

  
**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT  
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4595/2026

Net Ram S/o S/o Vajir Singh, Aged About 57 Years, R/o Ward No  
04 Village - 32 Rb Farikwali Padampur Ganganagar Dist -  
Ganganagar Rajasthan

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Reserve Bank Of India Chief General Manager, 18Th Floor  
Central Office Building Shahid Bhagat Singh Road  
Mumbai-400001
2. Branch Manager IndusInd Bank, 32 Rb Farikwali Ground  
Floor 511A Village 32Rb Fakirwali Tehsil -Padampur Dist-  
Sri Ganganagar -335041 Raj
3. National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal, Represented By  
Its Director National Highway-8 Mahipal New Delhi Pin  
11037

-----Respondents

---

|                   |   |                                            |
|-------------------|---|--------------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner(s) | : | Mr. Yuvraj Parmar<br>Mr. Mahendra Saraswat |
| For Respondent(s) | : |                                            |

---

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEET PUROHIT**

**Order**

**26/02/2026**

1. Present writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India interalia praying for issuance of appropriate directions to Respondent Bank to de-freeze Petitioner's Savings Account No. 100059717761 and to further restrain Respondent-Bank from freezing Petitioner's bank account in future without prior notice.

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition

with a direction to the Respondent- Bank to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

**(SANJEET PUROHIT),J**