

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 2560/2026

Chandu Ram S/o Bajirram, Aged About 26 Years, R/o Rathi Khera, Chak 3 Ggr, Tibbi, Tehsil Tibbi District Hanumangarh. (Presently Lodged In District Jail Hanumangarh)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 2501/2026

Gagandeep Singh S/o Kartar Singh, Aged About 28 Years, R/o Ward No 08, Tibbi, Tehsil And Police Station Tibbi, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan (Now In Judicial Custody At, Distt. Jail Hanumangarh)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through P P

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Kulwant Singh Mr. Manjeet Godara
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Sameer Pareek, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR

Order

25/02/2026

1. The petitioners have filed these bail applications under Section 483 of BNSS in FIR No.66/2026 registered at Police Station Hanumangarh Town, District Hanumangarh for offence under Sections 8/18 of NDPS Act against petitioner Chandu Ram and Section 8/22 of NDPS Act against Gagandeep Singh.

2. Since both these bail applications arise out of common FIR, hence, they are being decided by this common order.
3. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in these cases. They further submit that from the conscious possession of petitioners, 223.6 gram Opium has been recovered which is below commercial quantity. Though one case of different nature is pending against the petitioner Chandu Ram and three cases are pending against the petitioner Gagandeep Singh out of which two cases are of similar nature both are on bail in all cases respectively. Petitioners are in custody since 03.02.2026 and the trial of the case will take considerable time, therefore, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioners in further custody and the bail application of the petitioners may be allowed.
5. Learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail application.
6. On consideration of the rival submissions and material available on record and in the light of submission made by learned counsel for the petitioners but without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, I am inclined to grant benefit of bail to the petitioners.
7. Consequently, the bail applications under Section 483 B.N.S.S. are allowed and it is directed that the petitioners **Chandu Ram S/o Bajirram, Gagandeep Singh S/o Kartar Singh**, be released on bail provided each of them furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties in the sum of

Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court with the stipulation that each of them shall appear before that Court on all subsequent dates of hearing till conclusion of the trial.

(PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR),J

104-105-amit/-