

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4367/2026

Afrin Rahmani D/o Late Mohammed Nasir, Aged About 35 Years,
R/o- Sakina Colony, Behind Geeta Bhawan, Siwanchi Gate,
Jodhpur.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary,
Department Of Medical And Health, Government Of
Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Administrator, Rajasthan State Cooperative Marketing
Federation (Raj Fed) Rajasthan.
3. The Managing Director, Pashchimi Rajasthan Dugdh
Utpadan Sahkari Sangh Ltd,. Jodhpur.
4. The Principal Cum Controller, Dr. S. N. Medical College,
Jodhpur.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Dinesh Kumar Ojha
For Respondent(s)	:	-

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

25/02/2026

By way of filing the present writ petition, the petitioner has
prayed for the following relief:-

*"It is, therefore, humbly prayed that the present writ
petition may kindly be allowed and the respondents may be
directed to allot saras canteen/ food court at the government
hospital, Jodhpur on priority basis. ..."*

2. Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and upon
perusal of the material available on record, this Court *prima facie*
finds that the petitioner's mother, in the year 2008, submitted an
application seeking allotment of a Saras milk parlour at MDM

Hospital, Jodhpur. The said application was forwarded by Marwar Human Welfare Society to the concerned authorities for necessary action.

3. The record further indicates that, for reasons best known to the hospital authorities, the application submitted in the year 2008 remained unattended. In the meantime, the petitioner's mother expired on 02.10.2015. The case set up by the petitioner is that she has recently come to know through newspaper reports that the respondents are proposing to allot Saras canteens/milk parlours in Government hospitals. She, therefore, seeks a direction that she be granted preference in the proposed allotment by treating the application submitted by her mother as pending.

4. In the considered opinion of this Court, the writ petition is wholly misconceived. No direction can be issued to grant preference to the petitioner in the matter of allotment of a Saras canteen/milk booth, as she does not possess any subsisting legal right in her favour, nor has any of her legal rights been infringed by the respondents. Mere submission of an application by the petitioner's mother in the year 2008 does not confer any vested or enforceable right upon the petitioner to claim preference in any future allotment process, whenever undertaken.

5. Consequently, the present writ petition is dismissed.

6. Stay petition and all other pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J