

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4525/2026

Mahendra S/o Sohan Lal, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Gunesh Ji Ka Bera, Khudecha, Nanan, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan - 342601.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Commissioner Of Police, Home Department, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
2. Bank of Baroda, Through Its Branch Manager, Pipar City, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
3. Station House Officer, Pipar City, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
4. Station House Officer, Cyber Crime Cell, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Ms. Laxmi Rathore
For Respondent(s)	:	--

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

25/02/2026

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following reliefs:-

"It is therefore, most respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Court may very graciously be pleased to accept and allow this writ petition and further be pleased to issue an appropriate writ order or direction in the nature thereof thereby:-

In view of the facts and grounds stated hereinabove, it is most respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to:

a) Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction, directing the respondent bank to forthwith reactivate the Current bank account bearing Account No.57220200000947 of the petitioner, which has been illegally and arbitrarily freezed/blocked.

b) Declare the action of the respondent bank in freezing the said bank account without issuing any notice, show

cause, or written order as illegal, arbitrary and violative of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India.

c) Direct the respondent bank to furnish in writing the reasons, if any, for blocking the said account along with the authority under which such action was taken.

e) Direct the respondent bank to pay appropriate compensation to the petitioner for the unnecessary hardship, financial loss and mental agony suffered due to the illegal and prolonged freezing of the bank account.

f) Award costs of litigation, including advocate's fees, in favour of the petitioner and against the respondent bank."

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the Bank of Baroda (Respondent No.2) to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating

officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J

33-Dinesh/-