

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4346/2026

Patel Mukesh Bhai S/o Shri Bhagabhai, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Village Varthu, Tehsil Modasa, Distt. Arawali, Gujarat (Force No. 075123721 Complaint Sfk-E Office Of The Commandant 157 Bn Crpf Govt. Poly Technic College Campus, Adityapur, Jamshedpur, Jarkhand).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The Union Of India, Through Secretary, Ministry Of Home Affairs, North Clock, New Delhi-110001.
2. The Under Secretary To The Govt. Of India, Ministry Of Personnel, Public Grievances And Pension Department Of Personnel And Training (Training Division), (Ist/iipa), Block No. 4, Old Jnu Campus, New, Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110067.
3. The Director General, Central Reserve Police Force (Ministry Of Home Affairs) Gos Entt. Section, East Block No.2, Level-1, Sector-1, R.k. Puram, New Delhi-110066.
4. The Inspector General, Internal Security Academy (Isa), Central Reserve Police Force, Mount Abu, District Sirohi (Rajasthan).

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Sunil Kumar Singodiya through VC
For Respondent(s)	:	

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FARJAND ALI

Order

27/03/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a Coordinate Bench of this Court made indulgence in identical matter which is reproduced hereunder:-

"Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is employee of CRPF and, qua him, recovery of sanctioned training allowance has been

directed at the behest of one draft audit report. It is submitted that without following the principle of audi alteram partem, the impugned recovery has been initiated. It is submitted that the said training allowance was given only with the approval of the respondents.

Qua the respondents, Mr. Amit Jindal has marked his appearance on advance copy. Thence, notices need not be issued and the service stands completed.

In the light of the above facts, this Court deems it proper to stay the effect and operation of the recovery initiated against the petitioners, on the basis of audit reports dated 25.06.2025, 08.07.2025 and 08.09.2025.

However, the respondents will be at liberty to move an application for vacation of the interim order under Article 226(3) of the Constitution of India, if they so choose.

List the matter on 03.02.2026.

In the meantime, the respondents shall file an appropriate reply.”

2. In view of the above, similar treatment should be given to the petitioner.
3. Thus, issue notice to the respondents, rule is made returnable in four weeks.
4. Since the impugned order of recovery has been passed without affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, it is ordered that till next date of hearing, there shall be stay on recovery in pursuance of the orders dated 29.11.2025 (Annexure-2) as well as 29.01.2026 (Annexure-3).

(FARJAND ALI),J