

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4856/2026

Suresh Bhil S/o Khuman, Aged About 25 Years, R/o Gadriyawas
Police Station Raj Nagar, District Rajsamand.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Reserve Bank of India, Through Regional Manager,
Reserve Bank of India - Jaipur Branch, Rambagh Circle,
Tonk Road, Jaipur.
2. Indian Bank, Through Its Branch Manager, Rajsamand
Branch, Natraj Palace, Near Lavish Honda, Nathdwara
Road, Kankorli, Rajsamand.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Pravin Vyas

For Respondent(s) : --

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

25/02/2026

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following reliefs:-

"i) By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondents may kindly be directed to de-freeze the bank account number 7794198461 of the petitioner.

ii) By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondent no. 2 may kindly be directed to provide a copy of order/acknowledgment of freezing the bank account of the petitioner.

iii) By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondents may kindly be restrained from freezing the account of the petitioner in the future without providing any opportunity of hearing and without any just reason and reasonable evidence.

iv) Any other appropriate order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case may kindly be passed in favour of the petitioner.

V) Costs of the writ petition may kindly be awarded to the petitioner."

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition

with a direction to the Indian Bank (Respondent No.2) to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J