HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4169/2026

1. Mohan Singh S/o Tan Singh, Aged About 65 Years, Resident Of Village Barmer Aagor, Tehsil And District Barmer (Rajasthan).
2. Hari Singh S/o Bhoor Singh, Aged About 60 Years, Resident Of Village Barmer Aagor, Tehsil And District Barmer (Rajasthan).
3. Swaroop Singh S/o Tan Singh, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Village Barmer Aagor, Tehsil And District Barmer (Rajasthan).
4. Phool Singh S/o Tan Singh, Aged About 54 Years, Resident Of Village Barmer Aagor, Tehsil And District Barmer (Rajasthan).
5. Chhug Singh S/o Tan Singh, Aged About 41 Years, Resident Of Village Barmer Aagor, Tehsil And District Barmer (Rajasthan).
6. Pawan Singh S/o Tan Singh, Aged About 39 Years, Resident Of Village Barmer Aagor, Tehsil And District Barmer (Rajasthan).

----Petitioners

Versus

1. Board Of Revenue, Through Its Registrar Ajmer (Rajasthan).
2. State Of Rajasthan, Through Tehsildar Barmer, District Barmer.
3. Branch Manager, Bank Of Baroda, Branch Sindhari Chouraha Barmer, District Barmer (Raj.).
4. Bhanwararam S/o Ranaram, Resident Of Meghwalon Ki Dhani, Village Barmer Aagor, Tehsil And District Barmer (Raj.).
5. Manju Devi W/o Late Harish Kumar, Resident Of Meghwalon Ki Dhani, Village Barmer Aagor, Tehsil And District Barmer (Raj.).
6. Prem Prakash S/o Bhanwararam, Resident Of Meghwalon Ki Dhani, Village Barmer Aagor, Tehsil And District Barmer (Raj.).

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Moti Singh.
For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEET PUROHIT

Order

23/02/2026

1. Petitioners have challenged order dated 27.10.2025, whereby application filed by petitioner-plaintiffs under Section 212 of the Rajasthan Land Revenue Act was rejected. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that said application has been rejected in a cursory manner, without even deciding the issue of a *prima facie* case, balance of convenience or irreparable injury. Learned counsel contends that these important aspects have not been taken into consideration by Revenue Appellate Authority or by Learned Board of Revenue. It is also urged that orders rejecting the appeal and revision filed by petitioners are un-reasoned and non-speaking orders and therefore, the same are erroneous.

2. Matter requires consideration.

3. Issue notice. Issue notice of the stay application also, returnable within a period of six weeks.

4. In the meantime, parties shall maintain status quo with regard to the land in question.

(SANJEET PUROHIT),J

143-sumer/-