

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 3000/2026

1.

Arvind S/o Sandeep Kumar, Aged About 29 Years, Gaguwala, Ps Muklawa, Tehsil Raisinghnagar, District Sri Ganganagar, Raj. (At Present Lodged In Central Jail, Sri Ganganagar)
2.

Naveen Kumar S/o Rubichandra, Aged About 27 Years, 71 N.p. Ps Sameja Kothi, Tehsil Raisinghnagar, District Sri Ganganagar, Raj. (At Present Lodged In Central Jail, Sri Ganganagar)

-----Petitioners

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 2441/2026

Amandeep Singh S/o Saudagar Singh, Aged About 31 Years, Resident Of Ravi Chowk Ward No 08 Purani Aabadi Sri Ganganagar District Sri Ganganagar Rajasthan (At Present Lodged In Central Jail Sri Ganganagar)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 2757/2026

Kapil Kumar S/o Shivchandra, Aged About 25 Years, R/o 15 Z, P.s. Matili Rathan, District- Shri Ganganagar. At Present Lodged In Central Jail Shri Ganganagar.

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp.

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Deepak Pareek Mr. D.S. Gharsana Mr. S.R. Godara
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Hanuman Prjapati, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEER BHATNAGAR**Order****23/03/2026**

1. These instant bail applications have been filed under Section 483 BNSS on behalf of accused-petitioners. The petitioners have been arrested in connection with FIR No.37/2026 registered at Police Station Sadul Sahar, District Ganganagar for the offence(s) under Sections 3, 25(1-B)(a), 25(6), 7, 25 of Arms Act.
2. Learned counsel for the accused-petitioners submits that the accused-petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case. It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the recovery of the fire arm along with the cartridges were effected from the other co-accused Shyam Sunder and no recovery has been effected from the petitioners' possession. The petitioners have been named only on the basis of the disclosure statements of the other co-accused. There is no substantive evidence available on record which shows that the petitioners have supplied or were involved in supply of fire arms. The accused-petitioners are in custody since long and the trial/investigation of the case may take considerable time, therefore, these bail applications of the accused-petitioners may be allowed.
3. Learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed these bail applications and contends that the petitioners are habitual offenders and against them several cases under various offences were registered, therefore, considering their conduct, their bail applications deserves dismissal.
4. Heard and perused the material available on record.

5. Considering the fact that the recovery of fire arm and cartridges were effected from the other co-accused Shyam Sunder and apart from the disclosure statement of the co-accused, there is no other substantive evidence available on record indicating petitioners' involvement in the alleged crime. The accused-petitioners are in custody since long and the trial/investigation of the case may take considerable time, therefore, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, I deem it just and proper to enlarge the accused-petitioners on bail.

6. Accordingly, these bail applications under Section 483 BNSS are allowed and it is ordered that the accused-petitioners namely:

1. Arvind S/o Sandeep Kumar, (2) Naveen Kumar S/o Rubichandra, (3) Amandeep Singh S/o Saudagar Singh and (4) Kapil Kumar S/o Shivchandra, in connection with FIR No.37/2026 registered at Police Station Sadul Sahar, District Ganganagar, shall be enlarged on bail provided each of them furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Judge for their appearance before the court concerned on all the dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so. The learned trial Judge shall also verify the address and the contact details of the surety through concerned SHO before releasing the accused-petitioners on bail.

7. The accused-petitioners are also directed to mark their presence on 25th of every month till conclusion of trial before the concerned police station. The SHO of the concerned police station is directed to maintain a regular register marking the presence of the accused-petitioners and shall send the presence report of the

accused-petitioners on the same day to the concerned Trial Court without any delay. In case of any breach to the aforementioned conditions, the learned Public Prosecutor shall be free to move the application against the accused-petitioners for cancellation of the bail before the concerned Court.

8. The accused-petitioners are also directed to submit their present address along with the mobile number to the concerned SHO within a period of 7 days from their release and the concerned SHO shall verify the said address and the mobile number. In case if the petitioners changes their address or mobile number, they shall submit the same before the concerned SHO so also before the concerned learned Trial Court.

9. A copy of this order shall be sent to the concerned SHO for its strict compliance.

(PRAVEER BHATNAGAR),J