora- Ladkhni Shahpura, Jaipur).
 18. Dr. Dharmendra Kumar Sharma S/o Narendra Kumar Sharma, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Village Nayabas, Post-Borabad Tehsil Bayana, Dist. Bharatpur. (Hall Veterinary Officer At Govt. Veterinary Hospital Naglatula, Dist Bharatpur.).
 19. Ratan Singh S/o Shri Nand Ram, Aged About 39 Years, Village Post Jonchicha Kala, Tehsil- Neemrana, Dist. Alwar Rajasthan (Hall Veterinary Officer At Govt. Veterinary Hospital Neemrana).
 20. Dr. Kirti Sharma D/o Dwarika Prasad, Aged About 36 Years, R/o H.no. 402-403, Krishna Nagar, Bharatpur Dist. Bharatpur. (Hall Veterinary Officer At Govt. Veterinary Hospital Pipla (Sewar) Dist. Bharatpur).
 21. Dr. Dharam Singh S/o Shri Jhabar Singh Dhayal, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Dhani Mansa Wali Kotri, Dhayalan, Dist. Sikar. (Hall Veterinary Officer At Govt. Veterinary Hospital Audint, Dist. Nagaur, Rajasthan).



-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through- The Principal Secretary, Department Of Animal Husbandry, Government Of Rajasthan, State Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Personnel (Gr.-II), Government Of Rajasthan, State Secretariat, C Scheme, Jaipur.
3. The Director, Department Of Animal Husbandry, Pashudhan Bhawan, Govt. Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
4. The Rajasthan Public Service Commission, Ajmer, Through Its Secretary.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. S. P. Sharma Mr. Dalpat Singh Mr. Abhimanyu Khatri
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Ravindra Jala on behalf Mr. S. S. Ladreacha, AAG

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR SHARMA
Order

26/02/2026

Learned counsel for the parties are in agreement that the present controversy involved in the present matter is no longer res-integra in view of the judgment rendered by this Court in the case of ***Dr. Mohd. Waseem Khan Zai & Ors Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors. (S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.4217/2020)*** ***decided on 28.11.2025***, which has been allowed in the following terms:-

*"5.3. The Hon'ble Supreme Court, in a catena of decisions including **State of Karnataka v. Umadevi (2006) 4 SCC 1, State of Punjab v. Jagjit Singh (2017) 1 SCC 148, Dharam Singh v. State of U.P., Civil Appeal No. 8558/2018, and most recently Vinod Kumar v. Union of India (2024 INSC332)**, has consistently held that long-term ad hoc, contractual or temporary employees who have served for ten years or more on duly sanctioned posts and whose appointments are not tainted by illegality, acquire a legitimate expectation of regularisation. The Supreme Court has further clarified in **Jaggo v. Union of India (SLP (C) No. 5580/2024)** that denial of regularisation to employees*



who have continuously discharged full duties on sanctioned posts amounts to manifest arbitrariness.

5.4. *In the present case, the petitioners' uninterrupted service since 29.09.2013, the persistent existence of vacancies, and the State's continued resort to Urgent Temporary Basis (UTB) appointments evidenced through successive orders dated 30.08.2022, 14.11.2022, and 11.06.2025 clearly demonstrate that the petitioners were not merely engaged to meet a transient exigency, but have been performing core, perennial, and substantive duties of the cadre post. In such circumstances, the denial of regularisation to only three among a homogeneous cohort would be violative of the equality clause and repugnant to established jurisprudence.*

5.5. *This Court also notes with emphasis that the petitioners have already been extended Minimum of Pay Scale, pursuant to the judgment dated 20.01.2020 in line with **Jagjit Singh** (supra), which implicitly acknowledges the substantive nature of duties performed by them. The remaining grievance pertains solely to regularisation and consequential benefits.*

5.6. *In view of the above legal and factual landscape, this Court is persuaded that denying regularisation to Petitioner Nos. 2, 4, and 5 would be arbitrary, discriminatory, and antithetical to constitutional guarantees. Thus, the writ petition, in so far as it concerns Petitioner Nos. 1, 3, and 6, stands dismissed as infructuous, their services having already been regularised. In respect of Petitioner Nos. 2, 4, and 5, this Court, while maintaining parity with Petitioner Nos. 1, 3, and 6, holds that they are entitled to the same relief.*

6. *Accordingly, the writ petition is allowed to the extent it relates to their claim for regularisation, and it is hereby directed that their services shall stand regularised from the date on which they completed their 10 years' of service computing from the date of their initial date of joining in the service with all consequential benefits."*

Learned counsel for the parties being ad idem and in light of the authoritative pronouncement in **Dr. Mohd. Waseem Khan Zai & Ors Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.(Supra)**, the present writ petition is also allowed in the identical terms.

Stay application and all the pending applications stands disposed of accordingly.

(CHANDRA SHEKHAR SHARMA),J