

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4270/2026

1. Jala Ram S/o Shri Phusa Ram, Aged About 46 Years, R/o Sarno Ki Dhaniya, Bamaniyala, Datina, District Nagaur Rajasthan.
2. Aadu Ram S/o Shri Revant Ram, Aged About 47 Years, R/o Village Pipiliya, Panchlasidha, Nagaur District Nagaur Rajasthan.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, School Education, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Raj.
2. The Director, Elementary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner, Raj.
3. The District Education Officer, Headquarters, Elementary Education, Nagaur, Raj.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Tanwar Singh.

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

23/02/2026

1. Grievance of the petitioners herein, arises out of the inaction/non-consideration on the part of the respondents to consider their claim of re-fixation of their monthly pay at the rate of Rs.16,900/- as against Rs.10,400/- which is being currently paid, notwithstanding that the Director, Elementary Education, Rajasthan vide a letter dated 24.04.2023 recommended their case favourably to Deputy Secretary (Admn.), Department of Elementary Education, Government of Rajasthan.

2. They also rely a judgment rendered by this Court in case of

Jassa Ram Choudhary and Ors. vs. State of Rajasthan and

Ors. (S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.17901/2023) decided on 09.11.2023 pursuant whereto, similarly situated counterparts have been accorded benefit. They claim that despite their passing the requisite qualification of B.L.I.S., C.L.I.S & D.L.I.S., they are not being considered eligible for appointment as Panchayat Teachers in the Elementary Education Department in the higher pay bracket as aforesaid.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners at the outset submits that qua the aforesaid grievance, the petitioners also submitted representations before the competent authority for redressal thereof, which have remained pending till date without being taken up for passing any orders either way, therefore, the competent authority be directed to decide the same by passing appropriate administrative orders expeditiously.

4. Request seems to be fair.

5. Given the nature of order which is being passed, no prejudice would be caused to the respondents and, therefore, the requirement of issuance of notice is dispensed with as no return is required to be filed by them.

6. In the aforesaid premise, the writ petition is disposed of. The respondent competent authority is directed to decide the pending representations of the petitioners by passing an appropriate administrative order, in accordance with law.

7. Needful be done as expeditiously as possible.

(DR.NUPUR BHATI),J