

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 2851/2004

CNR: RJHC010176802003

URN: CW / 7255U / 2004

State Of Rajasthan through Tehsildar, Bheem, District
Rajsamand.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Sugan Chand S/o Sohanlal Tak deceased through his Legal
Representatives:-

1/1. Smt. Sushila Devi W/o Late Shri Sugan Chand

1/2. Shri Jitendra Kumar S/o Late Shri Sugan Chand

1/3. Shri Ashok Kumar S/o Late Shri Sugan Chand

All are R/o Barar, Tehsil – Bheem, District Rajsamand

2. Mishrilal S/o Shri Nainlal Nat R/o Barar, Tehsil – Bheem,
District Rajsamand

3. Babu Lal S/o Nainlal Nat R/o Barar, Tehsil – Bheem, District
Rajsamand

4. Mst. Ejee W/o Nainlal Nat, R/o Baran, Tehsil – Bheem,
District Rajsamand

5. Board of Revenue for Rajasthan at Ajmer.

---Respondent

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. S.R. Paliwal

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Khet Singh Rajpurohit
None present for the applicant

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MUKESH RAJPUROHIT

Order

17/07/2026

1. The matter comes up on an application (I.A. No.2/2026)
under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking impleadment
of the applicant – Lila Devi as party respondent.

2. No one has appeared on behalf of the applicant.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to supply copy of application to learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the respondents.
4. Another application (I.A. No.3/2026) has been preferred by the respondent for preponement of date of hearing.
5. Due to efflux of time, the application has been rendered infructuous.
6. Application is dismissed accordingly.
7. At the consent of both the parties, the matter is heard on the Second Stay Application No.513/2026 filed by the respondents.
8. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the legal representatives of the private respondents have tried to encroach over the land in question, which is the subject matter of the present writ petition, for which an application has already been submitted to the concerned Station House Officer, Police Station, Bheem, District Rajsamand, however, no action has been taken thereupon.
9. This Court vide order dated 09.02.2026 directed the learned counsel for the petitioner-State to apprise this Court about the factum of alleged recent encroachment being made by the legal representatives of private respondents so also the action (if any) being taken by the police authorities upon the application of the respondents.
10. Learned counsel for the petitioner-State seeks further time to complete his instructions.
11. Learned counsel for the applicant-respondents while opposing the prayer for adjournment made by learned counsel for the petitioner-State, submits that property in question is being

encroached by the legal representatives of the private respondents. He, therefore, prays that order to maintain status quo be passed.

12. Learned counsel for the petitioner-State has no objection, if both the parties are directed to maintain status quo.

13. In view of the aforesaid submissions, both the parties are directed to maintain status quo till the next date of hearing.

14. List this matter after four weeks.

(MUKESH RAJPUROHIT),J