HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3983/2026

Sonu S/o Indraj, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Ward No. 9, Sangaria Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. HDFC Bank, Through Its Branch Manager, Shop No. 299, Ward No. 7 General, Market Basides Gurudwara, Sangaria Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.
2. Station House Officer, Bardoli Rural, Surat Rural, Gujarat.
3. Station House Officer, Police Station, Shringeri, Chikkamangaluru, Karnataka.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Mudit Nagpal
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Naman Mohnot

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

23/02/2026

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following reliefs:-

> *" It is, therefore, humbly and respectfully prayed that this writ petition of the petitioner may kindly be allowed and by an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature thereof thereby:-*
> *A. The impugned acknowledgments/notices whereby the Petitioner's Bank Account No. 50200103929532 maintained with the Respondent-Bank has been frozen may kindly be quashed and set aside;*
> *B. The Respondents my kindly be directed to defreeze the Petitioner's aforesaid bank account and allow the Petitioner to operate the same without any restriction or hindrance;*
> *C. The action of the Respondents in freezing the entire bank account of the Petitioner on the basis of disputed transactions of merely ₹20,481/ may kindly be declared illegal, arbitrary, disproportionate, and violative of Articles 14, 19(1)(g) and 21 of the Constitution of India;*
> *D. Any other..."*

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition

with a direction to the HDFC Bank (Respondent No.1) to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J

122-Dinesh/-