

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4228/2026

1. Hakim Singh Kasana S/o Bharat Singh, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Village Nagla Dhoot, Post Khankheda, Tehsil Bayana, District Bharatpur, Rajasthan.
2. Manju Yadav D/o Rohitashv Yadav, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Vpo Ishroda, Tehsil Tijara, District Khairthal-Tijara, Rajasthan.
3. Ravindra Kumar Jatav S/o Kamal Singh Jatav, Aged About 47 Years, R/o Village Bewar, Post Halena, Tehsil Weir, District Bharatpur, Rajasthan.
4. Rajendra Prasad Harijan S/o Hanuman Ram, Aged About 50 Years, R/o Vpo Jodhapura, Tehsil Udaipurwati, District Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan.
5. Ambalal Bairwa S/o Lakshminarayan Bairwa, Aged About 44 Years, R/o Village Chorupura, Post Lawa, Tehsil Malpura, District Tonk, Rajasthan.
6. Netrapal Singh S/o Bhagwan Singh, Aged About 41 Years, R/o Brij Nagar Colony, Acchnera Road, District Bharatpur, Rajasthan.
7. Mohan Lal Gurjar S/o Narayan Lal Gurjar, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Vpo Soda Via Diggi, Tehsil Malpura, District Tonk, Rajasthan.
8. Rajesh Kumar S/o Ratan Lal, Aged About 44 Years, R/o Vpo Smarthpura, Via Kanwat, Tehsil Khandela, District Sikar, Rajasthan.
9. Rajesh Singh Gurjar S/o Pukhraj Gurjar, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Village Balakhera, Post Bheelapara, Tehsil Nadoti, District Karauli, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary, School Education Department, Secretariat Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. Director, Elementary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner.
3. District Education Officer, Headquarter (Elementary Education), Jhalawar.
4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Jhalawar.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. V.S. Bhawla for
Mr. Ram Pratap Saini

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

24/02/2026

1. Petition herein arises, inter alia, out of the inaction on the part of the respondents in not according the correct service and notional benefits to the petitioners.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners at the outset submits that qua the aforesaid grievance, the petitioners may be granted liberty to file a fresh representation before the competent authority and the same be decided by passing appropriate administrative orders, in accordance with law.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners also relies on order/judgment in **Nand Kishore Sharma & Ors. v. The State of Rajasthan & Ors.: S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.12109/2018**, decided on 18.07.2018 at Jaipur Bench and submits that the respondents may be directed to consider the representation of the petitioners in light of the aforesaid judgment.
4. Request seems to be fair.
5. Given the nature of order which is being passed, no prejudice would be caused to the respondents and, therefore, the requirement of issuance of notice is dispensed with as no return is required to be filed by them.
6. In the aforesaid premise, the writ petition is disposed of with a liberty to the petitioners to file a fresh representation, which

shall be gone into by the competent authority and appropriate administrative order shall be passed in accordance with law.

7. Needless to say that the competent authority shall go through the judgment relied upon by learned counsel for the petitioners as mentioned hereinabove and apply its independent mind on the applicability of the same before passing any order.

8. Needful be done as expeditiously as possible.

(DR.NUPUR BHATI),J

68-mithil/-