

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4364/2026

Suman D/o Shyamaram, Aged About 19 Years, R/o Jajuda Was
Dholiya, Dist. Jaisalmer, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Union Of India, Through The Secretary, Finance Department, Secretariat, New Delhi.
2. The Manager, Jio Payments Bank Ltd., Dhirubhai Ambani Knowledge City, Building 25, 1St Floor, A Wing, Kopar Khairana, Navi Mumbai - 400709
3. Nodal Officer, Jio Payments Bank, 1St Floor Building 4Na, Maker Maxity, Bandra Kurla Complex Road, Bandrda (East) Mumbai - 400051
4. Superintendent Of Police, Dist. Jaisalmer, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Ramniwas Haniya

For Respondent(s) : --

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

24/02/2026

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following reliefs:-

"It is, therefore, humbly prayed that the present Writ Petition may kindly be allowed and by an appropriate, order or direction-

(i) By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondent may kindly be directed to de-freeze the bank account bearing Account Number 002821713478861. IFSC Code-JIOP0000001 of the Petitioner in Respondent Jio Payments Bank Ltd. Kopar Khairane Navi Mumbai.

(ii) By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondent may kindly be directed to not freeze the bank account of the petitioner in future without prior notice.

(iii) Any other order or direction which this Hon'ble Court deems just and proper may kindly be passed in favor of the petitioner."

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the Jio Payments Bank (Respondents No.2 & 3) to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from her bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J