

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4006/2026

1. Nahr Singh S/o Madan Singh, Aged About 71 Years, R/o Village Khandi District Pali, Rajasthan.
2. Jeewan Singh S/o Madan Singh, Aged About 65 Years, R/o Village Khandi District Pali, Rajasthan.
3. Vagaram S/o Shivnath, Aged About 60 Years, R/o Village Khandi District Pali, Rajasthan.
4. Ghewar Ram S/o Ottaram, Aged About 76 Years, R/o Village Khandi District Pali, Rajasthan.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The District Collector, Pali, Rajasthan.
3. The Tehsildar Rohat, Pali, District Pali, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Pravin Vyas
For Respondent(s)	:	

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEET PUROHIT

Order

23/02/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in a writ petition, involving identical controversy, being S.B. C.W.P. No. 12651/2024 Goparam & Ors. vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors., a Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 11.09.2024 has while issuing notices to the respondents has granted interim order in favour of petitioners. Order dated 11.09.2024 reads as under;

" learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that all the petitioners except one are having patta(s), which were granted by Gram Panchayat way back in the year 1975.

He submitted that in the year 2011, the concerned Patwar had recommended expansion of 'abadi area' as the available land was not sufficient and the proceedings of the expansion of 'adadi area' the while setting apart equal Cochar land of the adjacent area, is pending.

Learned counsel further submitted that no only the petitioners, many other persons from lower strata have made their small houses and have been living therein under a hope and expectation that 'abadi area' of the village will be extended and their possession will be regularized. Abnd in the meanwhile, pursuance to order passed by Division Bench of this Court on 04.04.2024 in D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5760/2024 (Bhima Ram vs. State of Rajasthan & ors.), the respondents have issued notice under Section 91 of the Rajasthan Land Revenue Act and they are likely to dispossess the petitioners.

It is contended that if petitioners' houses are demolished, their right to shelter and right to life will be severely infringed.

Issue notice. Issue notice of stay application also returnable within six weeks.

Meanwhile, petitioners shall neither be dispossessed from their possession nor shall their tenements constructed thereupon be demolished."

3. In this view of the matter, issue notice of the writ petitioner along with stay application to the respondents.
4. Meanwhile, the petitioners shall neither be dispossessed from their possession, nor shall their tenements constructed thereupon be demolished.
5. List along with S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 281/2026.

(SANJEET PUROHIT),J