

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4285/2026

Shera Ram S/o Ram Narayan, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Ward No.03, Anakhisar, Nokha, Bikaner, Rj334803. (Owner Of Vehicle Registration No. Rj-18-Gc-1042)

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Commissioner Of Transport And Road Safety, Transport Department, Parivahan Bhawan, Sahkar Marg, Jaipur Rajasthan.
2. District Transport Officer, Jhunjhunu (Raj.)
3. District Transport Officer, Nokha, District Bikaner (Raj.)
4. Regional Transport Authority, Bikaner R.t.o. Office Bikaner.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Ram Avtar Pareek
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Sandeep Soni for Mr. B.L. Bhati, AAG

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

23/02/2026

1. The present writ petition has been filed with the following prayer :-

"By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the impugned Letter Notice dated 22.082024 (Annex-10) may kindly be declared illegal, unjust and same may kindly be quashed and set aside;

ii. By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondents be directed not to cancel registration certificate of vehicle No. RJ-18-GC-1042.

iii. Any other appropriate order, which may be considered just and proper in the facts and circumstance's of the case, may kindly be passed in favour of the petitioner;

iv. Costs of the petition may kindly be awarded in favour of the petitioner."

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that an identical petition being **S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.13577/2025 (Rahul Gehlot Vs. The State of Rajasthan and Ors.)** along with bunch of writ petitions, has been disposed of by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 08.12.2025, wherein it was observed as under :-

"6. In view of the proposal made by the respondent- department and so also the submissions made by the counsel for the petitioners, the Court deems just and proper to dispose the writ petitions as under.

i. The petitioners shall submit their representation within a period of four weeks from today to the respective registration authority giving out the complete details as regards their purchase and registration of their vehicle. If no such representation is filed within given time, this order will render ineffective qua such petitioner and the impugned notice will get revived automatically.

ii. On receiving the representation from the petitioners, the respondent authorities shall after taking into consideration the averments made in the representation, the record available with them in respect of the vehicles in question and after due verification from the earlier registering authorities of the vehicle, shall make an inquiry as regards the allegations against the petitioners.

iii. On making an inquiry, if the respondent authorities found some substance in the allegations against the petitioners, they shall issue a show cause notice to the petitioners giving out the details and the basis of allegations.

iv. After issuing the notices, the respondents shall proceed in the matter strictly in accordance with the law and pass appropriate orders.

v. Till issuance of the final orders by the respondent authorities after completion of the process as observed above, no coercive shall be taken against the petitioners and all notices/orders as regards the cancellation or suspension of the registration certificates issued by now, shall stand quashed qua the petitioners.

vi. It is also made clear that the petitioners shall not transfer/sell the vehicles in question to any other third party till final orders are passed by the respondent authority and in the meantime, all regular fitness, renewal, insurance, permit, etc., shall continue as like a normal case.

vii. It is also made clear that this order will not come in any way in investigation of any criminal case registered in this regard.

7. All the petitions stand disposed of accordingly.

8. All pending applications, if any, shall be disposed of."

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the present petition may also be decided in the same terms as in the case of **Rahul Gehlot (supra)**.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents does not oppose the above submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. In view of the submissions made above, the present writ petition is disposed of in the same terms as ***Rahul Gehlot (supra)***.

6. Stay petition also stands disposed of accordingly.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J

111-himanshu/-