

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4062/2026

Jaikishan S/o Shri Mugla Ram, Aged About 48 Years, R/o Village
Dhamana, Panchayat Samiti Sanchore, District Jalore (Raj.).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Secretary, Rajasthan Co-Operative Societies, Rajasthan.
2. Deputy Registrar, Co-Operative Societies, Jalore.
3. Managing Director, The Jalore Central Co-Operative Bank Limited, Jalore.
4. Executive Officer, The Jalore Central Co-Operative Bank Limited, Jalore.
5. Assistant Executive Officer, The Jalore Central Co-Operative Bank Limited, Sanchore, District Jalore.
6. Chairman, Dhamana Gram Sewa Co-Operative Society Limited, Dhamana, Panchayat Samiti Sanchore, District Jalore.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Teja Ram.

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

24/02/2026

1. This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner aggrieved against the order dated 09.07.20219 (Annex.4), whereby the petitioner has been placed under suspension.
2. It is, inter alia, indicating that despite passage of sufficiently long time, the petitioner has not been reinstated and, therefore, the order of suspension requires review and the petitioner deserves to be reinstated.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner with reference to judgment in ***Manvendra Singh v. State of Raj. & Ors.: SBCW No. 4276/2018, decided on 21.12.2018*** submitted that the Court in the said judgment has dealt with the powers of the disciplinary authority under Rule 13(5) of the Rules of 1958 and appellate authority under Rule 22 of the Rules of 1958 and has held that the various circulars issued by the State Government laying down limitation to examine the revocation of suspension order after a period of three years from the date of suspension / after a period of one year from the date, was not justified and it was open for the authorities to examine the case for revocation of suspension even prior to the said periods fixed in the circular.

4. In the overall fact circumstances of the case as projected as well as the law laid down by this Court in the case of Manvendra Singh (supra), the writ petition filed by the petitioner is disposed of, the disciplinary authority is directed to decide the representation to be made by the petitioner in light of the judgment in the case of Manvendra Singh (supra).

5. The needful may be done by the respondents within a period of four weeks from the date a copy of this order is placed by the petitioner.

(DR.NUPUR BHATI),J