

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Writ Petition No. 784/2026

1. Nikita Kanwar D/o Dilip Singh, Aged About 23 Years, Resident Of Bhojekar, Dechu,district Jodhpur Raj.
2. Faizan Khan, Aged About 23 Years, Resident Of 116, Raocolony, Masuriya, Jodhpur. At present Near Central Academyschool, Patrakar Colony, Ganganaroad, Jodhpur Raj.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Public Prosecutor
2. Commissioner Of Police, Jodhpur.
3. Superintendent Of Police, Jodhpur
4. Sho, Police Station, Dechu, Districtjodhpur.
5. Sho, Police Station, Devnagar,jodhpur.
6. Sho, Police Station Rajeev Gandhinagar, Jodhpur.
7. Pappu Singh S/o Shri Bhanwar Singh, Resident Of Solankiya Tala,shergarh, District Jodhpur.
8. Dilip Singh S/o Kan Singh, Resident Of Bhojekar, Dechu,district Jodhpur Raj.
9. Kamla Kanwar W/o Shri Dilip Singh, Resident Of Bhojekar, Dechu,district Jodhpur Raj.
10. Rajendra Deora S/o Bhom Singh, R/o Osian , Dist Jodhpur
11. Shubham Sosidiya S/o Aidan Singh, Resident Of Ghaylo Ki Dhani, Kali Beri, Jodhpur
12. Kundan Singh Bhati S/o Laxman Singh Bhati, Resident Of Solankiya Tala, Shergarh, Districtjodhpur
13. Laxman Singh Bhati S/o Shri Bhawar Singh Bhati, Resident Of Solankiya Tala, Shergarh, Districtjodhpur.
14. Abhishek Singh Sisodiya S/o Aidan Singh Bhati, Resident Of Ghaylo Ki Dhani, Kali Beri,jodhpur.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Talmeez Ahmed
Mr. Mohd. Akram

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Surendra Bishnoi, AGA
Mr. Mahavir Singh

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FARJAND ALI**Order****23/02/2026**

1. The present Criminal Writ Petition has been preferred by the petitioners under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of appropriate directions to the official respondents for providing them protection, on the ground that they apprehend threat to their life and personal liberty at the hands of the private respondents.
2. As per the pleadings, the petitioners claim to be majors and of marriageable age and assert that they have executed an agreement for live in relationship. It is further stated that they are residing together as per their own free will and wish and that the private respondents are opposed to the said relationship and are allegedly extending threats, giving rise to an apprehension to the life and personal liberty of the petitioners.
3. Learned counsel for the private respondent opposes the prayer for protection.
4. Whether the petitioners are currently living together or not is a disputed fact, however, this Court is neither recognizing their live-in relationship status nor commenting on the validity of it. The petitioners have apprehended that they may be subjected to bodily harm by the private respondents. The protection under Article 21 of the Constitution of India can be given to protect life and liberty of an individual so

that the majesty of law can be upheld and no individual can imposed harm to any other by taking law in their hands.

5. In view of the above, the writ petition stands disposed of. The petitioners are directed to appear before the Commissioner of Police, Jodhpur, and submit a detailed representation setting forth all the grounds raised in the present writ petition. The Commissioner of Police, Jodhpur, shall duly consider the said representation and afford the petitioners an opportunity of being heard. Thereafter, he shall issue appropriate directions to the subordinate officers concerned to ensure that no harm or injury is caused to the petitioners by any person taking the law into their own hands. The assertion made orally upon the living status of the parties and the deeds executed shall remain open to the subject matter of inquiry of investigation, if any.
6. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(FARJAND ALI),J