

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 2661/2026

Dudaram S/o Damaram, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Gundau
Police Station Karada District Jalore Rajasthan
(Currently Lodged In Judicial Custody PS Pindwara)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Thought PP

-----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 2046/2026

Sohanlal S/o Hiralal, Aged About 25 Years, R/o Bhati Karada,
District Jalore, (Rajasthan)
(Currently Lodged In Judicial Custody PS Pindwara)

-----Petitioner

Versus

The State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Mudit Bachhawat Mr. Kamlesh Kumar Rawal
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Urja Ram, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR

Order

26/02/2026

1. The petitioners have preferred these bail applications under Section 483 of BNSS in FIR No.11/2026 registered at Police Station Rohida, District Sirohi for offence under Sections 8/15 and 29 of NDPS Act.
2. Since both these bail applications arise out of common FIR, therefore, they are being decided by this common order.

3. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that allegation against the present petitioner Dudaram is that he was escorting the vehicle involved in the offence. He further contended that allegation against the petitioner Sohanlal is that he was driving the vehicle in which 40.580 Kg Poppy straw (*Doda Post*) has been recovered, which is below commercial quantity. The petitioners are behind the bars since 29.01.2026. Though, one case of similar nature is reported against petitioner Dudaram, in which he is already on bail and the other petitioner Sohanlal has no criminal past. The trial of the case will take considerable time, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioners in further custody, hence, the bail application of the petitioners may be allowed.

5. Learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail applications.

6. On consideration of the rival submissions and material available on record and in the light of submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners but without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, I am inclined to grant benefit of bails to the petitioners.

7. Consequently, the bail applications under Section 483 B.N.S.S. are allowed and it is directed that the petitioners **Dudaram S/o Damaram and Sohanlal S/o Hiralal**, be released on bail provided each of them furnishes a personal bail bond in the sum of Rs. 50,000/- with two sureties in the sum of

Rs. 25,000/- each of the satisfaction of the learned trial court with the stipulation that they shall appear before that Court on all subsequent dates of hearing till conclusion of the trial.

(PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR),J

BMG/Parshant/122-123